Cartan Geometry and MacDowell–Mansouri Gravity: The Work of Derek Wise

John C. Baez

Groupe de Théorie de l'Astroparticule et Cosmologie July 10, 2007



For more, see: http://math.ucr.edu/~derek

# **Cartan Geometry**



In Klein's approach, a geometry is a **homogeneous space**: a manifold X on which a Lie group G acts transitively, with **stabilizer** subgroup

$$H = \{g \in G : gx = x\}$$

given  $x \in X$ . We have

$$X = G/H$$

The first examples:

$$S^2 = SO(3)/SO(2)$$
 curvature > 0  
 $\mathbb{R}^2 = ISO(2)/SO(2)$  curvature = 0  
 $H^2 = SO(2,1)/SO(2)$  curvature < 0

The most important for physics:

DeSitter = 
$$SO(4, 1)/SO(3, 1)$$
  $\Lambda > 0$   
Minkowski =  $ISO(3, 1)/SO(3, 1)$   $\Lambda = 0$   
anti-DeSitter =  $SO(3, 2)/SO(3, 1)$   $\Lambda < 0$ 

In Riemann's approach, we describe the geometry of an *n*-dimensional manifold M using a copy of Euclidean  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at each point  $p \in M$ : the *tangent space*.

In Cartan's approach, we describe the geometry of M using a copy of G/H at each point: the *tangent Klein geometry*. We require  $\dim(G/H) = \dim(M)$ 

A 'Cartan connection' describes how to roll this copy of G/H along M:



# Symmetric Spaces

A simplification: all the homogeneous spaces mentioned are **symmetric spaces**. This implies there is a subspace of 'infinitesimal translations'

$$\mathfrak{p}\subseteq\mathfrak{g}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{p}$$

and

$$[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}]\subseteq\mathfrak{h},\qquad [\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{p}]\subseteq\mathfrak{p},\qquad [\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}]\subseteq\mathfrak{h}$$

In short,  $\mathfrak{g}$  becomes a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Lie algebra with:

- $\mathfrak{h}$  as 'even' part;
- $\bullet \, \mathfrak{p}$  as 'odd' part.

Locally, a Cartan connection can be described by a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 1-form on M, say A. Since we're assuming G/H is a symmetric space, we have:

$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{p}$$

So, we can write:

$$A = \omega + e$$

where:

- $\omega$  takes values in  $\mathfrak{h}$ .
- e takes values in  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

For us, this naïve *local* picture of Cartan geometry will suffice.

# A 2-Dimensional Example

If

$$G/H = \mathrm{SO}(3)/\mathrm{SO}(2) = S^2$$

then the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading

$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{p}$$

is just

$$\mathfrak{so}(3) = \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$$

since:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ -a & 0 & c \\ -b & -c & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ -a & 0 \\ & & \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b \\ c \\ -b & -c \end{pmatrix}$$

In this example, a Cartan connection A on a 2-manifold M describes how the tangent sphere rotates as we roll it along M:



Given a tangent vector v on M,  $A(v) \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$  says how the tangent sphere rotates as we roll it in the direction v. Writing

$$A(v) = \omega(v) + e(v)$$

we see:



 $\omega(v) \in \mathfrak{so}(2)$  describes how the sphere turns around its point of contact.

> $e(v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  describes how the point of contact changes as the sphere rolls.

### A (3+1)-Dimensional Example

If

 $G/H = \mathrm{SO}(4,1)/\mathrm{SO}(3,1)$ 

is DeSitter spacetime, we have a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading:

$$\mathfrak{so}(4,1) = \mathfrak{so}(3,1) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$$

If A is a Cartan connection on the 4-manifold  $M, A(v) \in \mathfrak{so}(4, 1)$ describes how the tangent DeSitter spacetime 'rotates' as we roll it in the direction v. Writing

$$A = \omega + e$$

we see:

- $\omega(v) \in \mathfrak{so}(3,1)$ . Indeed,  $\omega$  is an SO(3,1) connection.
- $e(v) \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ . Indeed, e is a coframe field, or 'cotetrad'.

Whenever G/H is a symmetric space, the **curvature** of a Cartan connection A is given by:

$$F = dA + A \wedge A$$
  
=  $d(\omega + e) + (\omega + e) \wedge (\omega + e)$ 

The curvature is the sum of a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued part, the **corrected curvature**:

$$\widehat{F} = d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega + e \wedge e$$

and a  $\operatorname{\mathfrak{p}-valued}$  part, the **torsion**:

$$T = de + [\omega, e]$$

The corrected cuvature can be written as:

$$\widehat{F} = R + e \wedge e$$

where

$$R = d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega$$

is the usual curvature of  $\omega$  — which in applications to gravity is the **Riemann tensor**.

A Cartan connection gives M a geometry locally the same as the Klein geometry G/H if and only if:

• F = 0, so the torsion T and corrected curvature  $\hat{F}$  vanish.

• The coframe field e is **nondegenerate**, meaning

$$e\colon T_xM\to\mathfrak{p}$$

is invertible for all  $x \in M$ .

### Generalized Chern–Simons Gravity

For any 3d symmetric space G/H and 3-manifold M with Cartan connection A, Derek Wise defines **generalized Chern–Simons** gravity, with action:

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \int_M \operatorname{tr}(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A)$$

Here

$$\mathrm{tr}\colon \mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}\to\mathbb{R}$$

is an invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and

$$A = \omega + \sqrt{\Lambda} e$$

is any Cartan connection.

 $\Lambda$  is a generalization of the cosmological constant.

The equations of motion for Chern–Simons theory say:

$$F = 0$$

So, the solutions describe Cartan geometries that are locally the same as the Klein geometry G/H — at least when the coframe field e is nondegenerate.

Witten showed in the 3d DeSitter example G/H = SO(3, 1)/SO(2, 1)that these 'locally Kleinian' geometries are just vacuum solutions of (2+1)d gravity with cosmological constant  $\Lambda > 0$ .

The anti-DeSitter case works similarly.

In both these cases we can pick an inner product 'tr' on  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\mathfrak{h} \perp \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \perp \mathfrak{p}$ . Whenever this holds, we have:

$$S = \int_M \operatorname{tr}(e \wedge R + \frac{\Lambda}{3}e \wedge e \wedge e)$$

This generalizes the Palatini action for (2 + 1)d gravity!

Wise then studies *point particles* in generalized Chern–Simons gravity. These arise as conical singularities in the Cartan connection.

The holonomy around a particle gives an element of G; gauge transformations conjugate this by  $h \in H$ . So, particles are classified by **relative conjugacy classes** 

 $\{hgh^{-1}: h \in H\} \subseteq G$ 

In the example G/H = SO(3, 1)/SO(2, 1), a relative conjugacy class specifies the particle's mass and total angular momentum.

These particles obey braid group statistics:



and nonstandard rules for adding energy-momenta: 'doubly special relativity'!

#### Generalized MacDowell–Mansouri Gravity

For any 4d symmetric space G/H and 4-manifold M with Cartan connection A, Wise defines **generalized MacDowell–Mansouri** gravity, with action:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \int_M \operatorname{tr}(\widehat{F} \wedge \widehat{F})$$

Here tr is an invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\widehat{F}$  is the corrected curvature of the Cartan connection A.

Recall:

Cartan connection:  $A = \omega + \sqrt{\Lambda} e$ curvature:  $F = dA + A \wedge A = \widehat{F} + T$ corrected curvature:  $\widehat{F} = R + \Lambda e \wedge e$ torsion:  $T = de + [\omega, e]$ Riemann tensor:  $R = d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega$  Whenever  $\mathfrak{h} \perp \mathfrak{p}$  the equations of motion say:

$$e \wedge T = 0, \qquad e \wedge R + \Lambda e \wedge e \wedge e = 0$$

MacDowell and Mansouri showed in the DeSitter example G/H = SO(4,1)/SO(3,1) that these equations describe vacuum solutions of (3+1)d general relativity with cosmological constant  $\Lambda > 0$  — at least when the coframe field e is nondegenerate, which implies T = 0. Then the second equation is Einstein's equation.

The anti-DeSitter case works similarly.

Generalized MacDowell–Mansouri gravity is *not* purely topological. However, Freidel and Starodubtsev noted that MacDowell– Mansouri gravity is a *perturbation* of a topological field theory, where the coupling constant is  $\Lambda \sim 10^{-120}$ .

This holds for all generalized MacDowell–Mansouri gravities! To see this, consider the perturbed BF action:

$$S = \int_M \operatorname{tr}(B \wedge F - \frac{\Lambda}{2}\,\widehat{B} \wedge \widehat{B})$$

where F is the curvature of the Cartan connection, B is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 2-form, and  $\widehat{B}$  is its  $\mathfrak{h}$ -valued part.

The equations of motion say:

$$F = \Lambda \,\widehat{B}, \qquad d_A B = 0$$

When  $\Lambda = 0$  these equations are 'purely topological': no gaugeinvariant local degrees of freedom. But when  $\Lambda \neq 0$ , they imply the MacDowell–Mansouri equations! Derek Wise then studies *particles and 1-branes* in the  $\Lambda = 0$  theory, which is just a *BF* theory:

- 1-branes arise as conical singularities in the Cartan connection A along curves in space.
- Particles arise as singularities in the B field at points in space.

Here we use the fact that A and B form a 'flat 2-connection', which we can use to define holonomies for loops *and surfaces!* 



The holonomy around a 1-brane gives an element of G; gauge transformations conjugate this by  $h \in H$ . So, 1-branes are classified by relative conjugacy classes:

 $\{hgh^{-1}: h \in H\} \subseteq G$ 

The '2-holonomy' around a particle gives an element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; gauge transformations conjugate this by  $h \in H$ . So, particles are classified by **relative adjoint orbits**:

 $\{\mathrm{Ad}(h)(x)\colon\ h\in H\}\subseteq\mathfrak{g}$ 

The particles and 1-branes obey exotic statistics, governed by the topology:





### The Big Question

How much of this structure survives when we *perturb* around a Klein geometry and consider Cartan geometries — or in other words, perturb around *BF* theory and consider MacDowell–Mansouri gravity?