

# Lie 2-Groups, Lie 2-Algebras, and Loop Groups


Alissa S. Crans

Joint work with:

John Baez  
Urs Schreiber  
& Danny Stevenson

**in memory of  
Saunders Mac Lane**

**April 8, 2006**



# Internalization

Often a useful first step in the categorification process involves using a technique developed by Ehresmann called ‘internalization.’

How do we do this?

- Given some concept, express its definition completely in terms of commutative diagrams.
- Now interpret these diagrams in some ambient category  $K$ .

We will consider the notion of a ‘category in  $K$ ’ for various categories  $K$ .

A **strict 2-group** is a category in  $\text{Grp}$ , the category of groups.

A **2-vector space** is a category in  $\text{Vect}$ , the category of vector spaces.

A **2-vector space**,  $V$ , consists of:

- a **vector space** of objects,  $Ob(V)$
- a **vector space** of morphisms,  $Mor(V)$

together with:

- **linear** source and target maps

$$s, t: Mor(V) \rightarrow Ob(V),$$

- a **linear** identity-assigning map

$$i: Ob(V) \rightarrow Mor(V),$$

- a **linear** composition map

$$\circ: Mor(V) \times_{Ob(V)} Mor(V) \rightarrow Mor(V)$$

such that the following diagrams commute, expressing the usual category laws:

- laws specifying the source and target of identity morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Ob}(V) & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Mor}(V) \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow s \\
 & 1_{\text{Ob}(V)} & \text{Ob}(V)
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Ob}(V) & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Mor}(V) \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow t \\
 & 1_{\text{Ob}(V)} & \text{Ob}(V)
 \end{array}$$

- laws specifying the source and target of composite morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mor}(V) \times_{\text{Ob}(V)} \text{Mor}(V) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \text{Mor}(V) \\
 p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\
 \text{Mor}(V) & \xrightarrow{s} & \text{Ob}(V)
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mor}(V) \times_{\text{Ob}(V)} \text{Mor}(V) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \text{Mor}(V) \\
 p_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow t \\
 \text{Mor}(V) & \xrightarrow{t} & \text{Ob}(V)
 \end{array}$$

- the associative law for composition of morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mor}(V) \times_{\text{Ob}(V)} \text{Mor}(V) \times_{\text{Ob}(V)} \text{Mor}(V) & \xrightarrow{\circ \times_{\text{Ob}(V)} 1} & \text{Mor}(V) \times_{\text{Ob}(V)} \text{Mor}(V) \\
 \downarrow 1 \times_{\text{Ob}(V)} \circ & & \downarrow \circ \\
 \text{Mor}(V) \times_{\text{Ob}(V)} \text{Mor}(V) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \text{Mor}(V)
 \end{array}$$

- the left and right unit laws for composition of morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Ob}(V) \times_{\text{Ob}(V)} \text{Mor}(V) & \xrightarrow{i \times 1} & \text{Mor}(V) \times_{\text{Ob}(V)} \text{Mor}(V) & \xleftarrow{1 \times i} & \text{Mor}(V) \times_{\text{Ob}(V)} \text{Ob}(V) \\
 & \searrow p_2 & \downarrow \circ & \swarrow p_1 & \\
 & & \text{Mor}(V) & & 
 \end{array}$$

# 2-Vector Spaces

We can also define **linear functors** between 2-vector spaces, and **linear natural transformations** between these, in the obvious way.

**Theorem.** The 2-category of 2-vector spaces, linear functors and linear natural transformations is equivalent to the 2-category of:

- 2-term chain complexes  $C_1 \xrightarrow{d} C_0$ ,
- chain maps between these,
- chain homotopies between these.

# Categorified Lie Theory, strictly speaking...

A **strict Lie 2-group**  $G$  is a category in  $\text{LieGrp}$ , the category of Lie groups.

A **strict Lie 2-algebra**  $L$  is a category in  $\text{LieAlg}$ , the category of Lie algebras.

We can also define **strict homomorphisms** between each of these and **strict 2-homomorphisms** between them, in the obvious way. Thus, we have two strict 2-categories:  $\text{SLie2Grp}$  and  $\text{SLie2Alg}$ .

The picture here is very pretty: Just as Lie groups have Lie algebras, strict Lie 2-groups have strict Lie 2-algebras.

**Proposition.** There exists a unique 2-functor

$$d: \text{SLie2Grp} \rightarrow \text{SLie2Alg}$$

# Examples of Strict Lie 2-Groups

Let  $G$  be a Lie group and  $\mathfrak{g}$  its Lie algebra.

- **Automorphism 2-Group**

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Objects} &: = \text{Aut}(G) \\ \text{Morphisms} &: = G \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)\end{aligned}$$

- **Shifted  $U(1)$**

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Objects} &: = * \\ \text{Morphisms} &: = U(1)\end{aligned}$$

- **Tangent 2-Group**

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Objects} &: = G \\ \text{Morphisms} &: = \mathfrak{g} \rtimes G \cong TG\end{aligned}$$

- **Poincaré 2-Group**

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Objects} &: = SO(n, 1) \\ \text{Morphisms} &: = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes SO(n, 1) \cong ISO(n, 1)\end{aligned}$$



# Semistrict Lie 2-Algebras

A **semistrict Lie 2-algebra** consists of:

- a 2-vector space  $L$

equipped with:

- a functor called the **bracket**:

$$[\cdot, \cdot]: L \times L \rightarrow L$$

bilinear and skew-symmetric as a function of objects and morphisms,

- a natural isomorphism called the **Jacobiator**:

$$J_{x,y,z}: [[x, y], z] \rightarrow [x, [y, z]] + [[x, z], y],$$

trilinear and antisymmetric as a function of the objects  $x, y, z$ ,

such that:

- the **Jacobiator identity** holds, meaning the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & [[w,x],y],z \\
 & \swarrow^{[J_{w,x,y},z]} & \searrow^1 \\
 [[w,y],x],z + [[w,[x,y]],z] & & [[w,x],y],z \\
 \downarrow^{J_{[w,y],x,z} + J_{w,[x,y],z}} & & \downarrow^{J_{[w,x],y,z}} \\
 [[w,y],z],x + [[w,y],[x,z]] & & [[w,x],z],y + [[w,x],[y,z]] \\
 + [w,[[x,y],z]] + [[w,z],[x,y]] & & \downarrow^{[J_{w,x,z},y]} \\
 \downarrow^{[J_{w,y,z},x]} & & \downarrow^{[J_{w,x,z},y]} \\
 [[w,z],y],x + [[w,[y,z]],x] & & [[w,[x,z]],y] \\
 + [[w,y],[x,z]] + [w,[[x,y],z]] + [[w,z],[x,y]] & & + [[w,x],[y,z]] + [[w,z],[x],y] \\
 \swarrow^{[w,J_{x,y},z]} & & \swarrow^{J_{w,[x,z],y} + J_{[w,z],x,y} + J_{w,x,[y,z]}} \\
 [[w,z],y],x + [[w,z],[x,y]] + [[w,y],[x,z]] & & \\
 + [w,[[x,z],y]] + [[w,[y,z]],x] + [w,[x,[y,z]]] & & 
 \end{array}$$

We can define **homomorphisms** between Lie 2-algebras, and **2-homomorphisms** between these.

Given Lie 2-algebras  $L$  and  $L'$ , a **homomorphism**  $F: L \rightarrow L'$  consists of:

- a functor  $F$  from the underlying 2-vector space of  $L$  to that of  $L'$ , linear on objects and morphisms,
- a natural isomorphism

$$F_2(x, y): [F(x), F(y)] \rightarrow F[x, y],$$

bilinear and skew-symmetric as a function of the objects  $x, y \in L$ ,

such that:

- the following diagram commutes for all objects  $x, y, z \in L$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [F(x), [F(y), F(z)]] & \xrightarrow{J_{F(x), F(y), F(z)}} & [[F(x), F(y)], F(z)] + [F(y), [F(x), F(z)]] \\
 \downarrow [1, F_2] & & \downarrow [F_2, 1] + [1, F_2] \\
 [F(x), F[y, z]] & & [F[x, y], F(z)] + [F(y), F[x, z]] \\
 \downarrow F_2 & & \downarrow F_2 + F_2 \\
 F[x, [y, z]] & \xrightarrow{F(J_{x, y, z})} & F[[x, y], z] + F[y, [x, z]]
 \end{array}$$

**Theorem.** The 2-category of Lie 2-algebras, homomorphisms and 2-homomorphisms is equivalent to the 2-category of:

- 2-term  $L_\infty$ -algebras,
- $L_\infty$ -homomorphisms between these,
- $L_\infty$ -2-homomorphisms between these.

The Lie 2-algebras  $L$  and  $L'$  are **equivalent** if there are homomorphisms

$$f: L \rightarrow L' \quad \bar{f}: L' \rightarrow L$$

that are inverses up to 2-isomorphism:

$$f\bar{f} \cong 1, \quad \bar{f}f \cong 1.$$

**Theorem.** Lie 2-algebras are classified up to equivalence by quadruples consisting of:

- a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,
- an abelian Lie algebra (= vector space)  $\mathfrak{h}$ ,
- a representation  $\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$ ,
- an element  $[j] \in H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ .

# The Lie 2-Algebra $\mathfrak{g}_k$

Suppose  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ . To get a Lie 2-algebra having  $\mathfrak{g}$  as objects we need:

- a vector space  $\mathfrak{h}$ ,
- a representation  $\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$ ,
- an element  $[j] \in H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ .

Assume without loss of generality that  $\rho$  is irreducible. To get Lie 2-algebras with nontrivial Jacobiator, we need  $H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \neq 0$ . By Whitehead's lemma, this only happens when  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{R}$  is the trivial representation. Then we have

$$H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$$

with a nontrivial 3-cocycle given by:

$$\nu(x, y, z) = \langle [x, y], z \rangle.$$

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  together with the trivial representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $k$  times the above 3-cocycle give the Lie 2-algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_k$ .

In summary: *every simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  gives a one-parameter family of Lie 2-algebras,  $\mathfrak{g}_k$ , which reduces to  $\mathfrak{g}$  when  $k = 0$ !*

**Puzzle:** Does  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  come from a Lie 2-group?

# Coherent 2-Groups

A **coherent 2-group** is a weak monoidal category in which every morphism is invertible and every object is equipped with an adjoint equivalence.

A **homomorphism** between coherent 2-groups is a weak monoidal functor. A **2-homomorphism** is a monoidal natural transformation. The coherent 2-groups  $X$  and  $X'$  are **equivalent** if there are homomorphisms

$$f: X \rightarrow X' \quad \bar{f}: X' \rightarrow X$$

that are inverses up to 2-isomorphism:

$$f\bar{f} \cong 1, \quad \bar{f}f \cong 1.$$

**Theorem.** Coherent 2-groups are classified up to equivalence by quadruples consisting of:

- a group  $G$ ,
- an abelian group  $H$ ,
- an action  $\alpha$  of  $G$  as automorphisms of  $H$ ,
- an element  $[a] \in H^3(G, H)$ .

Suppose we try to copy the construction of  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  for a particularly nice kind of Lie group. Let  $G$  be a simply-connected compact simple Lie group whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We have

$$H^3(G, \mathrm{U}(1)) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cong H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$$

Using the classification of 2-groups, we can build a skeletal 2-group  $G_k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

- $G$  as its group of objects,
- $\mathrm{U}(1)$  as the group of automorphisms of any object,
- the trivial action of  $G$  on  $\mathrm{U}(1)$ ,
- $[a] \in H^3(G, \mathrm{U}(1))$  given by  $k \iota[\nu]$ , which is nontrivial when  $k \neq 0$ .


**Question:** Can  $G_k$  be made into a Lie 2-group?

Here's the bad news:

**(Bad News) Theorem.** Unless  $k = 0$ , there is no way to give the 2-group  $G_k$  the structure of a Lie 2-group for which the group  $G$  of objects and the group  $\mathrm{U}(1)$  of endomorphisms of any object are given their usual topology.

**(Good News) Theorem.** For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there is a Fréchet Lie 2-group  $\mathcal{P}_k G$  whose Lie 2-algebra  $\mathcal{P}_k \mathfrak{g}$  is equivalent to  $\mathfrak{g}_k$ .

An object of  $\mathcal{P}_k G$  is a smooth path  $f: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow G$  starting at the identity. A morphism from  $f_1$  to  $f_2$  is an equivalence class of pairs  $(D, \alpha)$  consisting of a disk  $D$  going from  $f_1$  to  $f_2$  together with  $\alpha \in U(1)$ :



For any two such pairs  $(D_1, \alpha_1)$  and  $(D_2, \alpha_2)$  there is a 3-ball  $B$  whose boundary is  $D_1 \cup D_2$ , and the pairs are equivalent when

$$\exp \left( 2\pi i k \int_B \nu \right) = \alpha_2 / \alpha_1$$

where  $\nu$  is the left-invariant closed 3-form on  $G$  with

$$\nu(x, y, z) = \langle [x, y], z \rangle$$

and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the smallest invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\nu$  gives an integral cohomology class.

# $\mathcal{P}_k G$ and Loop Groups

We can also describe the 2-group  $\mathcal{P}_k G$  as follows:

- An object of  $\mathcal{P}_k G$  is a smooth path in  $G$  starting at the identity.
- Given objects  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{P}_k G$ , a morphism

$$\widehat{\ell}: f_1 \rightarrow f_2$$

is an element  $\widehat{\ell} \in \widehat{\Omega}_k G$  with

$$p(\widehat{\ell}) = f_2/f_1$$


where  $\widehat{\Omega}_k G$  is the level- $k$  Kac–Moody central extension of the loop group  $\Omega G$ :

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(1) \longrightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_k G \xrightarrow{p} \Omega G \longrightarrow 1$$

Remark:  $p(\widehat{\ell})$  is a loop in  $G$ . We can get such a loop with

$$p(\widehat{\ell}) = f_2/f_1$$

from a disk  $D$  like this:





# The Lie 2-Group $\mathcal{P}_k G$

Thus,  $\mathcal{P}_k G$  is described by the following where  $p \in P_0 G$  and  $\hat{\gamma} \in \widehat{\Omega}_k G$ :

- A Fréchet Lie group of **objects**:

$$\text{Ob}(\mathcal{P}_k G) = P_0 G$$

- A Fréchet Lie group of **morphisms**:

$$\text{Mor}(\mathcal{P}_k G) = P_0 G \times \widehat{\Omega}_k G$$

- **source map**:  $s(p, \hat{\gamma}) = p$

- **target map**:  $t(p, \hat{\gamma}) = p\partial(\hat{\gamma})$  where  $\partial$  is defined as the composite

$$\widehat{\Omega}_k G \xrightarrow{p} \Omega G \xrightarrow{i} P_0 G$$

- **composition**:  $(p_1, \hat{\gamma}_1) \circ (p_2, \hat{\gamma}_2) = (p_1, \hat{\gamma}_1 \hat{\gamma}_2)$  when  $t(p_1, \hat{\gamma}_1) = s(p_2, \hat{\gamma}_2)$ , or  $p_2 = p_1 \partial(\hat{\gamma}_1)$

- **identities**:  $i(p) = (p, 1)$

# Topology of $\mathcal{P}_k G$

The **nerve** of any topological 2-group is a **simplicial** topological group and therefore when we take the **geometric realization** we obtain a topological group:

**Theorem.** For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the geometric realization of the nerve of  $\mathcal{P}_k G$  is a topological group  $|\mathcal{P}_k G|$ . We have

$$\pi_3(|\mathcal{P}_k G|) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$$

When  $k = \pm 1$ ,

$$|\mathcal{P}_k G| \simeq \widehat{G},$$

which is the topological group obtained by killing the third homotopy group of  $G$ .

When  $G = \text{Spin}(n)$ ,  $\widehat{G}$  is called  $\text{String}(n)$ . When  $k = \pm 1$ ,  $|\mathcal{P}_k G| \simeq \widehat{G}$ .

# The Lie 2-Algebra $\mathcal{P}_k\mathfrak{g}$

$\mathcal{P}_kG$  is a particularly nice kind of Lie 2-group: a *strict* one! Thus, its Lie 2-algebra is easy to compute.

Moreover,

**Theorem.**  $\mathcal{P}_k\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_k$

# Questions

We know how to get Lie  $n$ -algebras from Lie algebra cohomology! We should:

- Classify their representations
- Find their corresponding Lie  $n$ -groups
- Understand their relation to higher braid theory