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The Industrial Revolution Has Caused A Dramatic Rise in CO₂
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The climate gamble:

This is based on a recent MIT paper comparing a world where we continue what we’re doing, and a world where we take aggressive action.
Climatologists, like other scientists, tend to be a stolid group. We are not given to theatrical rantings about falling skies. Most of us are far more comfortable in our laboratories or gathering data in the field than we are giving interviews to journalists or speaking before Congressional committees. Why then are climatologists speaking out about the dangers of global warming? The answer is that virtually all of us are now convinced that global warming poses a clear and present danger to civilization. — Lonnie Thompson
What can mathematicians do?

Two easy things:
1. Teach math as if the world depended on it—because it does.
2. Fly less. I saved 1 tonne of carbon by not flying here. In 2010 the average person on Earth burnt 1.5 tonnes.
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Most of us know a bit about how the Industrial Revolution caused, and was caused by, changes in mathematics.

But let’s go back and see how math played a role in an even bigger revolution: the Agricultural Revolution.

During this revolution, from 10,000 to 5,000 BC, we began to systematically exploit solar power by planting crops.

By now we use about 25% of all plant biomass grown worldwide! If this reaches 100% there will be, in some sense, no ‘nature’ separate from humanity.
Starting shortly after the end of the last ice age, the agricultural revolution led to:

- surplus grain production, and thus kingdoms and slavery.
- *astronomical mathematics* for social control and crop planning.
- *geometry* for measuring fields and storage containers.
- *written numbers* for commerce.

Consider the last...
Starting around 8,000 BC, in the Near East, people started using ‘tokens’ for contracts: little geometric clay figures that represented things like sheep, jars of oil, and amounts of grain.
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Later these marks were drawn on tablets.

Eventually they gave up on the tokens. The marks on tablets then developed into the Babylonian number system! The transformation was complete by 3,000 BC.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
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<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
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<td>13</td>
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<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
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<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

J. J. O'Connor and E. F. Robertson, Babylonian Numerals
It may seem like child’s play now, but this 5,000-year process of abstraction—*the invention of a general notation for numbers*—laid the foundations for the math we know.
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By 1700 BC the Babylonians could compute $\sqrt{2}$ to 6 decimals:

$$1 + \frac{24}{60} + \frac{51}{60^2} + \frac{10}{60^3} \approx 1.414213...$$

Yale Babylonian Collection, YBC7289
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Let’s optimistically assume civilization survives.

Math may undergo a transformation just as big as it did in the Agricultural Revolution.
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The big problem is scaling up the operation fast enough.

So, this machine should be self-reproducing. It should turn some of the CO$_2$ into new machines.

Even better, these machines should spread without human intervention.
If we could ‘tweak’ trees to sequester more CO$_2$, or simply stop cutting down so many, it would make a big difference for global warming.
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This is a simple example of **ecotechnology**: technology that works *like* nature and works *with* nature.

For sophisticated ecotechnology we need to pay attention to what’s already known—**permaculture**, **systems ecology** and so on. But better mathematics could help.
To understand ecosystems, ultimately will be to understand networks. — B. C. Patten and M. Witkamp
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My own work on networks is rather abstract: nice math, but you might not see how it’s connected to ecology.
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Is there math in a leaf?

Yes! A mathematician at U.C. Davis, Qinglan Xia, has written a paper called *The Formation of a Tree Leaf.*
He models a leaf as a union of square cells centered on a grid, together with ‘veins’ forming a weighted directed graph from the centers of the cells to the root. The leaf grows new cells at the boundary while minimizing a certain function.
The function depends on two parameters. Changing these gives different leaf shapes:
Qinglan Xia's work is definitely math:

**THE FORMATION OF A TREE LEAF**

Lemma 3.8. Suppose $(\Omega, G)$ is an $(\epsilon, h)$ leaf and $(\mu, \Theta) = \phi_h (\Omega, G)$. Then the total mass of the Radon measure is bounded above by

$$M(\mu) \leq \pi (R_\epsilon + h)^2$$

and the total variation of the vector measure $\Theta$ is bounded by

$$M(\Theta) \leq \epsilon \pi^{2-\alpha} (R_\epsilon + h)^{4-2\alpha}.$$ 

**Proof.** Since $\Omega \subset B_{R_\epsilon}(0)$, the mass of $\mu$ is given by

$$M(\mu) = ||\Omega|| h^2$$

$$= \text{area} \left( \bigcup_{x \in \Omega} \left\{ x + \left[ \frac{-h}{2}, \frac{h}{2} \right] \times \left[ \frac{-h}{2}, \frac{h}{2} \right] \right\} \right)$$

$$\leq \text{area} (B_{R_\epsilon+h}(0)) = \pi (R_\epsilon + h)^2.$$ 

Also, since $w(e) \leq ||\Omega|| h^2$ for each $e \in E(G)$, the total variation of $\Theta$ is given by

$$M(\Theta) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} w(e) \text{length}(e)$$

$$\leq (||\Omega|| h^2)^{1-\alpha} \sum m_\beta (e^+) (w(e))^\alpha \text{length}(e)$$
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This theory uses computers, because it deals with systems too complex to figure out using just pencil and paper.

But it also uses much more: analysis, combinatorics, category theory, and many other branches of math.

It draws inspiration from biology, ecology and sociology much as the math of the industrial revolution was inspired by physics.

It’s just beginning to be born. I hope you can help out!