## Jeff Morton

## October 15, 2004

1. We understand the real numbers to be  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ , the additive group of reals, interpreted as a category in the usual way for groups (each real number gives a morphism, and composition is addition). In this case, to be a functor, the map  $S : \gamma \mapsto \int_{\gamma} A$  should be additive: if  $\gamma_1 : [0, T_1] \to X$  and  $\gamma_2 : [0, T_2] \to X$ are Moore paths, then:

$$S(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = \int_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2} A$$
  
=  $\int_{\gamma_1} A + \int_{\gamma_2} A$   
=  $S(\gamma_1) + S(\gamma_2)$ 

This is so since the integral along  $\gamma_1\gamma_2$ , we have two pieces, one of which is the integral along  $\gamma_1$  and the other the integral along  $\gamma_2$ , since integration is independent of parametrization, and linear. Moreover, the integral along the zero path is zero, so  $S(\mathrm{Id}_x) = 0 = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . These two properties mean that S is a functor.

2. We have a 1-form  $\alpha_x : T_x(T^*M) \to \mathbb{R}$  taking a vector v, in the tangent space to the cotangent bundle at some point x = (q, p), to  $p(d\pi(v))$  (i.e. applying the momentum covector p to the image of the vector v pushed forward along the projection map onto M). We want to show that, in terms of the coordinates  $x_i$  and the induced coordinates on the cotangent bundle, this amounts to  $\alpha = \sum_i p^i dq_i$ . Consider the effect of this 1-form on the (generic) vector v:

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{i} p^{i} \mathrm{d}q_{i}\right)(v) &= \sum_{i} p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \mathrm{d}q_{i}(v) \\ &= \sum_{i} p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right) v(x_{i}(q)) \qquad \text{(by definition of } p^{i}, q_{i}, \text{and d}) \\ &= v\left(\sum_{i} p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right) x_{i}(q)\right) \quad \text{(by linearity of } v) \end{split}$$

so that we have

$$\sum_{i} p^{i} \mathrm{d}q_{i} = \sum_{i} p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right) x_{i}(q)$$

But this (real) number is just the representation in the basis given by the  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  vectors of the effect of p on the part of v in the subspace of  $T_x(T^*M)$  corresponding to the position coordinates on M.

Now,  $p(d\pi(v))$  is a real number which arises by taking a tangent vector v to  $T^*M$  and projecting by the differential of  $\pi$  to a tangent vector to M (i.e. if the tangent vector v corresponds to differentiation along a curve, then  $d\pi(v)$  corresponds to differentiation along the projection onto M) and then applying p. This is just the same as the description just given for  $\sum_i p^i dq_i$ .

3. We have that  $\alpha = p^i dq_i$  (now using the Einstein convention), so if  $\omega = d\alpha$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega &= d\alpha \\
&= d(p^i dq_i) \\
&= dp^i \wedge dq_i + p^i \wedge d(dq_i) \quad \text{(Leibniz rule for d)} \\
&= dp^i \wedge dq_i \quad (d^2 = 0)
\end{aligned}$$

(note that in each case, here, we actually have a sum over i, where these terms appear for each i).

4. Supposing we have a disc D with boundary  $\gamma$ . Then the action for  $\gamma$  is  $S(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \alpha$ . But by Stokes' Theorem,

$$S(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \alpha = \int_{\partial D} \alpha = \int_{D} d\alpha = \int_{D} \omega$$