

The opposite of the category of all Boolean algebras is the category of Stone Spaces: compact Hausdorff spaces that are totally disconnected, in which every open set is closed (& vice versa).

The Boolean algebra of a Stone space X consists of its open subsets, with $A \cup B$ as " \vee ", $A \cap B$ as " \wedge ", A^c as " \neg ".

Let FinVect be the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces over your favorite field & linear maps. What's $\text{FinVect}^{\text{op}}$? A typical morphism in FinVect is $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, so a morphism in $\text{FinVect}^{\text{op}}$ is $T^{\text{op}}: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. This is suspiciously similar to the transpose $T^t: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ in FinVect . In fact, $\text{FinVect} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{FinVect}^{\text{op}}$, with:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m & \mapsto & (T^t)^{\text{op}}: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ or } T^t: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ \text{in FinVect} & & \text{in FinVect}^{\text{op}} \quad \quad \quad \text{in FinVect} \end{array}$$

We can also get the equivalence of FinVect & $\text{FinVect}^{\text{op}}$ using $\mathbb{R} \in \text{FinVect}$ as the dualizing object:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{FinVect} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{FinVect}^{\text{op}} \\ V & \mapsto & \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R}) = V^* \\ T: V \rightarrow W & \mapsto & T^*: W^* \rightarrow V^* \text{ or } (T^*)^{\text{op}}: V^* \rightarrow W^* \\ & & \text{in FinVect} \quad \quad \quad \text{in FinVect}^{\text{op}} \end{array}$$

So, FinVect straddles the worlds of geometry & algebra by being its own opposite.

Also, the category of finite abelian groups & group homomorphisms is its own opposite.

Galois Theory

Galois Theory is secretly about dualities between posets (partially ordered sets).

If (S, \leq) is a poset, then we get a category with elements of S as objects & there exists a unique morphism $f: x \rightarrow y$ iff $x \leq y$ for $x, y \in S$, & no morphisms otherwise.

The categories that we get in this way are precisely those with:

1) at most one morphism from any object x to any object y

2) if there are morphisms $f: x \rightarrow y$ & $g: y \rightarrow x$, then $x=y$.

So to a category theorist, a poset is a category with these two properties.

Given categories of this kind, a functor is really just an order-preserving map $f: (S, \leq) \rightarrow (T, \leq)$.

Given a category of this sort coming from the poset (S, \leq) , its opposite comes from the poset (S, \leq^{op}) where $x \leq^{op} y$ iff $x \geq y$.

What are adjoint functors between categories of this sort?

Defn: Given categories C, D , we say a functor $L: C \rightarrow D$ is left adjoint of a functor $R: D \rightarrow C$, or R is right adjoint of L , if there's a natural 1-1 correspondence $\text{Hom}_D(Lx, y) \cong \text{Hom}_C(x, Ry) \quad \forall x \in C, y \in D$.

Example: Let $L: \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Grp}$ send any set S to the free group on S , & $R: \text{Grp} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ send any group G to its underlying set.

[L = liberty! = freedom]

Here, $\text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(LS, G) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(S, RG)$.

Example: What are adjoint functors between posets (S, \leq) & (T, \leq) ?

It's a pair of order-preserving functions $(S, \leq) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{L} \\ \xleftarrow{R} \end{matrix} (T, \leq)$ such that $Lx \leq y$ iff $x \leq Ry$, which comes from $\text{Hom}_T(Lx, y) \cong \text{Hom}_S(x, Ry)$.

Defn: A pair of adjoint functors between posets is called a Galois correspondence.

Thm: Suppose $(S, \leq) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{L} \\ \xleftarrow{R} \end{matrix} (T, \leq)$ is a Galois correspondence. Then we get an order preserving map $RL: (S, \leq) \rightarrow (S, \leq)$. Let's write \bar{x} for RLx .

Then $x \leq \bar{x} \quad \forall x \in S$ (because $Lx \leq Lx \Rightarrow x \leq RLx$) & $\bar{\bar{x}} = \bar{x} \quad \forall x \in S$.

So we say $\bar{\cdot}$ is a closure operator on the poset (S, \leq) . Similarly, write y° for LRy . Then $y^\circ \leq y \quad \forall y \in T$ & $(y^\circ)^\circ = y^\circ \quad \forall y \in T$.

So \circ behaves like the "interior" operation on subsets of a top. space - it's a closure operator on $(T, \leq)^{op}$. Finally, L & R give a bijection between closed elements of S (meaning $x \in S$ w/ $x = \bar{x}$) & open elements of T (meaning $y \in T$ w/ $y^\circ = y$).