

Galois Theory

Suppose you have any kind of algebraic gadget - a set with some operations obeying some axioms.

e.g. monoids, $+, -, 0$ groups, $+, \cdot, 0, 1$ rings, fields

Then we can define a "subgadget" of a gadget K to be a subset $k \subseteq K$ closed under all the operations.

The gadgets F with
 $k \subseteq F \subseteq K$

form a poset with \subseteq as the partial ordering. Let's call this poset D .

Galois theory uses groups to study D .

Any gadget K has a group $\text{Aut}(K)$ of "automorphisms", i.e. 1-1 & onto functions $g: K \rightarrow K$ that preserve all the operations,

e.g. $g(x+y) = gx + gy$

$$g(xy) = (gx)(gy)$$

$$g(0) = 0$$

$$g(1) = 1$$

We say an element $x \in K$ is fixed by $g \in \text{Aut}(K)$ if $gx = x$.

We say a subgadget $F \subseteq K$ is fixed by $g \in \text{Aut}(K)$ if $gx = x$ for each $x \in F$.

Note the subset $\{g \in \text{Aut}(K) : g \text{ fixes } F\}$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(K)$.

The subgroup of $\text{Aut}(K)$ fixing the subgadget $k \subseteq K$ is called the Galois group $G(K|k)$.

Let C be the poset of subgroups of $G(K|k)$, where the partial ordering is \subseteq . The idea is to use C to study D .

We'll do this by constructing a Galois correspondence $C \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{L} \\ \xleftarrow{R} \end{matrix} D^{\text{op}}$

i.e. order-preserving maps obeying

$$L_G \subseteq F \iff G \supseteq R_F$$

What's R ?

It maps gadgets $k \subseteq F \subseteq K$ to subgroups of the Galois group $G(K|k)$

It works as follows:

$$R_F = \{g \in \text{Aut}(K) : g \text{ fixes } F\}$$

To show $R: D^{op} \rightarrow C$ is order preserving (i.e. a functor) we need:

$$k \subseteq F \subseteq F' \subseteq K \Rightarrow R(F) \supseteq R(F')$$

This is true: that if g fixes F' & $F \subseteq F'$ then g fixes F .

What's L ?

It maps subgroups $G \in \mathcal{G}(K|k)$ to gadgets between k & K .

It works as follows

$$LG = \{x \in K : G \text{ fixes } x\}$$

$$= \{x \in K : \forall g \in G \text{ } g \text{ fixes } x\} \leftarrow \text{Note: this is a subobject of } K!$$

To show $L: C \rightarrow D^{op}$ is order-preserving we need:

$$G \subseteq G' \subseteq \mathcal{G}(K|k) \Rightarrow LG \supseteq LG'$$

This is true: it says that if $x \in F$ is fixed by all $g \in G'$ then it's fixed by all $g \in G$ (some $G \subseteq G'$)

Next: why is $L: C \rightleftharpoons D^{op}: R$ a Galois connection?

i.e., why is $LG \subseteq F \iff G \supseteq RF$

$LG \subseteq F$ means ^{every element of F} everything fixed by G is in F

$G \supseteq RF$ means everything fixing F is in G .

These are just two ways of saying the same thing.

Now we can relate nice subgadgets $k \subseteq F \subseteq K$ & nice subgroups $G \in \mathcal{G}(K|k)$ using the theorem we saw last time...

but now let's stick in an "op".

Thm Suppose $L: C \rightleftharpoons D^{op}: R$ is a Galois connection. Define

$$\bar{c} = RLc$$

$$c \in C$$

$$\bar{d} = LRd$$

$$d \in D^{op}$$

These are closure operators:

$$c \subseteq \bar{c} \quad \& \quad \bar{c} = \overline{\bar{c}}$$

$$d \subseteq \bar{d} \quad \& \quad \bar{d} = \overline{\bar{d}} \quad (\text{where } \subseteq \text{ is ordering on } D)$$

We say $c \in C$ is closed if $c = \bar{c}$, and similarly for $d \in D$. L & R give a 1-1 correspondence between closed elements of C & closed elements of D .

[If we would have done C^{op} instead, we would get open operators.]

In our application, what's a "closed" subgadget $k \subseteq F \subseteq K$?

It's one with $F = LRF$

$$= L \{g \in \text{Aut}(K) : g \text{ fixes } F\}$$

$$= \{x \in K : x \text{ is fixed by all } g \text{ that fixes } F\}$$

So a subgadget F is closed if it contains all $x \in K$ that are fixed by all $g \in G(K/k)$ that fix F .

What's a "closed" subgroup $G \subseteq G(K/k)$?

$$G = RL G$$

$$= R \{x \in K : x \text{ is fixed by } G\}$$

$$= \{g \in G(K/k) : gx = x \text{ for all } x \text{ fixed by } G\}$$

So: a subgroup G is closed if it's the group of all $g \in G(K/k)$ that fix all x fixed by G .

So: the hard part of Galois theory includes:

1) finding a more concrete characterization of the "closed" subfields $k \subseteq F \subseteq K$

2) Similarly for the closed subgroups

3) Understanding the poset \mathcal{C} — the poset of the Galois groups.