

Galois Theory

Suppose you have any kind of algebraic gadget - a set with some operations obeying some axioms. For example: monoids, groups, rings, fields. Then we can define a "subgadget" of a gadget K to be a subset $k \subseteq K$ which is closed under all the operations.

The gadgets F such that $k \subseteq F \subseteq K$ form a poset with \subseteq as the partial ordering. Let's call this poset D . Galois theory uses groups to study D .

Any gadget K has a group $\text{Aut}(K)$ of automorphisms, i.e. 1-1 & onto functions $g: K \rightarrow K$ which preserve all the operations. For example, $g(x+y) = g(x) + g(y)$, $g(xy) = g(x)g(y)$, $g(0) = 0$, $g(1) = 1$ when K is a ring. We say an element $x \in K$ is fixed by $g \in \text{Aut}(K)$ if $g(x) = x$. We say a subgadget $F \subseteq K$ is fixed by $g \in \text{Aut}(K)$ if $g(x) = x$ for each $x \in F$. Notice the subset $\{g \in \text{Aut}(K) : g \text{ fixes } F\}$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(K)$. The subgroup of $\text{Aut}(K)$ fixing the subgadget $k \subseteq K$ is called the Galois group $G(K|k)$.

Let C be the poset of subgroups of $G(K|k)$ with the partial order \subseteq . The idea is to use C to study D .

We'll do this by constructing a Galois correspondence $C \xrightleftharpoons[L]{R} D^{\text{op}}$, i.e. order-preserving maps obeying $LG \subseteq F \Leftrightarrow G \supseteq RF$.

What's R ? It maps gadgets $k \subseteq F \subseteq K$ to subgroups of the Galois group $G(K|k)$. It works as follows: $RF = \{g \in \text{Aut}(K) : g \text{ fixes } F\}$.

To show $R: D^{\text{op}} \rightarrow C$ is order-preserving (i.e. a functor), we need: $k \subseteq F \subseteq F' \subseteq K \Rightarrow RF \supseteq RF'$. This is true: it says that if g fixes F' & $F \subseteq F'$, then g fixes F .

What's L ? It maps subgroups $G \subseteq G(K|k)$ to gadgets between k & K . It works as follows: $LG = \{x \in K : G \text{ fixes } x\} := \{x \in K : \forall g \in G, g \text{ fixes } x\}$. To show $L: C \rightarrow D^{\text{op}}$ is order-preserving, we need: $G \subseteq G' \subseteq G(K|k) \Rightarrow LG \supseteq LG'$. This is true: it says that if $x \in F$ is fixed by all $g \in G'$, then it's fixed by all $g \in G$.

Next, why is $C \xrightleftharpoons[R]{L} D^{\text{op}}$ a Galois connection? That is, why is $LG \subseteq F \Leftrightarrow G \supseteq RF$? $LG \subseteq F$ means every element of K fixed by G is in F . $G \supseteq RF$ means every element of $\text{Aut}(K)$ fixing F is in G . These are just two ways of saying the same thing.

Now we can relate nice subgadgets $k \subseteq F \subseteq K$ & nice subgroups $G \subseteq G(K|k)$ using the theorem we saw last time... but now let's stick in an "op".

Thm. - Suppose $C \xrightleftharpoons[R]{L} D^{\text{op}}$ is a Galois connection. Define $\bar{c} = RLC$

$\forall c \in C \ \& \ \bar{d} = LRd \ \forall d \in D$. These are closure operators: $c \leq \bar{c}$ & $\bar{c} = \bar{\bar{c}}$ and $d \leq \bar{d}$ & $\bar{d} = \bar{\bar{d}}$. We say $c \in C$ is closed if $c = \bar{c}$ & similarly for $d \in D$. L & R give a 1-1 correspondence between closed elements of C & closed elements of D .

In our application, what's a "closed" subgadget $k \subseteq F \subseteq K$? It's one with $F = LRF = L\{g \in \text{Aut}(G) : g \text{ fixes } F\} = \{x \in K : x \text{ is fixed by all } g \text{ that fix } F\}$. So, a subgadget F is closed if it contains all $x \in K$ that are fixed by all $g \in G(K|k)$ that fix F .

What's a "closed" subgroup $G \subseteq G(K|k)$? It's one with $G = RLG = R\{x \in K : G \text{ fixes } x\} = \{g \in \text{Aut}(K) : g \text{ fixes all } x \in K \text{ fixed by } G\}$. So, a subgroup G is closed if it's the group of all $g \in \text{Aut}(K)$ that fix all $x \in K$ fixed by G .

The hard part of Galois theory includes:

- 1) finding a more concrete characterization of the "closed subfields" $k \subseteq F \subseteq K$
- 2) similarly for the "closed subgroups"
- 3) understanding the poset C of subgroups of the Galois group

* Pf of Thm - We know: $c \leq c' \Rightarrow Lc \geq Lc'$; $d \geq d' \Rightarrow Rd \leq Rd'$; & $Lc \geq d \Leftrightarrow c \leq Rd$.

(1) $Lc \geq Lc \Rightarrow c \leq RLC = \bar{c}$; (2) $Rd \leq Rd \Rightarrow \bar{d} = LRd \geq d$; (3) $\bar{c} \leq \bar{\bar{c}}$ by

(1) & $RLC \geq RLC \Rightarrow RLRLc \geq Lc \Rightarrow RLRLc \leq RLC \Rightarrow \bar{\bar{c}} \geq \bar{c} \Rightarrow \bar{c} = \bar{\bar{c}}$; (4)

note $L\bar{c} = \overline{Lc}$ & so $c = \bar{c} \Rightarrow Lc = L\bar{c} = \overline{Lc}$. (Apply similar arguments to d .)

(5) $RL\bar{c} = \bar{c}$ & $LR\bar{d} = \bar{d}$ because $\bar{c} = \bar{\bar{c}}$ & $\bar{d} = \bar{\bar{d}}$, so L & R are inverses on closed elements.