

From typed λ -calculi to Cartesian closed categories

Last time we gave Lambek & Scott's definition of typed λ -calculus (minus their "natural numbers object"), involving

- types
- terms of a given type
- relations between terms

They show that for any typed λ -calculus, P , one can construct a CCC C_P where:

- objects of C_P are types of P
- morphisms $f: A \rightarrow B$ are (equivalence classes of) pairs $(x \in A, \varphi(x))$ where $\varphi(x)$ is a term of type B with x as its only free variable.
- equations between morphisms $(x \in A, \varphi(x))$ and $(y \in A, \psi(y))$ where $\varphi(x), \psi(y)$ are of the same type and

$$\varphi(x) \stackrel{\{x\}}{=} \psi(x).$$

Given morphisms

$$(x \in A, \varphi(x))$$

$$(say \varphi(x) \in B)$$

and

$$(y \in B, \psi(y))$$

$$(say \psi(y) \in C)$$

we define their composite to be

$$(x \in A, \psi(\varphi(x)))$$

Also, every object A has an identity morphism:

$$(x \in A, x)$$

Lambek & Scott show that C_p is a cartesian closed category, so we can think of a typed λ -calculus as a "presentation" of a CCC. In fact, they construct a category λCalc where the objects are typed λ -calculi & the morphisms are "translations", sending

- types to types
- terms to terms
- relations to relations

There's a category Cart where the objects are CCCs and the morphisms are cartesian closed functors

$$F: C \longrightarrow C'$$

$F: C \rightarrow C'$ being cartesian means

$$F(1_C) \cong 1_{C'}$$

(where 1_C denotes 'the' terminal object of C)

and

$$F(A \times B) \cong F(A) \times F(B)$$

where we don't need to specify an isomorphism in either case since terminal objects and products are defined by universal properties.

$F: C \rightarrow C'$ being closed means

$$F(\text{hom}(A, B)) \cong \text{hom}(FA, FB)$$



where again we needn't specify an iso since $\text{hom}(A, B)$ is defined by a universal property:

$$\frac{X \times A \longrightarrow B}{X \longrightarrow \text{hom}(A, B)}$$

Lambek and Scott show there's a functor:

$$C: \lambda\text{Calc} \longrightarrow \text{Cart}$$

which we've just described on objects. They show this is an equivalence of categories, by constructing an

adjoint

$$L: \text{Cart} \rightarrow \lambda\text{Calc}$$

where LC is the internal language of C , having all objects of C as types, terms built from variables of each type & morphisms in C , relations built from equations between morphisms.

Examples:

1) The typed λ -calculus for commutative rings. This has a type R , for our ring, and thus other types including $R \times R$, $\text{hom}(R \times R, R)$, etc. We also have terms including

$$+ \in \text{hom}(R \times R, R)$$

$$\cdot \in \text{hom}(R \times R, R)$$

$$0 \in R$$

$$1 \in R$$

and relations including the usual comm. ring axioms. For example, to state the distributive law we include the relation

$$\cdot(x, +(y, z)) \stackrel{\text{=} }{\underset{\{x, y, z\}}{\text{}}} +(\cdot(x, y), \cdot(x, z))$$

Let's call this typed λ -calculus $\lambda\text{Th}(\text{CommRing})$.

What's a cartesian closed functor

$$F: C_{\lambda\text{Th}(\text{CommRng})} \rightarrow \text{Set} \quad ?$$

A typical object in $C_{\lambda\text{Th}(\text{CommRng})}$ is

$$\text{hom}(\text{hom}(R \times R, 1), \text{hom}(R, R))$$

i.e. any type in $\lambda\text{Th}(\text{CommRng})$. Some typical morphisms in $C_{\lambda\text{Th}(\text{CommRng})}$ are

$$(x \in \text{hom}(R, R), y \in R \mapsto x(x(y)))$$

(a morphism from $\text{hom}(R, R)$ to $\text{hom}(R, R)$ that "composes x with itself")
or

$$(x \in R, + (x, \cdot (x, \cdot (x, x))))$$

To be continued...