

# Haagerup's Property versus Relative Property (T)

Ionut Chifan

Vanderbilt University

(joint work with Adrian Ioana)

# Haagerup's approximation property for groups

$\Gamma$ -countable discrete group.

## Definition

$\Gamma$  is called **Haagerup** if one of the following equivalent properties is satisfied:

1.  $\exists \phi_n \in c_0(\Gamma)$  positive definite functions such that  
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(g) = 1, \forall g \in \Gamma$$
2.  $\exists \pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  unitary  $c_0$ -representation which contains almost invariant vectors

$$(\exists \xi_n \in \mathcal{H}_1 \text{ s. t. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\pi(\gamma)\xi_n - \xi_n\| = 0, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma (\Leftrightarrow 1_\Gamma \prec \pi))$$

3. There exists a proper conditionally negative definite function on  $\Gamma$ .
4. There exists a proper 1-cocycle on a  $c_0$ -representation of  $\Gamma$ .

# Haagerup approximation property for groups

Examples:

- ▶ Amenable groups, free groups,  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , etc
- ▶  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  is Haagerup  $\Rightarrow \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2, \Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  is Haagerup.
- ▶  $\Gamma_1$  is Haagerup and  $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2$  coamenable  $\Rightarrow \Gamma_2$  is Haagerup.
- ▶  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  are Haagerup  $\Rightarrow \Gamma_1 \wr \Gamma_2$  is Haagerup (Cornulier-Stalder-Valette, '09)

# Haagerup approximation property for von Neumann algebras

## Definition

$(M, \tau)$  has Haagerup property if there exists a sequence  $\phi_n : M \rightarrow M$  of subtracial, normal, completely positive maps such that  $\phi_n \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(M))$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n(x) - x\|_2 = 0, \forall x \in M$ .

## Facts:

- ▶  $L\Gamma$  has Haagerup property  $\Leftrightarrow \Gamma$  is Haagerup (Choda '83).
- ▶ The class of von Neumann algebras with Haagerup property is closed under free products, tensor products, coamenable extensions (Bannon-Fang '08).

# Relative Property (T) of Kazhdan-Margulis for groups

## Definition

An inclusion of groups  $(\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma)$  is said to have **relative property (T)** of Kazhdan-Margulis if there exists finite set  $F \subset \Gamma$ ,  $\delta > 0$  such that every unitary rep.  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  that satisfies  $\|\pi(g)\xi - \xi\| < \delta, \forall g \in F$  for a unit vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  must admit a  $\Gamma_0$ -invariant vector  $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ .

## Remark

*Equivalently (Jolissaint),  $(\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma)$  have relative property (T) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists finite set  $F \subset \Gamma, \delta > 0$  such that whenever  $\phi$  is a p. d. function on  $\Gamma$  such that  $|\phi(g) - 1| \leq \delta, \forall g \in F$  then  $|\phi(g) - 1| \leq \varepsilon, \forall g \in \Gamma_0$*

Examples:

1.  $(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \subset SL_n(\mathbb{Z})), n \geq 3$ .
2.  $(\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes SL_n(\mathbb{Z})), n \geq 2$
3.  $(\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \Gamma)$  for every  $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  nonamenable (Burger).

# Relative Property (T) of Kazhdan-Margulis for von Neumann algebras

## Definition (Popa)

$(M, \tau)$  von Neumann algebra  $(B \subset M)$  has relative property (T) if for  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists F \subset M$  and  $\delta > 0$  s.t. if  $(\mathcal{H}, \xi)$  is a pointed Hilbert  $M$ -bimodule with  $\xi$  tracial vector and  $\|x\xi - \xi x\| \leq \delta \forall x \in F$  then there exists a  $B$ -central vector  $\xi' \in \mathcal{H}$  s.t.  $\|\xi - \xi'\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

- ▶  $(\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma)$  has relative property (T) iff  $(L\Gamma_0 \subset L\Gamma)$  has rel. (T) (Connes-Jones, Popa)
- ▶ For every nonamenable subfactor  $L(\mathbb{Z}^2) \subset N \subseteq L(\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  one has  $(L(\mathbb{Z}^2) \subset N)$  has rel. (T) (Ioana, '08)

## Question (Cherix-Cowling-Jolissaint-Julg-Valette, '01)

Is the presence of a infinite subgroup  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$  with the relative property (T) the *only* obstruction for the Haagerup property of  $\Gamma$ ?

Answer: **No !**- Y. de Cornulier, '05.

Counterexample:

- ▶  $\Gamma_\alpha = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{\alpha}]^3 \rtimes SO_3(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{\alpha}])$ ,  $\alpha$  not a cube.
- ▶  $\Gamma_\alpha$  doesn't have any infinite subgroup with rel. (T) but it has a infinite **subset** ( $X_\alpha \subset \Gamma_\alpha$ ) with rel. (T). Specifically,

$$X_\alpha = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{\alpha}]^3 \cap \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B} \text{ unit ball of } \mathbb{R}^3$$

## von Neumann algebraic context

## Observation

If  $M$  has Haagerup property then  $\nexists$  diffuse  $B \subset M$  rel. (T).

## Proof.

$B$  diffuse  $\exists u_n \in \mathcal{U}(B)$  s.t.  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  weakly.  $M$  Haagerup  $\exists \phi_k$   
compact maps on  $M$

$$\|\phi_k(u_n) - u_n\|_2 \leq \varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } k \rightarrow \infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\|\phi_k(u_n)\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Therefore  $1 - \|\phi_k(u_n)\|_2 \leq \|\phi_k(u_n) - u_n\|_2 \leq \varepsilon_k$  Contradiction!  $\square$

## Question (von Neumann algebraic version of CCJJV)

Is the presence of a diffuse subalgebra  $N \subset M$  with the relative property (T) the *only* obstruction for the Haagerup property of  $M$ ?

## De Cornulier's example in the von Neumann algebraic context

### Theorem (C-loana, '09)

If  $\Gamma_\alpha = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{\alpha}]^3 \rtimes SO_3(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{\alpha}])$  for  $\alpha$  not a cube, there exists a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra  $B \subset LZ[\sqrt[3]{\alpha}]^3 \subset L\Gamma_\alpha$  such that  $B \subset L\Gamma_\alpha$  has rel (T) and  $B' \cap L\Gamma_\alpha = LZ[\sqrt[3]{\alpha}]^3$ .

- ▶ For  $(j, k) \in S = \{1, 2, 3\} \times \{0, 1, 2\}$  let  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{\alpha}]^3 = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}v_{j,k}$  for  $v_{j,k} = (\alpha^{\frac{k}{3}} \delta_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{\alpha}]^3 \cong \mathbb{Z}^9$ .
- ▶  $\mathbb{R}^9 / \mathbb{Z}^9 = \mathbb{T}^9 = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}^9} \cong \mathbb{Z}[\widehat{\sqrt[3]{\alpha}}]^3$
- ▶  $p : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^9$ ,  $p(a) = (\langle a, v_{j,k} \rangle)_{(j,k) \in S}$ ,  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^9 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^9 / p(\mathbb{R}^3)$
- ▶  $i : \mathbb{T}^9 \rightarrow [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^9 \subset \mathbb{R}^9$ ,  $i(x + \mathbb{Z}^9) = x + \mathbb{Z}^9 \cap [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^9$
- ▶  $q = \pi \circ i : \mathbb{T}^9 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^9 / p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $(Y, \nu) = (q(\mathbb{T}^9), q_* \lambda^9)$
- ▶  $L^\infty(Y, \nu) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^9, \lambda^9)$  via  $L^\infty(Y, \nu) f \rightarrow f \circ q \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^9, \lambda^9)$ .
- ▶  $L^\infty(Y, \nu) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^9, \lambda^9) \rtimes SO_3(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{\alpha}])$  rel (T).

# Generalized wreath product groups

Let  $A, \Gamma$  groups;  $X$   $\Gamma$ -set.

Denote by  $A^X = \bigoplus_X A$  and define  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A^X)$  action by automorphisms

$$\rho_g((a_x)_x) = (a_{g^{-1}x})_x, \quad g \in \Gamma$$

Then  $A \wr_X \Gamma := A^X \rtimes_{\rho} \Gamma$ .

## Notation

$\Gamma_0 = \Gamma/\Lambda$  then  $A \wr_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma = A \wr_X \Gamma$  where  $X = \{g_i\Lambda\}_i$  on which  $\Gamma$  acts by left multiplication.

## Theorem

- ▶  $A, \Gamma$ -*(nontrivial) Haagerup groups.*
- ▶  $\Gamma_0$ -*quotient of  $\Gamma$  which is not Haagerup.*

Then

- ▶  $L(A \wr_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma)$  *does not have Haagerup property (C-Ioana, '09)*
- ▶  $L(A \wr_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma)$  *does not admit any diffuse von Neumann subalgebra with relative property (T) (Popa '04, Ioana '05)*

Proof.

If  $B \subset L(A \wr_X \Gamma) =: M$  is diffuse with rel. (T)

⇓ [Popa, Ioana]

$B \prec_M L\Gamma$  or  $B \prec_M LA^F$ ,  $F \subset X$  finite subset.

$\Gamma, A$  Haagerup  $\Rightarrow$  Contradiction. □

# Sketch of the proof

## Theorem (C-loana, 2009)

- ▶  $A$  abelian,  $\Gamma, \Gamma_0$  a quotient of  $\Gamma$  ( $p : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_0$  surj. hom.)
- ▶  $\rho : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$  an action by automorphisms of  $A$

Define  $\tilde{\rho} = \rho \circ p : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ . Then we have:

1. If there exists  $a \in A$  such that its stabilizer in  $\Gamma_0$  is finite then

$$\Gamma_0 \text{ not Haagerup} \Rightarrow A \rtimes_{\tilde{\rho}} \Gamma \text{ not Haagerup.}$$

2. Suppose that  $(X \subset \Gamma_0)$  has relative (T). If for every  $a \in A$  one denote by  $X_a = \{\rho_\gamma(a) | \gamma \in X\} \subset A$  then  $(X_a \subset A \rtimes_{\tilde{\rho}} \Gamma)$  has relative property (T).

## Corollary

Let  $A, \Gamma, \Gamma_0$  such that  $\Gamma_0$  is a quotient of  $\Gamma$ . Then:

$$A \wr_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma \text{ has Haagerup} \Leftrightarrow A, \Gamma, \Gamma_0 \text{ have Haagerup.}$$

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” - De Cornulier-Stalder-Valette '09.

“ $\Rightarrow$ ” - immediate consequence of the previous theorem.

## Remark

“ $\Rightarrow$ ” disproves a conjecture of De Cornulier-Stalder-Valette which asserts that **every** generalized wreath product of two Haagerup groups is Haagerup.

## Open Question

Find necessary and sufficient conditions on  $A, \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma$ -set  $X$  such that  $A \wr_X \Gamma$  is Haagerup!

Important ingredient used in the proof:

### Proposition (Peterson, '09)

$\Gamma$  is not Haagerup iff for  $\forall$  sequence  $(\phi_n)_n$  p. d. maps with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(g) = 1, \forall g \in \Gamma \exists$  infinite subset  $\mathcal{X} \subset \Gamma$  and a infinite sequence  $(n_k)_k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{X}} |\phi_{n_k}(g) - 1| = 0$

$A \rtimes_{\tilde{\rho}} \Gamma$  is Haagerup  $\Rightarrow \pi : A \rtimes_{\tilde{\rho}} \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  unitary  $c_0$ -rep and  $\xi_n \in \mathcal{H}$   
 s. t.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\pi(h)(\xi_n) - \xi_n\| = 0, \forall h \in A \rtimes_{\tilde{\rho}} \Gamma$$

$\exists \mu_n \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{A})$  such that  $\langle \pi(a)\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle = \int_{\hat{A}} ad\mu_n, \forall a \in A.$

For every  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$  let  $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma$  s. t.  $\rho(\tilde{\gamma}) = \gamma$

$$\|\gamma_*\mu_n - \mu_n\| = \|\tilde{\gamma}_*\mu_n - \mu_n\| \leq 2\|\pi(\tilde{\gamma})\xi_n - \xi_n\| \quad (1)$$

Let  $\{\gamma_i\} = \Gamma_0 \setminus \{e\}$  and define  $\Gamma_0$ -quasivariant measure by:

$$\nu_n = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right)\mu_n + \sum_{i=1, \infty} \frac{1}{2^{i+n}}(\gamma_i)_*\mu_n$$

One has  $\|\nu_n - \mu_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$  and therefore by (1)

$$\|\gamma_*\nu_n - \nu_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} + 2\|\pi(\tilde{\gamma})\xi_n - \xi_n\|$$

Let  $g_\gamma = \left(\frac{d\gamma_*\nu_n}{d\nu_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^2(\hat{A}, \nu_n)$  and define  $\sigma_n : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(\hat{A}, \nu_n))$  a unitary rep. by the formula

$$\sigma_n(\gamma)(f) = g_\gamma(f \circ \gamma^{-1})$$

If  $\eta_n = 1_{\hat{A}} \in L^2(\hat{A}, \nu_n)$  a calculation shows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\gamma_*\nu_n - \nu_n\| \leq \|\sigma_n(\gamma)(\eta_n) - \eta_n\| \leq \|\gamma_*\nu_n - \nu_n\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_0$$

Assume by contradiction  $\Gamma_0$  is not Haagerup. By Peterson's result  $\exists$  an increasing sequence  $(n_k)_k$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \Gamma$  infinite subset s. t.

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}} \|\sigma_{n_k}(\gamma)(\eta_{n_k}) - \eta_{n_k}\| = 0$$

Calculations similar to the above imply:

$$\|\gamma_*\mu_{n_k} - \mu_{n_k}\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n_k-1}} + 2\|\sigma_{n_k}(\gamma)(\eta_{n_k}) - \eta_{n_k}\|.$$

Hence  $|\langle \pi(\rho_\gamma(a))\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{n_k} \rangle - \langle \pi(a)\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{n_k} \rangle| =$   
 $|\int \rho_\gamma(a) d\mu_{n_k} - \int a d\mu_{n_k}| \leq \|\gamma_*\mu_{n_k} - \mu_{n_k}\| \rightarrow 0$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$

Therefore

$$\|\pi(\rho_\gamma(a))\xi_{n_k} - \xi_{n_k}\|^2 = \|\pi(a)\xi_{n_k} - \xi_{n_k}\|^2 + 2\left(\frac{1}{2^{n_k-1}} + 2\varepsilon_{n_k}\right), \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{F}$$

and by the above we get

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}} \|\pi(\rho_\gamma(a))\xi_{n_k} - \xi_{n_k}\| = 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Since  $Stab_{\Gamma_0}(a)$  is finite and  $\pi$  is  $c_0$ -rep  $\Rightarrow$

$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \langle \pi(\rho_\gamma(a))\xi_{n_k}, \xi_{n_k} \rangle = 0$  which is a contradiction!

# Summary

1.  $\exists H \leq G, (H, G) \text{rel. (T)}$

$\Downarrow$

2.  $\exists B \subset LG, (B, LG) \text{rel. (T)}$

$\Downarrow$

3.  $G$  is not Haagerup

1.  $\not\Leftarrow$  2. - De Cornulier's example.

2.  $\not\Leftarrow$  3. -  $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\Gamma_0} \mathbb{F}_n, \Gamma_0$  property (T) quotient.

Thank you for listening !