

Bound on the multiplicity of almost complete intersections*

Bahman Engheta[†]

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521

Abstract

Let R be a polynomial ring over a field of characteristic zero and let $I \subset R$ be a graded ideal of height N which is minimally generated by $N+1$ homogeneous polynomials. If $I = (f_1, \dots, f_{N+1})$ where f_i has degree d_i and (f_1, \dots, f_N) has height N , then the multiplicity of R/I is bounded above by $\prod_{i=1}^N d_i - \max\left\{1, \sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - 1) - (d_{N+1} - 1)\right\}$.

Keywords: almost complete intersection, core, multiplicity.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 13B22, 13H15.

1 Introduction

Let $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ where k is a field of characteristic zero and let f_1, \dots, f_N be forms in R of degrees d_1, \dots, d_N , respectively, which generate an ideal of height N . That is, f_1, \dots, f_N form a regular sequence and they generate a complete intersection ideal with multiplicity $\prod_{i=1}^N d_i$. Let f_{N+1} be yet another form, of degree d_{N+1} , which is a zero-divisor on $R/(f_1, \dots, f_N)$, that is, $(f_1, \dots, f_N) \subsetneq (f_1, \dots, f_N) : f_{N+1} \subsetneq R$. Herein the ideal (f_1, \dots, f_{N+1}) is referred to as an *almost complete intersection*. Throughout this article, let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_{N+1})$ and $\underline{f} = f_1, \dots, f_N$. We will abuse the notation \underline{f} to also denote the ideal generated by this sequence.

It can be shown, as one would expect, that the multiplicity of R/I is strictly less than that of R/\underline{f} . This note is aimed at making this fact more precise and exhibiting a bound for the multiplicity of R/I in terms of the degrees d_1, \dots, d_{N+1} of the minimal generators of I . Our approach leads quite naturally

*Communications in Algebra 37 (2009), doi:10.1080/00927870802278784

[†]Address correspondence to Bahman Engheta, Wilshire Fixed Income Analytics, Wilshire Associates Inc., 1299 Ocean Avenue, Santa Monica, CA 90401, E-mail: engheta@gmail.com

to the notion of the core of an ideal, which was first alluded to by Rees and Sally in [RS] and treated more explicitly by Huneke and Swanson in [HS], and which has been the subject of growing interest in recent years.

The core of an ideal. Recall that given two ideals $B \subseteq A$, B is said to be a *reduction* of A if $A^{t+1} = BA^t$ for some non-negative integer t , and consequently for all integers greater than t . (Equivalently, a reduction of A is a subideal of A with the same integral closure as A .) The least such integer is called the *reduction number* of A with respect to B . A reduction B of A is called *minimal* if no ideal properly contained in B is a reduction of A , that is, if it is minimal with respect to inclusion. As minimal reductions are not unique, one is led to consider the intersection of all minimal reductions: the *core* of an ideal A , denoted $\text{core}(A)$, is defined as the intersection of all reductions (equivalently, minimal reductions) of A .

We first take advantage of the well-understood structure of R/\underline{f} and express its multiplicity $\prod_{i=1}^N d_i$ as the length of $R/(f, \underline{\ell})$, where $\underline{\ell} = \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-N}$ is a sequence of $n - N$ general linear forms. Our focus will then be to determine when, and by how much, the additional generator f_{N+1} further reduces the length of $R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell})$ to that of $R/(I, \underline{\ell})$. Finally, as the multiplicity of R/I is no greater than the length of $R/(I, \underline{\ell})$, we obtain

$$e(R/I) \leq \lambda(R/(I, \underline{\ell})) \leq \lambda(R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell})) = e(R/\underline{f}), \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda(\)$ and $e(\)$ denote length and multiplicity, respectively. More concretely, we prove the following

Theorem 1. *Let R be a polynomial ring over a field of characteristic 0. If $I \subset R$ is an almost complete intersection minimally generated by f_1, \dots, f_{N+1} such that f_1, \dots, f_N form a regular sequence, then the multiplicity of R/I is at most*

$$\prod_{i=1}^N d_i - \max \left\{ 1, \sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - 1) - (d_{N+1} - 1) \right\},$$

where $d_i = \deg(f_i)$ for $i = 1 \dots N$.

Let $\bar{R} := R/\underline{f}$ and let $\bar{\cdot}$ denote the residue class in \bar{R} . Our argument is based on the well-known fact (see [NR]) that in \bar{R} any choice of $n - N$ general linear forms $\underline{\ell}$ generates a graded minimal reduction of the homogeneous maximal ideal $\bar{\mathfrak{m}} = (\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_n)$, and all graded minimal reductions of $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ are of this form.

Note that the strict inequality in (1) holds if and only if $f_{N+1} \notin (\underline{f}, \underline{\ell})$. Thus, to establish this inequality it suffices to show that the image of f_{N+1} in \bar{R} is not contained in some graded minimal reduction of $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$. To this end, we appeal to a result of Corso, Polini, and Ulrich [CPU1, Theorem 4.5] which implies that in our setting $\text{core}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ is in fact the intersection of the graded minimal reductions

of $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$, and we show that $\bar{f}_{N+1} \notin \text{core}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})$. To compute $\text{core}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ we avail ourselves of a formula that was conjectured by Corso, Polini, and Ulrich in [CPU2], and was proved independently by Huneke and Trung [HT, Theorem 3.7] and Polini and Ulrich [PU, Theorem 4.5].

1.1 Preliminaries

We recall that given a sequence $\underline{\ell} = \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-N}$ of general linear forms, the multiplicity of R/I is bounded above by the length of $R/(I, \underline{\ell})$. Indeed, as I has height N , the elements $\underline{\ell}$ constitute a system of parameters of R/I . The multiplicity of R/I can be obtained as the Euler characteristic of R/I with respect to $\underline{\ell}$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\underline{\ell}, R/I) &= \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \lambda(H_i(\underline{\ell}, R/I)) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \lambda(\text{Tor}_i^R(R/\underline{\ell}, R/I)), \end{aligned}$$

where $H_\bullet(\underline{\ell}, R/I)$ denotes the Koszul homology of $\underline{\ell}$ with coefficients in R/I . If we further consider the first partial Euler characteristic

$$\chi_1(\underline{\ell}, R/I) = \sum_{i \geq 1} (-1)^{i-1} \lambda(H_i(\underline{\ell}, R/I)),$$

then we have $\chi(\underline{\ell}, R/I) = \lambda(R/(I, \underline{\ell})) - \chi_1(\underline{\ell}, R/I)$ and the following non-negativity result yields $e(R/I) \leq \lambda(R/(I, \underline{\ell}))$, as desired.

Theorem (Serre [S]). *The first partial Euler characteristic $\chi_1(\underline{\ell}, R/I)$ is non-negative, or equivalently, $\chi(\underline{\ell}, R/I) \leq \lambda(R/(I, \underline{\ell}))$.*

Socle degree of complete intersections. Recall that in our setting \underline{f} is a regular sequence f_1, \dots, f_N with $\deg(f_i) = d_i$ and $\underline{\ell} = \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-N}$ is a sequence of general linear forms. Next we point out that $R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell})$ has a pure socle generated in degree $\sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - 1)$. To see this, we compute $\text{Tor}_n^R(R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell}), k)$ twice. On the one hand, resolving k via the Koszul complex on X_1, \dots, X_n and tensoring with $R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell})$ yields

$$\text{Tor}_n^R(R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell}), k) \cong \frac{(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell}) : \mathfrak{m}}{(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell})}(-n).$$

On the other hand, resolving $R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell})$ via the Koszul complex on $\underline{f}, \underline{\ell}$ and tensoring with R/\mathfrak{m} we have

$$\text{Tor}_n^R(R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell}), k) \cong k\left(-\left(n - N + \sum_{i=1}^N d_i\right)\right).$$

Hence $\text{socle}(R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell})) \cong k(-(\sum_{i=1}^N d_i - N))$, as claimed. Also note that $\lambda(R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell})) = e(R/\underline{f}) = \prod_{i=1}^N d_i$.

Remark 2. For brevity set $r := \sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - 1)$. It follows that $\mathfrak{m}^{r+1} \subseteq (\underline{f}, \underline{\ell})$, or equivalently, $\mathfrak{m}^{r+1} \equiv \underline{\ell} \mathfrak{m}^r$ modulo \underline{f} . Thus, in $\bar{R} = R/\underline{f}$ the minimal reduction $\underline{\ell}$ of $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ has reduction number $\leq r$. We also note that $\bar{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \bar{R}$ is an *equimultiple* ideal, that is, its height equals its analytic spread $n - N$.

2 Computation of the core

The following theorem provides a formula for the core of an equimultiple ideal in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring.

Theorem 3 (Huneke-Trung [HT, 3.7], Polini-Ulrich [PU, 4.5]). *Let S be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with residue field of characteristic 0. Let A be an equimultiple ideal of S and let $B \subseteq A$ be a minimal reduction of A with reduction number r . Then $\text{core}(A) = B^{r+1} : A^r$.*

Note that if A is an equimultiple ideal, then its minimal reduction B is generated by a regular sequence and it is easily seen that $B^{r+1} : A^r = B^{t+1} : A^t$ for all $t \geq r$. In [PUV, Proposition 2.1] it is further shown that forming the core of zero-dimensional ideals commutes with localization. Thus, the colon formula of Theorem 3 may be applied in our setting (see also [PUV, Theorem 2.3]) and it yields

$$\text{core}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}) = \frac{(\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, \underline{f}) : \mathfrak{m}^r}{\underline{f}}, \quad (2)$$

where $r = \sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - 1)$ as set previously. Using (2) and minimal free resolutions, we now describe $\text{core}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ more precisely with the following

Lemma 4. *Let R be a polynomial ring over a field of characteristic zero and let $\underline{f} \subset R$ be an ideal generated by a regular sequence of N forms of degrees d_1, \dots, d_N . Then $\text{core}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}) = \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{r+1}$ with $r = \sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - 1)$, where $\bar{}$ denotes the residue class in R/\underline{f} .*

Proof. Say $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and \underline{f} is generated by the regular sequence f_1, \dots, f_N with $\deg(f_i) = d_i$. Set $\bar{R} := R/\underline{f}$ and let $\underline{\ell} = \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-N}$ be a sequence of general linear forms in R . By Remark 2, the image of $\underline{\ell}$ in \bar{R} generates a minimal reduction of $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ with reduction number $\leq r$ and by (2), $\text{core}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}) = \frac{(\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, \underline{f}) : \mathfrak{m}^r}{\underline{f}}$. To compute this colon, we first resolve $R/(\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, \underline{f})$ to

determine its socle degree. Let

$$\phi := \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \ell_1 & \cdots & \ell_{n-N} & \\ & \ell_1 & \cdots & \ell_{n-N} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & \ell_1 & \cdots & \ell_{n-N} \\ & & & & \ell_1 & \cdots & \ell_{n-N} \end{array} \right) \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{cccc} \ell_1 & \cdots & \ell_{n-N} & \\ & \ell_1 & \cdots & \ell_{n-N} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & \ell_1 & \cdots & \ell_{n-N} \\ & & & & \ell_1 & \cdots & \ell_{n-N} \end{array}} \right\} \begin{array}{l} r+1 \text{ rows} \\ \\ \\ \\ \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{n-N+r \text{ columns}} \end{array}$$

and note that the $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ minors of ϕ generate the ideal $\underline{\ell}^{r+1}$. Recall that $R/\underline{\ell}^{r+1}$ is perfect of grade $n-N$ and is minimally resolved by the Eagon-Northcott complex – see [EN]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\mathbb{N}(\phi) : \quad 0 \rightarrow R^{b_{n-N}}(-(n-N+r)) \xrightarrow{d_{n-N}} \cdots \\ \cdots \rightarrow R^{b_2}(-(r+2)) \xrightarrow{d_2} R^{b_1}(-(r+1)) \xrightarrow{\wedge^{r+1} \phi} R \rightarrow R/\underline{\ell}^{r+1} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, R/\underline{f} is minimally resolved by the Koszul complex:

$$\mathbb{K}(\underline{f}) : \quad 0 \rightarrow R(-\sum_{i=1}^N d_i) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^N R(-d_i) \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/\underline{f} \rightarrow 0.$$

We consider the tensor product of the above complexes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\mathbb{N}(\phi) \otimes \mathbb{K}(\underline{f}) : \quad 0 \rightarrow R^{b_{n-N}}(-(n-N+r + \sum_{i=1}^N d_i)) \rightarrow \cdots \\ \cdots \rightarrow R^{b_1}(-(r+1)) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^N R(-d_i) \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/(\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, \underline{f}) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and recall that its i -th homology is isomorphic to $\text{Tor}_i^R(R/\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, R/\underline{f})$ for $i \geq 0$ – see [R, Theorem 11.21]. As $\underline{\ell}$ is generated by a regular sequence and \underline{f} is a regular sequence modulo $\underline{\ell}$, it is also a regular sequence modulo $\underline{\ell}^{r+1}$ and all higher Tor_i vanish. Thus, $\mathbb{E}\mathbb{N}(\phi) \otimes \mathbb{K}(\underline{f})$ is in fact a free resolution of $R/(\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, \underline{f})$ of length n in which the n -th module has a twist of $-(n+r + \sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - 1)) = -(n+2r)$. It now follows from

$$\text{Tor}_n^R(R/(\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, \underline{f}), k) \cong k^{b_{n-N}}(-(n+2r)) \cong \frac{(\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, \underline{f}) : \mathfrak{m}}{(\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, \underline{f})}(-n).$$

that $R/(\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, \underline{f})$ has socle isomorphic to $k^{b_{n-N}}(-2r)$.

To prove our claim, let $x \in R$ such that $\bar{x} \in \text{core}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})$. As $\text{core}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}) = \frac{(\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, \underline{f}) : \mathfrak{m}^r}{\underline{f}}$, we have $x \mathfrak{m}^r \subseteq (\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, \underline{f})$ and consequently $x \mathfrak{m}^{r-1}$ is contained

in the socle of $R/(\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, \underline{f})$. This socle is generated in degree $2r$, as shown above. Thus, $\deg x \geq r+1$ and $\text{core}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}) \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{r+1}$. The reverse inclusion is clear, as $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{2r+1} \subseteq (\underline{\ell}^{r+1}, \underline{f})$. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. As before, let $\bar{\cdot}$ denote the residue class in $\bar{R} = R/\underline{f}$ and let $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the homogeneous maximal ideal (X_1, \dots, X_n) . By Lemma 4, $\text{core}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ is generated in degree $r+1$, where $r = \sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - 1)$. So if $\deg(f_{N+1}) = d_{N+1} \leq r$, then $\bar{f}_{N+1} \notin \text{core}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})$, that is, \bar{f}_{N+1} is not contained in some minimal reduction of $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$.

By [CPU1, Theorem 4.5], $\text{core}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ is in fact the intersection of *general* minimal reductions of $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$. As the generators of $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ are all in degree one, its general minimal reductions are precisely the ideals generated by $n - N$ general linear forms. Thus, we have established that $f_{N+1} \notin (\underline{f}, \underline{\ell})$ for some choice of general linear forms $\underline{\ell} = \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-N}$ whenever $d_{N+1} \leq r$. A priori, this implies

$$\text{HF}(R/(I, \underline{\ell}), d_{N+1}) = \text{HF}(R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell}), d_{N+1}) - 1,$$

where $\text{HF}(M, \cdot)$ denotes the Hilbert function of an R -module M . But as $R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell})$ is Gorenstein with a 1-dimensional socle in degree r , we have in fact

$$\text{HF}(R/(I, \underline{\ell}), i) \leq \text{HF}(R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell}), i) - 1 \quad \text{for } d_{N+1} \leq i \leq r$$

and consequently

$$\lambda(R/(I, \underline{\ell})) \leq \lambda(R/(\underline{f}, \underline{\ell})) - (r - d_{N+1} + 1).$$

As shown in Section 1.1, $e(R/I) \leq \lambda(R/(I, \underline{\ell}))$ and we arrive at

$$e(R/I) \leq \prod_{i=1}^N d_i - \sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - 1) + (d_{N+1} - 1)$$

whenever $d_{N+1} \leq r$.

Finally, notice that the condition $d_{N+1} \leq r$ is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - 1) - (d_{N+1} - 1) \geq 1$. If this condition is not satisfied, one may infer by elementary means (see [E, Lemma 8]) that $e(R/I) \leq e(R/\underline{f}) - 1$. This proves the inequality

$$e(R/I) \leq \prod_{i=1}^N d_i - \max \left\{ 1, \sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - 1) - (d_{N+1} - 1) \right\}.$$

\square

Acknowledgments

I thank Craig Huneke, Claudia Polini, and Bernd Ulrich for valuable conversations.

References

- [CPU1] A. Corso, C. Polini, and B. Ulrich, *The structure of the core of ideals*. Math. Ann. **321** (2001), no. 1, 89–105.
- [CPU2] A. Corso, C. Polini, and B. Ulrich, *Core and residual intersections of ideals*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 2579–2594.
- [EN] J.A. Eagon and D.G. Northcott, *Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A **269** (1962), 188–204.
- [E] B. Engheta, *On the projective dimension and the unmixed part of three cubics*. J. Algebra **316** (2007), no. 2, 715–734.
- [HS] C. Huneke and I. Swanson, *Cores of ideals in 2-dimensional regular local rings*. Michigan Math. J. **42** (1995), no. 1, 193–208.
- [HT] C. Huneke and N.V. Trung, *On the core of ideals*. Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 1, 1–18.
- [NR] D.G. Northcott and D. Rees, *Reductions of ideals in local rings*. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **50** (1954), 145–158.
- [PU] C. Polini and B. Ulrich, *A formula for the core of an ideal*. Math. Ann. **331** (2005), no. 3, 487–503.
- [PUV] C. Polini, B. Ulrich, and M. Vitulli, *The core of zero-dimensional monomial ideals*. Adv. Math. **211** (2007), no. 1, 72–93.
- [RS] D. Rees and J.D. Sally, *General elements and joint reductions*. Michigan Math. J. **35** (1988), no. 2, 241–254.
- [R] J.J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra*. Pure and Applied Mathematics **85**. Academic Press, New York.
- [S] J.-P. Serre, *Local algebra*. Springer Monogr. Math. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.