

# The Quaternions

John Huerta

Department of Mathematics  
UC Riverside

Cal State Stanislaus

- ▶ The complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  form a plane.
- ▶ Their operations are very related to two dimensional geometry.
- ▶ In particular, multiplication by a unit complex number:

$$|z|^2 = 1$$

gives a *rotation*:

$$R_z(w) = zw.$$

## Remember:

- ▶  $\mathbb{C} = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, i^2 = -1\}$
- ▶ We can add and multiply in  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- ▶ We can also conjugate:

$$\overline{a + bi} = a - bi.$$

- ▶ And take norms:

$$|z|^2 = z\bar{z}$$

where

$$|a + bi|^2 = a^2 + b^2.$$

- ▶ This norm is crucial! It satisfies:

$$|zw| = |z||w|.$$

## The unit complex numbers

$$U(1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z|^2 = 1\}$$

form a circle. They also form a group:

- ▶  $U(1)$  is closed under multiplication:

$$|zw| = |z||w| = 1$$

- ▶ The conjugates are inverses:

$$|\bar{z}| = |z| = 1$$

and

$$z\bar{z} = |z|^2 = 1$$

.

The key group in plane geometry is  $SO(2)$ , the group of rotations of the plane.

- ▶ We have a map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: \quad U(1) &\rightarrow SO(2) \\ z &\mapsto R_z \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ This map is an isomorphism!

$$U(1) \cong SO(2).$$

So these groups are the same.

The 19th century Irish mathematician and physicist William Rowan Hamilton was fascinated by the role of  $\mathbb{C}$  in two-dimensional geometry.

For years, he tried to invent an algebra of “triplets” to play the same role in three dimensions:

$$a + bi + cj \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Alas, we now know this quest was in vain.

## Theorem

*The only normed division algebras, which have a norm satisfying*

$$|zw| = |z||w|$$

*have dimension 1, 2, 4, or 8.*

Hamilton's search continued into October, 1843:

*Every morning in the early part of the above-cited month, on my coming down to breakfast, your (then) little brother William Edwin, and yourself, used to ask me: "Well, Papa, can you multiply triplets?" Whereto I was always obliged to reply, with a sad shake of the head: "No, I can only add and subtract them."*



On October 16th, 1843, while walking with his wife in to a meeting of the Royal Society of Dublin, Hamilton discovered a 4-dimensional algebra called the **quaternions**:

*That is to say, I then and there felt the galvanic circuit of thought close; and the sparks which fell from it were the fundamental equations between  $i, j, k$ ; exactly such as I have used them ever since:*

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1.$$

The quaternions are

$$\mathbb{H} = \{a + bi + cj + dk : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

- ▶  $ij = k = -ji$ .
- ▶ We can conjugate them:

$$\overline{a + bi + cj + dk} = a - bi - cj - dk.$$

- ▶ There's a norm:

$$|q|^2 = q\bar{q}.$$

- ▶ Satisfying:

$$|qu| = |q||u|$$

Just like the unit complex numbers, the unit quaternions form a group:

$$\text{Spin}(3) = \{q \in \mathbb{H} : |q|^2 = 1\}$$

*We can use these to give rotations in three dimensions!*

- ▶ Think of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as the imaginary quaternions:

$$\text{Im } \mathbb{H} = \{ai + bj + ck : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

- ▶ A unit quaternion gives a rotation:

$$R_q(\mathbf{v}) = q\mathbf{v}\bar{q}, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}.$$

- ▶ We can represent any rotation this way.
- ▶ This is often used in programming applications.
- ▶ We get a map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi: \text{Spin}(3) & \rightarrow & \text{SO}(3) \\ q & \mapsto & R_q \end{array}$$

where  $\text{SO}(3)$  is the group of rotations in three-dimensions.

*Is this map an isomorphism?*

No!

It's onto, but not 1-to-1:

$$R_q = R_{-q}, \text{ since } q\mathbf{v}\bar{q} = (-q)\mathbf{v}(-\bar{q})$$

In fact, it's 2-to-1.

So,  $\varphi$  is not an isomorphism. Is there an isomorphism?

$$\text{Spin}(3) \cong \text{SO}(3)?$$

We can answer this using *topology*.

- ▶ As a space:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Spin}(3) &= \left\{ a + bi + cj + dk \in \mathbb{H} : a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 1 \right\} \\ &= S^3.\end{aligned}$$

the 3-sphere, a sphere of higher dimension.

- ▶  $\text{SO}(3) =$  ball of radius  $\pi$  with antipodal points identified.

These spaces sound different. *Are they?*

Yes!

- ▶  $\text{Spin}(3) = S^3$  is **simply connected**: any loop in it can be continuously deformed to a point.
- ▶  $\text{SO}(3)$  is not simply connected: there is a loop that can't be deformed to a point.

Therefore:

$$\text{Spin}(3) \not\cong \text{SO}(3).$$

Amazingly, this fact is important in quantum physics!

- ▶ A path from 0 to 360 in  $\text{Spin}(3)$  starts at 1, but ends at  $-1$ .
- ▶ Since  $R_1 = R_{-1}$ , this is OK.
- ▶ You must rotate from 0 to 720 to get back to 1.



Quantum mechanics says that particles are represented by waves:

- ▶ The simplest kind of wave a is a function:

$$\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

- ▶ But since we live in three dimensions:

$$\psi: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

- ▶ And because it's quantum:

$$\psi: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

- ▶ Yet, in 1924, Wolfgang Pauli (secretly) discovered that for electrons:

$$\psi: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

If we rotate most particles, we rotate its wave:

$$R_q\psi(\mathbf{v}) := \psi(R_{\bar{q}}(\mathbf{v})).$$

But to rotate an electron, Pauli found:

$$R_q\psi(\mathbf{v}) := q\psi(R_{\bar{q}}(\mathbf{v})).$$

In particular, for a 360 rotation:

$$R_{-1}\psi(\mathbf{v}) = -\psi(\mathbf{v}).$$

*Electrons can tell if they have been rotated 360!*