UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval)
Winter 2011

Course: MATH 150A Section: 001 - INTERMEDIATE ANALYSIS
Instructor: Murugiah Muraleetharan
Home Dept.: Mathematics

	Course	Department	Campus
Enrollment:	7	5402	64391
Respondents:	5	4369	51768
Response Rate:	71%	81%	80%

Questions	5 High	4	3	2	1 Low	N/A	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 I had a strong desire to take this course	2	2	-	1	-	-	4.0	4.0	1.2	50	3.9	4.0	1.1	58	3.9	4.0	1.1
2 I attended class regularly	4	1	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0	0.4	88	4.4	5.0	0.9	89	4.4	5.0	0.8
3 I put considerable effort into this course	3	2	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	83	4.3	4.0	0.8	80	4.3	4.0	0.8
4 I gained a good understanding of the course content	1	3	1	-	-	-	4.0	4.0	0.7	60	4.0	4.0	0.9	62	4.1	4.0	0.9
5 I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class	3	1	1	-	-	-	4.4	5.0	0.9	88	3.9	4.0	1.0	76	3.8	4.0	1.1
6 Instructor was prepared and organized	4	1	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0	0.4	90	4.3	4.0	0.9	92	4.3	5.0	0.8
7 Instructor used class time effectively	3	2	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	81	4.3	4.0	0.9	85	4.3	4.0	0.9
8 Instructor was clear and understandable	1	4	-	-	-	-	4.2	4.0	0.4	72	3.9	4.0	1.2	72	4.2	4.0	1.0
9 Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching	2	3	-	-	-	-	4.4	4.0	0.5	70	4.2	4.0	1.0	71	4.4	5.0	0.9
10 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress	4	1	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0	0.4	90	4.2	4.0	0.9	92	4.3	5.0	0.9
11 Instructor was available and helpful	3	2	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	82	4.2	4.0	0.9	84	4.2	4.0	0.9
12 Instructor was fair in evaluating students	3	2	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	79	4.2	4.0	0.9	83	4.2	4.0	0.9
13 Instructor was effective as a teacher overall	3	2	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	83	4.1	4.0	1.0	86	4.2	4.0	0.9
14 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses	3	2	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	89	4.2	4.0	0.9	85	4.4	5.0	0.8
15 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course	4	1	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0	0.4	89	4.3	4.0	0.9	92	4.3	4.0	0.9
16 The required readings contributed to my learning	3	2	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	86	3.9	4.0	0.9	84	4.2	4.0	0.9
17 The assignments contributed to my learning	3	2	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	76	4.3	4.0	0.8	84	4.2	4.0	0.9
18 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, demonstrations, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative	2	2	1	-	-	-	4.2	4.0	0.8	72	4.0	4.0	0.9	67	4.2	4.0	0.9
19 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent	3	1	1	-	-	-	4.4	5.0	0.9	78	4.0	4.0	1.0	78	4.1	4.0	1.0

* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval) Winter 2011

Course: MATH 150A Section: 001 - INTERMEDIATE ANALYSIS
Instructor: Murugiah Muraleetharan

Question # 25: Please comment on how the instructor's teaching helped your learning of the material in this course. Please give serious thought to your comments. Your comments will be studied by the professor after the grade and performance evaluation of your work have been submitted and may be used in changing future offerings of the course. In addition, these comments are placed in the instructor's file and maybe used for purposes of evaluating the instructor's teaching. The information collected will remain anonymous.

- I think that this was a very difficult class and I think professor Muralee handled it very well. If there was one thing that he may improve on is that he would sometimes go a little bit to fast to keep up with him while he was lecturing. Other then that i think he did a really good job.

- I think that MAT 150 is a very valuable course to be taken for a future teacher of mathematics. I also strongly praise professor Muraleetharan. Having him as the instructor of this extremely difficult course has often been my salvation. I think that he was very fair and taught his course with the vision of learning.

- Professor Muraleetharan was really helpful during office hours and I really liked how he gave a take home midterm and final exam. It made the pressure of studying so much easier, so that I could concentrate on just trying to figure out the problems.

UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval)
Winter 2011

Course: MATH 008B Section: 040 - INTRO TO COLL MATH FOR SCIENCES
Instructor: Murugiah Muraleetharan
Home Dept.: Mathematics

	Course	Department	Campus
	Enrollment: 43	Enrollment: 5402	Enrollment: 64391
	Respondents: 35	Respondents: 4369	Respondents: 51768
	Response Rate: 81%	Response Rate: 81%	Response Rate: 80%

Questions	5 High	4	3	2	1 Low	N/A	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 I had a strong desire to take this course	12	19	2	1	1	-	4.1	4.0	0.9	56	3.9	4.0	1.1	63	3.9	4.0	1.1
2 I attended class regularly	20	12	2	-	1	-	4.4	5.0	0.9	63	4.4	5.0	0.9	67	4.4	5.0	0.8
3 I put considerable effort into this course	17	14	3	1	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.8	58	4.3	4.0	0.8	65	4.3	4.0	0.8
4 I gained a good understanding of the course content	12	18	5	-	-	-	4.2	4.0	0.7	70	4.0	4.0	0.9	69	4.1	4.0	0.9
5 I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class	12	11	9	2	-	-	4.0	4.0	0.9	63	3.9	4.0	1.0	60	3.8	4.0	1.1
6 Instructor was prepared and organized	15	17	3	-	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.6	65	4.3	4.0	0.9	72	4.3	5.0	0.8
7 Instructor used class time effectively	21	9	4	1	-	-	4.4	5.0	0.8	71	4.3	4.0	0.9	77	4.3	4.0	0.9
8 Instructor was clear and understandable	6	12	13	3	1	-	3.5	4.0	1.0	44	3.9	4.0	1.2	48	4.2	4.0	1.0
9 Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching	10	9	14	1	1	-	3.7	4.0	1.0	35	4.2	4.0	1.0	38	4.4	5.0	0.9
10 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress	15	9	10	-	1	-	4.1	4.0	1.0	57	4.2	4.0	0.9	63	4.3	5.0	0.9
11 Instructor was available and helpful	17	11	7	-	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.8	68	4.2	4.0	0.9	72	4.2	4.0	0.9
12 Instructor was fair in evaluating students	15	15	5	-	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.7	63	4.2	4.0	0.9	70	4.2	4.0	0.9
13 Instructor was effective as a teacher overall	11	17	6	1	-	-	4.1	4.0	0.8	63	4.1	4.0	1.0	69	4.2	4.0	0.9
14 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses	17	15	2	1	-	-	4.4	4.0	0.7	78	4.2	4.0	0.9	77	4.4	5.0	0.8
15 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course	20	12	3	-	-	-	4.5	5.0	0.7	72	4.3	4.0	0.9	81	4.3	4.0	0.9
16 The required readings contributed to my learning	13	13	9	-	-	-	4.1	4.0	0.8	62	3.9	4.0	0.9	64	4.2	4.0	0.9
17 The assignments contributed to my learning	18	11	5	1	-	-	4.3	5.0	0.8	59	4.3	4.0	0.8	72	4.2	4.0	0.9
18 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, demonstrations, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative	16	11	8	-	-	-	4.2	4.0	0.8	72	4.0	4.0	0.9	67	4.2	4.0	0.9
19 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent	9	19	7	-	-	-	4.1	4.0	0.7	65	4.0	4.0	1.0	67	4.1	4.0	1.0
20 Q1	1	-	-	1	-	-	3.5	3.5	2.1	31	4.1	4.0	1.0	48	4.1	4.0	1.0
21 Q2	1	-	-	1	-	-	3.5	3.5	2.1	38	4.0	4.0	1.0	46	4.1	4.0	0.9
22 Q3	1	-	-	1	-	-	3.5	3.5	2.1	33	4.1	4.0	1.0	46	4.1	4.0	0.9
23 Q4	1	-	-	1	-	-	3.5	3.5	2.1	35	4.1	4.0	1.0	48	4.1	4.0	1.0
24 Q5	1	-	-	1	-	-	3.5	3.5	2.1	33	4.1	4.0	1.0	48	4.0	4.0	1.0

* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval) Winter 2011

Course: MATH 008B Section: 040 - INTRO TO COLL MATH FOR SCIENCES
Instructor: Murugiah Muraleetharan

Question # 25: Please comment on how the instructor's teaching helped your learning of the material in this course. Please give serious thought to your comments. Your comments will be studied by the professor after the grade and performance evaluation of your work have been submitted and may be used in changing future offerings of the course. In addition, these comments are placed in the instructor's file and maybe used for purposes of evaluating the instructor's teaching. The information collected will remain anonymous.

- Sometimes, hard time understanding what the Prof. says. (pronounciation)
- I had a great time in the class. He was always willing to help a student and make the material easier to understand when a student did not grasp the concept. He also prepared us for the exams with time.
- Great professor, and I would definitely recommend him to other students.
- The practice exams that are given are very helpful when it comes to the actual exams. He is very helpful when he is asked a question about a problem and he takes time so you can better understand it.
- I hate to say that this instructor does not explain, he simply talks while he's writing and moves on to the next section, he does not stop to explain what he wrote, he simply writes it and say what he wrote out loud. However, he is helpful during office houres, but I do not understand why he does not explain while he gives a new lesson?
- Instructor used class time very effectively. He started lecture right away as soon as class started and didn't go off track all throughout lecture. He goes teaches at a really fast pace and repeats himself quite a few times, but only on certain things, especially when he senses that that section could be a bit confusing/difficult. Spends a lot of time writing out definitions and theorems and provides one example for them, but sometimes he doesn't provide enough (it would be more helpful if he provided a bit more examples). Exams were very challenging but reflected what was on the practice exams very well. So the practice exams were very helpful.
- Try to explain material in a way different from the book. Concerning homework leave the option up to work problems similar to the ones that we are doing, web assign doesn't give much feed back on why material is wrong. If we are able to see an example of how its done it would be easier as a student to fully complete the assignment.
- Dr. Muraleetharan is a good teacher...to me, but may not be to others. He explains exactly every step and every detail. Sometimes, however he moves too fast. Other times he moves too slow (but it may be the class that's slowing him down). He speaks a bit sluggish sometimes. His enthusiasm could be improved.
- Instructor was excellent. Thank you.
- Thank you for all your help! Have a good spring break.
- Dr. Muralee is a great Math professor. The practice midterms really helped me solidify my understanding in the course.
- good professor overall.helpful and nice it seemed enthusiastic to start the class and teach. but the reading was sometime unclear but he will always make sure that we understood everything so at the end it was no problem. He is really helpful he always made sure that his class was in track and understood him. I would certainly recommend him.
- Professor was really great at times rarely was hard to understand but very well professor.
- Professor has an accent but overall really good. He knows his material and applies it well. Goes fast sometimes but its understandable. Answers questions when asked.

UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval)
Fall 2010

Course: MATH 131 Section: 001 - LINEAR ALGEBRA I
Instructor: Murugiah Muraleetharan
Home Dept.: Mathematics

	Course	Department	Campus
	Enrollment: 52 Respondents: 40 Response Rate: 77%	Enrollment: 6568 Respondents: 5111 Response Rate: 78%	Enrollment: 66311 Respondents: 50943 Response Rate: 77%

Questions	5 High	4	3	2	1 Low	N/A	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 I had a strong desire to take this course	17	16	3	2	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.8	82	3.8	4.0	1.1	74	3.9	4.0	1.1
2 I attended class regularly	28	8	1	1	1	-	4.6	5.0	0.9	69	4.4	5.0	0.9	78	4.5	5.0	0.8
3 I put considerable effort into this course	18	17	3	1	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.7	44	4.3	4.0	0.8	65	4.3	4.0	0.8
4 I gained a good understanding of the course content	14	18	5	2	-	-	4.1	4.0	0.8	65	4.1	4.0	0.9	67	4.1	4.0	0.9
5 I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class	14	13	8	2	1	-	4.0	4.0	1.0	69	3.8	4.0	1.0	67	3.7	4.0	1.1
6 Instructor was prepared and organized	24	14	-	1	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.6	83	4.3	4.0	0.9	85	4.3	5.0	0.9
7 Instructor used class time effectively	21	15	2	1	-	-	4.4	5.0	0.7	72	4.3	5.0	0.9	79	4.3	5.0	0.9
8 Instructor was clear and understandable	17	14	6	1	1	-	4.2	4.0	1.0	71	3.9	4.0	1.2	73	4.2	4.0	1.0
9 Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching	15	8	12	4	-	-	3.9	4.0	1.1	42	4.2	4.0	1.0	52	4.4	5.0	0.9
10 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress	15	18	6	-	-	-	4.2	4.0	0.7	59	4.2	4.0	0.9	68	4.3	5.0	0.9
11 Instructor was available and helpful	14	18	7	-	-	-	4.2	4.0	0.7	60	4.2	4.0	0.9	69	4.2	4.0	0.9
12 Instructor was fair in evaluating students	20	18	1	-	-	-	4.5	5.0	0.6	73	4.2	4.0	0.9	80	4.2	4.0	0.9
13 Instructor was effective as a teacher overall	16	19	4	-	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.7	70	4.1	4.0	1.0	76	4.2	4.0	0.9
14 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses	21	18	-	-	-	-	4.5	5.0	0.5	72	4.3	4.0	0.8	81	4.4	5.0	0.8
15 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course	26	13	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.5	87	4.4	5.0	0.8	89	4.2	4.0	0.9
16 The required readings contributed to my learning	16	17	6	-	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.7	81	3.9	4.0	0.9	73	4.2	4.0	0.9
17 The assignments Contributed to my learning	23	15	-	-	1	-	4.5	5.0	0.8	77	4.4	5.0	0.8	79	4.2	4.0	0.9
18 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative	11	14	13	-	-	-	3.9	4.0	0.8	50	4.0	4.0	0.9	62	4.2	4.0	0.9
19 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent	13	22	3	1	-	-	4.2	4.0	0.7	67	4.0	4.0	1.0	73	4.1	4.0	1.0
20 Q1	1	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.1	4.0	0.9	100	4.1	4.0	1.0
21 Q2	1	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.0	4.0	0.9	100	4.1	4.0	0.9
22 Q3	1	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.1	4.0	0.9	100	4.1	4.0	0.9
23 Q4	1	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.1	4.0	0.9	100	4.1	4.0	1.0
24 Q5	1	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.0	4.0	0.9	100	4.1	4.0	1.0

* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval) Fall 2010

Course: MATH 131 Section: 001 - LINEAR ALGEBRA I
Instructor: Murugiah Muraleetharan

Question # 25: Please comment on how the instructor's teaching helped your learning of the material in this course. Please give serious thought to your comments. Your comments will be studied by the professor after the grade and performance evaluation of your work have been submitted and may be used in changing future offerings of the course. In addition, these comments are placed in the instructor's file and maybe used for purposes of evaluating the instructor's teaching. The information collected will remain anonymous

- The professor has a strong accent, but he compensates for that by repeating what he is saying and by writing it down, as well as by drawing diagrams when necessary. Lecture was really good and went well with the readings. It was also relevant to the homework, which allowed us to complete it with less difficulty. Overall he is a great professor!

- Great professor, the only thing I would recommend is it talk to your students about your plan, most of the time students do not know what will happen in the next class, post the HW assignments earlier, just have things planned ahead of time not a day or two days before. TA's did not go the HW grading on time and did not inform students ahead of time what their plan is for the class. Thank you.

- He always paused to ask if we understood. My only complaint is that he was too nice...sometimes students would be talking and often people would just pack up their things exactly at 12pm (when class ended), and I think he should have had a little more command in these situations.

- Muralee made himself available to students and was very helpful; his office hours were often full of students and he made good use of the time to clarify concepts.

- He is a very direct and organized teacher. My only complaint is that I would like to see him to cover more homework related problems when covering a lecture because some of the homework problems were focused on trying confuse the person attempting the problem. I also had an issue initially getting used to the proofs as well as linear transformations, so if he teaches this section again I would suggest showing more examples. Otherwise, he was very clear in what he was trying to teach and he used his time very wisely. It would have been nice if he asked if the students had any problems before starting lecture because the homework was quite difficult. A good experience otherwise.

- Professor Muralee did an exceptional job teaching Linear Algebra. i still was able to understand everything that he was saying despite the heavy accent. He definitely understood the material and used the time in lecture efficiently. My only complaint was the lack of some examples in certain sections. This was my first upper division class as well as my first quarter here at UCR. However, through help from the TAs, classmates, and the professor himself I feel that I learned the material rather well. Thank you for the practice midterm and practice final, they were definitely a great help in being successful in this course!

- Prof was always proofing proofs and then would do simple examples. Homework was a JOKE. None of it seemed to be related to class lectures or exams. I thought maybe it was the wording of the question, but then our ta would even question some problems. He needs to not skip steps because he makes lots of simple mistakes which then confuses me when I go to study or attempt homework.

- Professor Muraleee was a well prepared teacher.

- overall he was an effective professor. Possibly doing more examples rather than theorems and proofs will help students understand. Also be mindful that this class is corequisted with another so many students may not have learned some of the procedures behind certain proofs.

- Accurate and descriptive.

- Overall, my professor did a great job. He did explain things clearly but he used examples straight from the book. Nevertheless, his homework assignments and practice exam were fairly helpful. He was also helpful during office hours.

- Professor Muralee was overall a good professor. He had many examples in his lectures so it made understanding the material easier.

- Everything was great.

UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval)
Fall 2010

Course: MATH 120 Section: 001 - OPTIMIZATION
Instructor: Murugiah Muraleetharan
Home Dept.: Mathematics

	Course	Department	Campus
	Enrollment: 41 Respondents: 31 Response Rate: 76%	Enrollment: 6568 Respondents: 5111 Response Rate: 78%	Enrollment: 66311 Respondents: 50943 Response Rate: 77%

Questions	5 High	4	3	2	1 Low	N/A	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 I had a strong desire to take this course	11	8	8	4	-	-	3.8	4.0	1.1	53	3.8	4.0	1.1	56	3.9	4.0	1.1
2 I attended class regularly	16	9	4	2	-	-	4.3	5.0	0.9	46	4.4	5.0	0.9	61	4.5	5.0	0.8
3 I put considerable effort into this course	12	12	3	3	1	-	4.0	4.0	1.1	11	4.3	4.0	0.8	50	4.3	4.0	0.8
4 I gained a good understanding of the course content	11	13	4	2	1	-	4.0	4.0	1.0	59	4.1	4.0	0.9	63	4.1	4.0	0.9
5 I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class	9	7	8	4	2	-	3.6	4.0	1.3	38	3.8	4.0	1.0	53	3.7	4.0	1.1
6 Instructor was prepared and organized	13	12	6	-	-	-	4.2	4.0	0.8	61	4.3	4.0	0.9	69	4.3	5.0	0.9
7 Instructor used class time effectively	15	11	5	-	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.7	67	4.3	5.0	0.9	75	4.3	5.0	0.9
8 Instructor was clear and understandable	9	11	6	4	1	-	3.7	4.0	1.1	54	3.9	4.0	1.2	57	4.2	4.0	1.0
9 Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching	10	10	7	4	-	-	3.8	4.0	1.0	37	4.2	4.0	1.0	48	4.4	5.0	0.9
10 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress	15	9	6	1	-	-	4.2	4.0	0.9	59	4.2	4.0	0.9	68	4.3	5.0	0.9
11 Instructor was available and helpful	14	9	7	1	-	-	4.2	4.0	0.9	60	4.2	4.0	0.9	69	4.2	4.0	0.9
12 Instructor was fair in evaluating students	15	9	7	-	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.8	60	4.2	4.0	0.9	72	4.2	4.0	0.9
13 Instructor was effective as a teacher overall	12	10	7	1	1	-	4.0	4.0	1.0	57	4.1	4.0	1.0	66	4.2	4.0	0.9
14 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses	15	11	4	1	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.8	61	4.3	4.0	0.8	74	4.4	5.0	0.8
15 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course	16	12	3	-	-	-	4.4	5.0	0.7	67	4.4	5.0	0.8	79	4.2	4.0	0.9
16 The required readings contributed to my learning	11	11	7	-	2	-	3.9	4.0	1.1	56	3.9	4.0	0.9	58	4.2	4.0	0.9
17 The assignments Contributed to my learning	17	10	3	1	-	-	4.4	5.0	0.8	69	4.4	5.0	0.8	75	4.2	4.0	0.9
18 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative	11	9	10	1	-	-	4.0	4.0	0.9	56	4.0	4.0	0.9	66	4.2	4.0	0.9
19 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent	11	10	6	2	1	-	3.9	4.0	1.1	52	4.0	4.0	1.0	63	4.1	4.0	1.0
20 Q1	3	-	1	-	-	-	4.5	5.0	1.0	78	4.1	4.0	0.9	83	4.1	4.0	1.0
21 Q2	3	-	1	-	-	-	4.5	5.0	1.0	80	4.0	4.0	0.9	83	4.1	4.0	0.9
22 Q3	3	-	1	-	-	-	4.5	5.0	1.0	80	4.1	4.0	0.9	82	4.1	4.0	0.9
23 Q4	3	-	1	-	-	-	4.5	5.0	1.0	81	4.1	4.0	0.9	86	4.1	4.0	1.0
24 Q5	2	-	1	-	-	-	4.3	5.0	1.2	74	4.0	4.0	0.9	74	4.1	4.0	1.0

* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval) Fall 2010

Course: MATH 120 Section: 001 - OPTIMIZATION
Instructor: Murugiah Muraleetharan

Question # 25: Please comment on how the instructor's teaching helped your learning of the material in this course. Please give serious thought to your comments. Your comments will be studied by the professor after the grade and performance evaluation of your work have been submitted and may be used in changing future offerings of the course. In addition, these comments are placed in the instructor's file and maybe used for purposes of evaluating the instructor's teaching. The information collected will remain anonymous

- He was helpful when lecturing because he explained the theory then gave specific examples. I liked his enthusiasm for the subject.
- Prof. Muralee did a good job teaching Math 120 overall. His instruction is clear. One thing I wished he could have done would be introducing why we studied Optimization in the first place and how the materials we have been learning can relate to real-life problems. If he did that, this class would be perfect. But other than that, I admire Prof. Muralee because he is a very nice man. I learned a lot from this class.
- Professor was good, but sometimes even he had no idea what he was talking about. Lectures look like they were prepared a couple of minutes before class instead of taking time to prepare. Overall needs improvement
- I don't think that the professor has much experience teaching undergraduate students, or at least my type of undergraduates. He tends to give the math and provide the theorems without any motivation for their use or why they are derived. He seems eager enough to teach the material, but I was consistently lost during his classes. He could perhaps improve by not always following the strict mathematical notation and wording, and rephrase the concepts (both in verbal form and written) in a more intuitive way that may be less mathematically correct, but is sufficient for teaching the initial concept. Also, it would be nice if he said "Hello" or "Good Morning" once in a while...
- A+++
- Muralee is a really great guy. I think he's very kind, and its very apparent that he loves what he does. I also do like how he teaches, using alot of theory, but I think I would understand if other students who may not be so grounded in math didn't. Overall, I would so he's a very good professor, the only thing that comes to mind in any constructive criticism would be perhaps vary from the book a little bit and make the lesson a bit more his own! That is all.
- Lectures are really helpful but sometimes boring. Too many examples from the book and too mug basing the course from the book.
- Professor Muralee is an outstanding professor. He always comes to class with a good attitude and is very knowledgable about the material. He has strong motivations in teaching students and he makes his motivations clear. Overall, I enjoyed Muralee's class and I would definitely take his classes in the future. Hopefully, he gets to teach more advanced math classes in analysis and topology (because he was really in-depth and meaningful in the calculus and topology material of the course).
- I enjoyed this class and the professor was really helpful, plus he explained the material well.
- Prof Murugiah Muraleetharan is a fair teacher. I guess the most problem lies in 50 minute class. A lot of time he try to rush through lesson at the end of 5 minutes because he cant finish his explanation. If there is anything bad about Prof Murugiah it will be his way of presenting the class. He usually explain all the theorem from the whole chapter before doing example, but I prefer if he do a little example every some theorem to illustrate what he means, because if we students do not understand the first part of the theorem, we wont be able to understand all the other theorems that are based on the first theorem.
- The professor did well and I think he was extremely effective. However, the book used for optimization is the absolutely worse math text book I have ever used. Their "proofs" are confusing to the point where it's hard to figure out if they actually proved the theorem. Their examples are useless. Their explanations make the material even more confusing. If it wasn't for the professor and TA I would know absolutely nothing about optimization. I highly suggest updating that terrible book and using a book that will actually help students. However, this isn't a reflection on the professor. Professor Muralee did well!
- The department needs to get a new book for this course. And it should be done before this course is ever offered again. The notation is horrible.

UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval)
Fall 2009

Course: MATH 010A Section: 010 - CALCULUS:SEVERAL VARIABLES
Instructor: Murugiah Muraleetharan
Home Dept.: Mathematics

	Course	Department	Campus
	Enrollment: 53 Respondents: 31 Response Rate: 58%	Enrollment: 5615 Respondents: 4180 Response Rate: 74%	Enrollment: 59672 Respondents: 42899 Response Rate: 72%

Questions	5 High	4	3	2	1 Low	N/A	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 I had a strong desire to take this course	14	10	4	1	2	-	4.1	4.0	1.2	67	3.9	4.0	1.2	71	3.9	4.0	1.1
2 I attended class regularly	17	8	5	-	1	-	4.3	5.0	1.0	56	4.5	5.0	0.9	65	4.5	5.0	0.9
3 I put considerable effort into this course	15	14	2	-	-	-	4.4	4.0	0.6	65	4.4	5.0	0.8	74	4.3	5.0	0.9
4 I gained a good understanding of the course content	16	9	6	-	-	-	4.3	5.0	0.8	70	4.2	4.0	1.0	74	4.2	4.0	1.0
5 I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class	12	7	9	3	-	-	3.9	4.0	1.0	64	3.9	4.0	1.1	69	3.8	4.0	1.2
6 Instructor was prepared and organized	17	9	3	1	1	-	4.3	5.0	1.0	63	4.4	5.0	0.9	75	4.5	5.0	0.9
7 Instructor used class time effectively	18	5	6	-	2	-	4.2	5.0	1.2	58	4.4	5.0	0.9	74	4.4	5.0	0.9
8 Instructor was clear and understandable	13	5	9	3	1	-	3.8	4.0	1.2	56	4.0	5.0	1.3	61	4.3	5.0	1.0
9 Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching	16	7	3	3	2	-	4.0	5.0	1.3	58	4.3	5.0	1.0	64	4.5	5.0	0.9
10 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress	17	3	8	1	1	1	4.1	5.0	1.1	57	4.3	5.0	1.1	67	4.4	5.0	1.0
11 Instructor was available and helpful	14	9	6	1	1	-	4.1	4.0	1.0	59	4.3	5.0	1.0	67	4.4	5.0	0.9
12 Instructor was fair in evaluating students	19	7	3	1	1	-	4.4	5.0	1.0	71	4.3	5.0	1.0	79	4.4	5.0	0.9
13 Instructor was effective as a teacher overall	14	10	5	1	1	-	4.1	4.0	1.0	65	4.2	5.0	1.1	70	4.3	5.0	1.0
14 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses	16	9	3	1	1	1	4.3	5.0	1.0	67	4.4	5.0	0.9	77	4.5	5.0	0.8
15 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course	19	9	1	-	1	1	4.5	5.0	0.9	77	4.4	5.0	0.9	80	4.4	5.0	0.9
16 The required readings contributed to my learning	12	11	5	1	1	1	4.1	4.0	1.0	61	4.1	5.0	1.1	70	4.3	5.0	1.0
17 The assignments Contributed to my learning	20	6	3	-	1	1	4.5	5.0	0.9	76	4.4	5.0	1.0	83	4.3	5.0	1.0
18 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative	12	7	10	-	1	1	4.0	4.0	1.0	58	4.1	5.0	1.1	66	4.3	5.0	1.0
19 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent	15	8	3	3	1	1	4.1	4.5	1.2	65	4.1	5.0	1.1	72	4.2	5.0	1.0
20 Q1	3	1	-	-	1	26	4.0	5.0	1.7	58	4.1	5.0	1.1	66	4.2	5.0	1.1
21 Q2	3	1	-	-	1	26	4.0	5.0	1.7	61	4.1	5.0	1.1	67	4.2	5.0	1.0
22 Q3	3	1	-	-	1	26	4.0	5.0	1.7	58	4.1	5.0	1.1	67	4.2	5.0	1.0
23 Q4	3	1	-	-	1	26	4.0	5.0	1.7	55	4.1	5.0	1.1	67	4.2	5.0	1.0
24 Q5	3	1	-	-	1	26	4.0	5.0	1.7	62	4.1	5.0	1.1	66	4.2	5.0	1.1

* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval)
Fall 2009

Course: MATH 010A Section: 010 - CALCULUS:SEVERAL VARIABLES
Instructor: Murugiah Muraleetharan

Question # 25: Please comment on how the instructor's teaching helped your learning of the material in this course. Please give serious thought to your comments. Your comments will be studied by the professor after the grade and performance evaluation of your work have been submitted and may be used in changing future offerings of the course. In addition, these comments are placed in the instructor's file and maybe used for purposes of evaluating the instructor's teaching. The information collected will remain anonymous

- was easy to understand and explained things in an effective way
- Too many definitions not enough examples.
- Professor Muraleetharan spent the entire lecture instructing. Sometimes he would repeat the same thing over and over again. Too many times. There was a lot of material in this course to cover, but he managed to space it out to try and cover all of it adequately. Sometimes he would spend a lot of time on one concept, but it was time well spent. He would always explain a lot of the unfamiliar symbols he used when writing equations.
- The instructor was very rude and not well prepared. At times he texted in class and did not submit to the students plea for help.
- Professor was understandable an made the class worthwhile.
- The professor was the best. He tried hard on to help his students to understand his lectures. He always stop for asking students if they had anything did not understand what he said. On the first day that I came to his class, I was attracted by the way he teach. I liked him the most and evaluate him as the best professor in this course.
- Didn't learn much through class time. Things were taught more complex than they really had to. Taught from the book a lot. however, the iLearn homework was extremely helpful. Most of my learning came from that.
- Good Professor, very clear
- He would always explain thoroughly and repeated important facts during the lectures
- Welcoming and helpful when asked anything. But, never seems to reply to e-mails. Clear lectures and notes.
- Very interesting class, and helpful all the time when needed.
- He was very knowledgeable about the subject.
- Hard to keep up with his understanding of math, but might be just me.
- lectures were monotonous and hard to sit through. it was sometimes hard to understand what he was saying, but he always tried to make sure he explained it correctly. he would always stop in the middle of problems and say "ok so you know how to do the rest". no, we dont, you're supposed to teach us. also, showing us proofs of theorems is not helpful, we have the book for that. he should spend more time teaching us how to do the problems that will be on the exam instead of writing theorems straight out of the book. it would be nice if he interacted with the students more.
- Overall good professor considering i had no motive to take the course at all. Syllabus could have used some work such as course calendar and extra practice problems.

Spring 2005 Course Evaluation Summary Report

CRN: 1836

Department: Mathematics

Course: MATH 023-310/311/312/313 CALCULUS III

Instructor: Muraleetharan, Murugiah

College: CAS

QUESTIONS:	Agree Strongly (5)		Agree Somewhat (4)		Neutral (3)		Disagree Somewhat (2)		Disagree Strongly (1)		THIS COURSE: Mean, StDev, Count			YOUR HOME DEPT:* Mean, StDev, Count			YOUR HOME COLL:* Mean, StDev, Count		
1 Overall, the instructor's teaching was effective.	32	63%	14	27%	5	10%	0	0%	0	0%	4.53	0.67	51	3.80	1.27	730	4.33	0.94	6534
2 Overall, the quality of the course was good.	22	43%	19	37%	9	18%	1	2%	0	0%	4.22	0.81	51	3.84	1.10	729	4.32	0.91	6526
3 The instructor stated clearly what was expected of the students.	18	36%	25	50%	5	10%	2	4%	0	0%	4.18	0.77	50	4.18	0.96	730	4.43	0.85	6482
4 The instructor presented the material clearly.	28	56%	15	30%	6	12%	1	2%	0	0%	4.4	0.78	50	3.66	1.31	729	4.29	0.98	6480
5 The instructor gave good examples of the concepts.	30	60%	17	34%	1	2%	2	4%	0	0%	4.5	0.74	50	3.95	1.15	730	4.44	0.87	6477
6 The instructor answered questions clearly and concisely.	28	56%	16	32%	5	10%	1	2%	0	0%	4.42	0.76	50	3.81	1.21	731	4.32	0.95	6470
7 The instructor knew the subject well.	41	82%	9	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.82	0.39	50	4.56	0.81	731	4.78	0.56	6478
8 The instructor was generally well prepared for class.	33	66%	14	28%	2	4%	1	2%	0	0%	4.58	0.67	50	4.45	0.85	731	4.67	0.69	6479
9 The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching.	18	36%	25	50%	6	12%	1	2%	0	0%	4.2	0.73	50	4.46	0.83	731	4.64	0.71	6477
10 The instructor was helpful when students were confused.	27	54%	17	34%	5	10%	1	2%	0	0%	4.4	0.76	50	3.84	1.23	728	4.35	0.93	6467
11 The instructor treated students with respect.	39	78%	10	20%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	4.76	0.48	50	4.50	0.90	731	4.67	0.71	6475
12 Interaction between students and instructor was positive.	33	66%	14	28%	3	6%	0	0%	0	0%	4.6	0.61	50	4.26	1.03	730	4.57	0.79	6473
13 The instructor was available for conferences with students.	12	24%	18	36%	20	40%	0	0%	0	0%	3.84	0.79	50	4.20	0.95	721	4.37	0.88	6437
14 I learned a great deal in this course.	17	33%	23	45%	8	16%	2	4%	1	2%	4.04	0.92	51	3.85	1.11	731	4.24	0.96	6470
15 The course objectives were clear.	12	24%	28	55%	8	16%	1	2%	2	4%	3.92	0.91	51	4.14	0.95	731	4.38	0.87	6471
16 The grading procedures for the class were fair.	17	33%	22	43%	8	16%	2	4%	2	4%	3.98	1.01	51	3.97	1.11	729	4.24	1.00	6449
17 The text/readings were valuable in learning course content.	15	29%	23	45%	9	18%	2	4%	2	4%	3.92	1	51	3.81	1.11	728	4.09	1.07	6436
18 The assignments helped me learn the subject matter.	19	37%	25	49%	3	6%	3	6%	1	2%	4.14	0.92	51	4.27	0.92	729	4.18	0.98	6433
19 Tests and papers were graded and returned promptly.	21	41%	21	41%	7	14%	2	4%	0	0%	4.2	0.83	51	4.28	0.93	730	4.36	0.90	6437
20 The amount of work was appropriate for the credit received.	20	39%	24	47%	4	8%	2	4%	1	2%	4.18	0.89	51	4.13	1.00	731	4.29	1.00	6468
21 I would recommend this course to other students.	10	20%	17	33%	18	35%	1	2%	5	10%	3.51	1.14	51	3.63	1.23	731	4.17	1.11	6469

Distributed by Office of Institutional Research - June 2005

n = # of responses

*Dept and College stats based on Full-Time Faculty only

Fall 2005 Course Evaluation Summary Report

Course: MATH 023-210

Instructor: Murugiah Muraleetharan

Department: Mathematics

College: CAS

CRN: 40356

Title: CALCULUS III

QUESTIONS:	Agree Strongly (5)		Agree Somewhat (4)		Neutral (3)		Disagree Somewhat (2)		Disagree Strongly (1)		THIS COURSE: Mean, StDev, Count			YOUR HOME DEPT: * Mean, StDev, Count			YOUR HOME COLL: * Mean, StDev, Count		
1 Overall, the instructor's teaching was effective	20	49%	19	46%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	4.44	0.59	41	3.71	1.30	1102	4.25	1.01	7582
2 Overall, the quality of the course was good	13	32%	23	56%	5	12%	0	0%	0	0%	4.20	0.64	41	3.82	1.16	1101	4.25	0.97	7572
3 The instructor stated clearly what was expected of the students	10	24%	21	51%	9	22%	0	0%	1	2%	3.95	0.84	41	4.14	0.99	1107	4.40	0.88	7505
4 The instructor presented the material clearly	16	39%	21	51%	4	10%	0	0%	0	0%	4.29	0.64	41	3.52	1.34	1107	4.21	1.04	7501
5 The instructor gave good examples of the concepts	25	61%	14	34%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	4.56	0.59	41	3.85	1.20	1106	4.38	0.93	7491
6 The instructor answered questions clearly and concisely	23	56%	14	34%	4	10%	0	0%	0	0%	4.46	0.67	41	3.70	1.25	1102	4.25	0.99	7489
7 The instructor knew the subject well	34	83%	6	15%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	4.80	0.46	41	4.52	0.82	1105	4.77	0.59	7496
8 The instructor was generally well prepared for class	19	46%	17	41%	4	10%	1	2%	0	0%	4.32	0.76	41	4.39	0.90	1104	4.66	0.71	7499
9 The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching	5	12%	27	66%	9	22%	0	0%	0	0%	3.90	0.58	41	4.38	0.94	1105	4.64	0.73	7494
10 The instructor was helpful when students were confused	23	56%	14	34%	4	10%	0	0%	0	0%	4.46	0.67	41	3.82	1.24	1103	4.29	0.97	7486
11 The instructor treated students with respect	28	68%	11	27%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	4.63	0.58	41	4.52	0.85	1105	4.68	0.71	7494
12 Interaction between students and instructor was positive	18	44%	18	44%	5	12%	0	0%	0	0%	4.32	0.69	41	4.24	1.03	1104	4.54	0.81	7488
13 The instructor was available for conferences with students	16	39%	17	41%	6	15%	2	5%	0	0%	4.15	0.85	41	4.13	0.93	1096	4.37	0.88	7446
14 I learned a great deal in this course	7	17%	27	66%	6	15%	0	0%	1	2%	3.95	0.74	41	3.77	1.16	1104	4.17	1.00	7486
15 The course objectives were clear	8	20%	25	61%	6	15%	1	2%	1	2%	3.93	0.82	41	4.09	0.95	1104	4.34	0.89	7480
16 The grading procedures for the class were fair	13	32%	20	49%	6	15%	2	5%	0	0%	4.07	0.82	41	4.08	1.03	1101	4.23	1.01	7439
17 The text/readings were valuable in learning course content	16	39%	17	41%	8	20%	0	0%	0	0%	4.20	0.75	41	3.76	1.13	1094	4.06	1.05	7404
18 The assignments helped me learn the subject matter	27	66%	10	24%	3	7%	1	2%	0	0%	4.54	0.74	41	4.22	0.95	1098	4.19	0.95	7412
19 Tests and papers were graded and returned promptly	8	20%	25	61%	6	15%	2	5%	0	0%	3.95	0.74	41	4.23	0.92	1095	4.34	0.94	7399
20 The amount of work was appropriate for the credit received	10	24%	24	59%	7	17%	0	0%	0	0%	4.07	0.65	41	4.19	0.96	1098	4.24	1.04	7449
21 I would recommend this course to other students	6	15%	21	51%	11	27%	1	2%	2	5%	3.68	0.93	41	3.71	1.28	1103	4.10	1.15	7456

n = # of responses

*Dept and College stats based on Full-Time Faculty only