Higher Gauge Theory and Elliptic Cohomology

John C. Baez

joint work with Toby Bartels, Alissa Crans, Aaron Lauda, Urs Schreiber, and Danny Stevenson

Abel Symposium
August 8, 2007



For more see: http://math.ucr.edu/home/baez/abel/

Categorification

sets $\rightsquigarrow$ **categories**
functions $\rightsquigarrow$ **functors**
equations $\rightsquigarrow$ **natural isomorphisms**

Categorification 'boosts the dimension' by one:



In **strict** categorification we keep equations as equations. This is evil... but today we'll do it whenever it doesn't cause trouble, just to save time.

Higher Gauge Theory

groups $\rightsquigarrow$ **2-groups**
Lie algebras $\rightsquigarrow$ **Lie 2-algebras**
bundles $\rightsquigarrow$ **2-bundles**
connections $\rightsquigarrow$ **2-connections**

Connections describe parallel transport for particles.
2-Connections describe parallel transport for strings!



We should even go beyond $n = 2$... but not today.

Fix a simply-connected compact simple Lie group G. Then:

- The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ gives a 1-parameter family of Lie 2-algebras $\mathfrak{string}_k(\mathfrak{g})$.
- When $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{string}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ comes from a Lie 2-group $\mathrm{String}_k(G)$.
- The geometric realization of the nerve of $\mathrm{String}_k(G)$ is a topological group, $|\mathrm{String}_k(G)|$.
- Principal $\mathrm{String}_k(G)$-2-bundles are the same as $|\mathrm{String}_k(G)|$-bundles.
- For $k = 1$, $|\mathrm{String}_k(G)|$ is G with its 3rd homotopy group made trivial.
- Connections on $\mathrm{String}_k(G)$-2-bundles are related to Stolz and Teichner's elliptic objects!

2-Groups

A **strict 2-group** is a category in Grp: a category with a group of objects and a group of morphisms, such that all the category operations are group homomorphisms.

The objects in a 2-group look like this:



The morphisms look like this:



We can multiply objects:



multiply morphisms:



and compose morphisms:



All 3 operations have a unit and inverses. All 3 are associative, so these are well-defined:



Finally, the **interchange law** holds, meaning



is well-defined.

Mac Lane and Whitehead first introduced 2-groups in the disguise of 'crossed modules':

$$G_0 \xleftarrow{\partial} G_1$$

Here G_0 and G_1 are groups, and G_0 acts on G_1 in a manner compatible with the differential ∂.

To get a crossed module from a 2-group, just let G_0 be the group of objects:



and G_1 be the group of morphisms starting at 1. The differential ∂ is defined as follows:



Lie 2-Algebras

A **strict Lie 2-algebra** is a category in LieAlg: a category with a Lie algebra of objects and a Lie algebra of morphisms, such that all the category operations are Lie algebra homomorphisms.

A strict Lie 2-algebra can be viewed as an 'infinitesimal crossed module':

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 \xleftarrow{\partial} \mathfrak{g}_1$$

Here $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1$ are Lie algebras, and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ acts as derivations of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ in a manner compatible with the differential ∂.

Theorem (Mac Lane, Sinh). A 2-group is determined up to equivalence by:

- the group G of isomorphism classes of objects,
- the abelian group A of endomorphisms of any object,
- an action of G on A,
- an element of $H^3(G, A)$.

Theorem (Gerstenhaber, Crans). A Lie 2-algebra is determined up to equivalence by:

- the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of isomorphism classes of objects,
- the vector space $\mathfrak{a}$ of endomorphisms of any object,
- a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{a}$,
- an element of $H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$.

Suppose G is a simply-connected compact simple Lie group. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be its Lie algebra. A lemma of Whitehead says:

$$H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$$

So:

Corollary. For any $k \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a Lie 2-algebra $\mathfrak{string}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ for which:

- $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of isomorphism classes of objects;
- $\mathbb{R}$ is the vector space of endomorphisms of any object.

Every Lie 2-algebra with these properties is equivalent to $\mathfrak{string}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ for some unique $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{string}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is the Lie 2-algebra of an infinite-dimensional Lie 2-group $\mathrm{String}_k(G)$.

An object of $\mathrm{String}_k(G)$ is a smooth path

$$f : [0, 2\pi] \to G$$

starting at the identity. A morphism from f_1 to f_2 is an equivalence class of pairs (D, α) where D is a disk going from f_1 to f_2 and $\alpha \in \mathrm{U}(1)$:



Any two such pairs (D_1, α_1) and (D_2, α_2) have a 3-ball B whose boundary is $D_1 \cup D_2$. The pairs are equivalent when

$$\exp\left(2\pi i k \int_B \nu\right) = \alpha_2/\alpha_1$$

where ν is the left-invariant closed 3-form on G with

$$\nu(x, y, z) = \langle [x, y], z \rangle$$

and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the smallest invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that ν gives an integral cohomology class.

Theorem. The morphisms in $\mathrm{String}_k(G)$ starting at the constant path form the level-k central extension of the loop group ΩG:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(1) \longrightarrow \widehat{\Omega_k G} \longrightarrow \Omega G \longrightarrow 1$$

For any topological 2-group $\mathcal{G}$ there is a topological group $|\mathcal{G}|$ built as the geometric realization of the nerve of $\mathcal{G}$.

Theorem. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a short exact sequence of topological groups

$$1 \longrightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \longrightarrow |\mathrm{String}_k(G)| \xrightarrow{p} G \longrightarrow 1$$

where p is a fibration. Using this we can show:

$$\pi_2(|\mathrm{String}_k(G)|) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$$

When $k = \pm 1$, $|\mathrm{String}_k(G)|$ is the topological group formed by making the 3rd homotopy group of G trivial.

2-Bundles — Quick and Dirty

For any topological 2-group $\mathcal{G}$ and any space X, we can define a **principal $\mathcal{G}$-2-bundle over** X to consist of:

- an open cover U_i of X,
- continuous maps

$$g_{ij} \colon U_i \cap U_j \to \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{G})$$

  satisfying $g_{ii} = 1$, and
- continuous maps

$$h_{ijk} \colon U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k \to \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$$

  with

$$h_{ijk}(x) \colon g_{ij}(x) g_{jk}(x) \to g_{ik}(x)$$

satisfying the **nonabelian 2-cocycle condition:**



on any quadruple intersection $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k \cap U_\ell$.

There's a natural notion of 'equivalence' for 2-bundles over X, since they form a 2-category.

Theorem. For any topological 2-group $\mathcal{G}$ and paracompact Hausdorff space X, there is a 1-1 correspondence between:

- equivalence classes of principal $\mathcal{G}$-2-bundles over X,
- isomorphism classes of principal $|\mathcal{G}|$-bundles over X,
- homotopy classes of maps $f\colon X \to B|\mathcal{G}|$.

So, $B|\mathcal{G}|$ is the classifying space for $\mathcal{G}$-2-bundles.

2-Connections — Quick and Dirty

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie 2-group, P the trivial principal $\mathcal{G}$-2-bundle over some smooth manifold X. A **2-connection** on P assigns holonomies to paths in X:



and surfaces going between paths:



in a manner preserving all 3 forms of composition:



Theorem. Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 \xleftarrow{\partial} \mathfrak{g}_1$$

be the infinitesimal crossed module obtained by differentiating the crossed module

$$G_0 \xleftarrow{\partial} G_1$$

corresponding to $\mathcal{G}$. Then there is a 1-1 correspondence between 2-connections on $P \to X$ and pairs consisting of:

- a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-valued 1-form A on X
- a $\mathfrak{g}_1$-valued 2-form B on X

satisfying the **fake flatness** condition:

$$dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A] + \partial B = 0$$

All this generalizes to nontrivial 2-bundles... but not today. Instead, let's say a bit about how 2-connections relate to Stolz and Teichner's work on elliptic objects.

For any group G, any principal G-bundle $P \to X$ gives an associated vector bundle

$$E = P \times_G \mathbb{C}^G$$

where $\mathbb{C}^G$ is the set of functions

$$f \colon G \to \mathbb{C}$$

Any connection on P induces a connection on E.

For a full-fledged Lie group we should not really use $\mathbb{C}^G$. We should pay more attention to analysis.

Stolz and Teichner's work may involve a *categorification* of this idea!

For any 2-group $\mathcal{G}$, any principal $\mathcal{G}$-2-bundle $P \to X$ gives an associated '2-vector 2-bundle'

$$E = P \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathrm{Vect}^{\mathcal{G}}$$

where $\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is the category of functors

$$f : \mathcal{G} \to \mathrm{Vect}$$

Any 2-connection on P induces a 2-connection on E.

For a full-fledged Lie 2-group we should not really use $\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathcal{G}}$. We should pay more attention to analysis. But not today...

The fun starts when we set $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{String}_k(G)$.

Theorem. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{String}_k(G)$. Then $\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is the category of nicely PG-graded $\widehat{\Omega_k G}$-modules, where a grading is **nice** if

$$\deg(\tilde{f} v) = f \deg(v)$$

for all $\tilde{f} \in \widehat{\Omega_k G}$, hence $f \in \Omega G \subset PG$.

Many structures seen by Stolz and Teichner arise when we:

- Describe how a 2-connection on a principal $\mathcal{G}$-2-bundle $P \to X$ induces a 2-connection on the associated bundle

$$E = P \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathrm{Vect}^{\mathcal{G}}$$

Locally, such a 2-connection assigns functors

$$\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathrm{Vect}^{\mathcal{G}}$$

to paths in X, and natural transformations to surfaces going between paths.

- Using the theorem, describe $\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathcal{G}}$ as the category of modules of a certain algebra

$$A = \mathbb{C}^{PG} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\widehat{\Omega_k G}]$$

Then, describe the above functors as 'tensoring with A-A bimodules', and the natural transformations as 'tensoring with bimodule homomorphisms'.

- Get a kind of 2-connection that assigns A-A bimodules to paths in X, and bimodule homomorphisms to surfaces going between paths.

A pretty picture — but the puzzle pieces don't all fit yet:

- We should pay more attention to analysis, to get von Neumann algebras into the game.
- Stolz and Teichner use a different representation of $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{String}_k(G)$, based on a different algebra A — see the work of Urs Schreiber. How is this related?
- Most importantly: their sort of 2d parallel transport uses surfaces *with conformal structure*.

The big challenge is to categorify further, and study n-connections for higher n. A whole new world awaits us!