

## Bell's Inequality for $C^*$ -Algebras

J. BAEZ

Department of Mathematics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, U.S.A.

(Received: 20 October 1986)

**Abstract.** Bell's inequality dealing with 'local hidden variables' is given two formulations in terms of  $C^*$ -algebras. In particular, Bell's inequality holds for all states on  $A \otimes B$  whenever  $A$  and  $B$  are unital  $C^*$ -algebras at least one of which is Abelian, i.e., at least one corresponds to a classical physical system.

In this Letter I prove two versions of Bell's Inequality [1] applicable to  $C^*$ -algebras. For convenience all  $C^*$ -algebras discussed will be assumed to be unital. Let  $A \otimes B$  denote the projective tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ . A state  $\omega$  on  $A \otimes B$  is a 'product state' if it is of the form  $\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2$  for states  $\omega_1$  on  $A$ ,  $\omega_2$  on  $B$ . A state  $\omega$  on  $A \otimes B$  is 'decomposable' if it is in the weak-\* closure of the convex hull of the product states on  $A \otimes B$ . Bell's inequality can be viewed as a theorem about decomposable states:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $\omega$  be a decomposable state on  $A \otimes B$ . If  $a, a' \in A$  and  $b, b' \in B$  are self-adjoint and of norm  $\leq 1$  then*

$$|\omega(a \otimes (b - b'))| + |\omega(a' \otimes (b + b'))| \leq 2.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows [1]. Suppose  $\omega = \omega_1 \otimes \omega_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(a \otimes (b - b')) &= \omega_1(a)\omega_2(b) - \omega_1(a)\omega_2(b') \\ &= \omega_1(a)\omega_2(b) (1 \pm \omega_1(a')\omega_2(b')) - \\ &\quad - \omega_1(a)\omega_2(b') (1 \pm \omega_1(a')\omega_2(b)) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(a \otimes (b - b'))| &\leq |1 \pm \omega_1(a')\omega_2(b')| + |1 \pm \omega_1(a')\omega_2(b)| \\ &\leq 1 \pm \omega_1(a')\omega_2(b') + 1 \pm \omega_1(a')\omega_2(b) \\ &\leq 2 \pm \omega(a' \otimes (b + b')) \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$|\omega(a \otimes (b - b'))| + |\omega(a' \otimes (b + b'))| \leq 2.$$

If  $\omega$  is a convex combination of product states,  $\omega = \sum c_i \omega_i$ , the above implies

$$\begin{aligned} & |\omega(a \otimes (b - b'))| + |\omega(a' \otimes (b + b'))| \\ & \leq \sum c_i \{ |\omega_i(a \otimes (b - b'))| + |\omega_i(a' \otimes (b + b'))| \} \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\omega$  is a weak-\* limit of such convex combinations the inequality holds by continuity.  $\square$

If  $A$  and  $B$  are the  $C^*$ -algebras corresponding to two physical systems, the product system has  $C^*$ -algebra  $A \otimes B$ , and admits 'local hidden variables' in Bell's sense when all its states are decomposable. This happens if *at least one* of the two systems is classical:

**PROPOSITION.** *If either  $A$  or  $B$  is Abelian, all states on  $A \otimes B$  are decomposable.*

*Proof.* As in Theorem IV 4.14 of [2], one can show that every pure state on  $A \otimes B$  is a product of pure states. (The theorem deals with the injective tensor product but the proof carries over without modification.) Thus, every state on  $A \otimes B$  is decomposable.  $\square$

Using this one can obtain another formulation of Bell's inequality:

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $C^*$ -subalgebras of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C$  such that  $[A, B] = 0$ , and either  $A$  or  $B$  is Abelian, then*

$$|\omega(a(b - b'))| + |\omega(a'(b + b'))| \leq 2$$

for any state  $\omega$  on  $C$  and self-adjoint  $a, a' \in A$ ,  $b, b' \in B$  with norm  $\leq 1$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition IV 4.7 of [2] there is a \*-homomorphism  $\rho: A \otimes B \rightarrow C$  such that  $\rho(a \otimes b) = ab$  for all  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ . Thus, for all  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ ,  $\omega(ab) = \omega \circ \rho(a \otimes b)$ , where  $\omega \circ \rho$  is a state on  $A \otimes B$ . By the Proposition above,  $\omega \circ \rho$  is decomposable, so by Theorem 1 the desired result follows.  $\square$

If  $A$  and  $B$  are non-Abelian type I  $C^*$ -algebras, the usual counter-example involving  $2 \times 2$  matrices [1] shows that Bell's inequality (as formulated in Theorem 1) fails for certain indecomposable states. For applications to quantum field theory it would be interesting to see if the assumption that  $A$  and  $B$  be type I can be dropped.

## References

1. Bell, J. S., in B. d'Espagnat (ed.), *Proceedings of the International School of Physics, 'Enrico Fermi'*, Academic Press, New York, 1971, p. 171.
2. Takesaki, M., *Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.