

Matrix Lie Algebras

Theorem - The Lie algebra of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is

$$\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

with Lie bracket $[x, y] = xy - yx$.

Proof - $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is an open subset of

$M_n(\mathbb{C})$ so

$$\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = T_1 GL(n, \mathbb{C}) = T_1 M_n(\mathbb{C}) = M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

and we already know the Lie bracket in any

matrix Lie algebra is $[x, y] = xy - yx$. \square

Theorem - The Lie algebra of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is

$$\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) = M_n(\mathbb{R})$$

and the Lie algebra of $GL(n, \mathbb{H})$ is

$$\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{H}) = M_n(\mathbb{H})$$

with Lie bracket $[x, y] = xy - yx$.

Proof - Similar; note we can think of quaternions as 2×2 complex matrices

$$\dots \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\bar{\beta} \\ \beta & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

with ordinary matrix multiplication, giving an algebra homomorphism

$$\mathbb{H} \hookrightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$$

and thus

$$M_n(\mathbb{H}) \hookrightarrow M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$$

and a Lie group homomorphism

$$GL(n, \mathbb{H}) \hookrightarrow GL(2n, \mathbb{C})$$

making $M_n(n, \mathbb{H})$ into a matrix Lie group.

$$GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$$

is more obvious. \square

Theorem - The Lie algebra of

$$SL(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{ g \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : \det g = 1 \}$$

is

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{ a \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : \operatorname{tr} a = 0 \}$$

We can use these facts:

Lemma 1 - Given a matrix Lie group $G \subseteq GL(n, \mathbb{C})$

and $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$,

$$a \in \mathfrak{g} \iff \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \exp(ta) \in G.$$

Proof: \Rightarrow : We showed this in the section on the exponential map.

\Leftarrow : Given that $\exp(ta) \in G$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$C(t) = \exp(ta)$ defines a smooth curve $C: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$,

so $C'(0) \in T_1 G = \mathfrak{g}$, but $C'(0) = a$. \square

Lemma 2 - For any $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\det(\exp(a)) = e^{\operatorname{tr}(a)}$$

where the trace of a is

$$\operatorname{tr}(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}.$$

Proof - Later!

Proof of Theorem - Given $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\begin{array}{l} a \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \\ \text{Lemma 1} \quad \Updownarrow \\ \exp(ta) \in \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \\ \Updownarrow \\ \det(\exp(ta)) = 1 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \\ \text{Lemma 2} \quad \Updownarrow \\ e^{\operatorname{tr}(ta)} = 1 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \\ \Updownarrow \\ e^{t \operatorname{tr}(a)} = 1 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \\ \Updownarrow \\ \operatorname{tr}(a) = 0. \quad \square \end{array}$$

Proof of Lemma 2: First show it for diagonal matrices $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$:

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\exp(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & e^{\lambda_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\det(\exp(a)) = e^{\lambda_1} \dots e^{\lambda_n} = e^{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n} = e^{\text{tr}(a)}$$

Then show it for diagonalizable a :

$a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that there's a basis v_i of \mathbb{C}^n with

$$av_i = \lambda_i v_i \quad \exists \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$$

In this case

$$gag^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the "change of basis"

matrix with $gv_i = e_i$, e_i the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^n .

$$gag^{-1}e_i = gav_i = g\lambda_i v_i = \lambda_i e_i.$$

Note that tr , \det & \exp get along with change of basis:

$$\text{tr}(gag^{-1}) = \text{tr}(g^{-1}ga) = \text{tr}(a)$$

(cyclic property: $\text{tr}(ab) = \text{tr}(ba)$)

$$\det(gag^{-1}) = \det(g) \det(a) \det(g)^{-1} = \det(a)$$

$$\exp(gag^{-1}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(gag^{-1})^n}{n!} = g \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} g^{-1} = g \exp(a) g^{-1}.$$

So: if gag^{-1} is diagonal,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\exp(a)) &= \det(g \exp(a) g^{-1}) \\ &= \det(\exp(gag^{-1})) \\ &= e^{\text{tr}(gag^{-1})} \\ &= e^{\text{tr}(a)} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, diagonalizable matrices are dense in

$M_n(\mathbb{C})$ since any $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ for which

the polynomial $\det(a - \lambda 1)$ has no repeated

roots is diagonalizable. Since $\det(\exp(a))$
& $e^{\text{tr}(a)}$ are continuous functions of $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$
and they agree on a dense set, they're
equal. \square

Similarly:

Theorem - The Lie algebra of $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$

is

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{a \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : \text{tr } a = 0\}$$

Proof: it's a corollary. \square

We can define a complex-valued \det
and tr on $M_n(\mathbb{H})$ using

$$M_n(\mathbb{H}) \hookrightarrow M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$$

and then:

Theorem - The Lie algebra of

$$SL(n, \mathbb{H}) = \{a \in M_n(\mathbb{H}) : \det a = 1\}$$

is

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H}) = \{a \in M_n(\mathbb{H}) : \text{tr } a = 0\}$$

Proof: again it's a corollary of the complex

case. \square

Theorem - The Lie algebra of

$$U(n) = \{g \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : gg^* = g^*g = 1\}$$

is

$$\mathfrak{u}(n) = \{a \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : a + a^* = 0\}$$

A matrix with $a^* = -a$ is called skew-adjoint.

Proof - Note that if $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\exp(ta^*) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ta^*)^n}{n!} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ta)^n}{n!} \right)^* = \exp(ta)^*$$

so

$$a \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$$



$$\exp(ta) \in U(n) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$



$$\exp(ta) \exp(ta)^* = \exp(ta)^* \exp(ta) = 1 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Downarrow \text{d/dt, } t=0$$

$$a + a^* = 0$$

while $a = -a^* \Rightarrow \exp(ta^*) = \exp(-ta) = \exp(ta)^{-1}$

so $\exp(ta) \exp(ta)^* = \exp(ta)^* \exp(ta) = 1 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

Similarly,

Theorem - The Lie algebra of

$$O(n) = \{g \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : gg^* = g^*g = -I\}$$

is

$$\mathfrak{o}(n) = \{a \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : a + a^* = 0\}.$$

Theorem - The Lie algebra of

$$Sp(n) = \{g \in M_n(\mathbb{H}) : gg^* = g^*g = -I\}$$

is

$$\mathfrak{sp}(n) = \{a \in M_n(\mathbb{H}) : a + a^* = 0\}$$

Theorem - The Lie algebra of

$$SU(n) = SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap U(n)$$

is

$$\mathfrak{su}(n) = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathfrak{u}(n)$$

$$= \{a \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : \text{tr}(a) = 0, a + a^* = 0\}$$

Theorem - The Lie algebra of

$$SO(n) = SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \cap O(n)$$

is

$$\mathfrak{so}(n) = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \cap \mathfrak{o}(n)$$

$$= \mathfrak{o}(n)$$

$$= \{a \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : a + a^* = 0\}$$

because $\mathfrak{o}(n) \subseteq \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof - For a real matrix $a \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$

we have

$$a + a^* = 0$$

↓

$$\text{tr}(a + a^*) = 0$$

↓

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} + a_{ii} = 0$$

↓

$$\text{tr}(a) = 0$$

since complex conjugation doesn't affect a_{ii} . 