

Classification problems in symplectic linear algebra

Jonathan Lorand
Institute of Mathematics, University of Zurich

UC Riverside, 15 January, 2019

Thanks to my collaborators:

Christian Herrmann (Darmstadt)
Alan Weinstein (Berkeley)

Alessandro Valentino (Zurich)

Introduction...

Plan:

1. Introduction
2. Symplectic vectors spaces
3. Why symplectic? Connection to dynamical systems
4. More symplectic linear algebra
5. Some classification problems
6. Poset representations
7. A more general picture

Goals:

- ▶ Basic introduction to linear symplectic geometry
- ▶ Poset representations as a tool for classification problems
- ▶ Hint at a category-theoretic picture

A theme:

Connection between symplectic geometry and (twisted) involutions:
symplectic structures as fixed points in an appropriate sense

Context

- ▶ Baez & team: **black-box functors** often land in categories where
 - ▶ objects: symplectic vector spaces
 - ▶ morphisms: lagrangian relations
- ▶ Weinstein: the “**symplectic category**”
- ▶ Scharlau & Co.: developed a **category-theoretic** framework in late 70's with focus on quadratic forms
- ▶ School of Kiev (Navarova & Roiter): **representations of posets**, quivers, algebras; Sergeichuk: applications to linear algebra
- ▶ Representations of quivers
- ▶ Involutions / duality involutions in categories

Symplectic geometry??

A first explanation via (anti)analogy...

A **Euclidean structure** on $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bilinear form

$$B: V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

which is

- ▶ non-degenerate: if $B(v, w) = 0 \forall w \in V$, then $v = 0$
- ▶ symmetric: $B(v, w) = B(w, v) \quad \forall v, w \in V$
- ▶ positive definite:
 - ▶ $B(v, v) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in V$
 - ▶ If $B(v, v) = 0$, then $v = 0$

A Euclidean structure B on V gives us:

▶ lengths: $\|v\| := B(v, v)$

▶ angles: $\cos(\theta) := \frac{B(v, w)}{\|v\| \|w\|}$ for $\theta = v \angle w \in [0, \pi]$

More generally: a **metric structure** on $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bilinear form

$$B: V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

which is non-degenerate and symmetric (but not necessarily positive definite).

From this one can define a “length”, but it might be zero or negative for non-zero vectors.

[E.g.: Lorentzian geometry, as in Einstein’s theories of relativity]

Note: this definition works for a vector space V over any field \mathbf{k} .

A **symplectic structure** on V (over \mathbf{k}) is a bilinear form

$$\omega: V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}$$

which is non-degenerate and antisymmetric:

$$\omega(v, w) = -\omega(w, v) \quad \forall v, w \in V.$$

Note: if $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$, then $\omega(v, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$.

We'll stick mostly with $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{R}$ (and always $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$).

A **symplectic vector space** is (V, ω) , where ω is a symplectic form on V .

Given (V, ω) and (V', ω') , a linear map $f : V \rightarrow V'$ is a (linear) **symplectomorphism** if

$$\omega'(fv, fw) = \omega(v, w) \quad \forall v, w \in V.$$

One might also say “isometry” (even though we don’t have a “metric”).

Fact: Every symplectic vector space is necessarily even dimensional.

Fact: Any two symplectic vector spaces of the same (finite) dimension are symplectomorphic.

Fact: Given any vector space U , the space $U^* \oplus U$ carries a canonical symplectic structure, which I'll usually denote by Ω :

$$\Omega((\xi, v), (\eta, w)) = \xi(w) - \eta(v) \quad \text{for } \xi, \eta \in U^*, v, w \in U.$$

Let (V, ω) be symplectic, with $\dim V = 2n$. A basis $(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ of V is a **symplectic basis** if

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(q_i, q_j) &= 0 & \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n \\ \omega(p_i, p_j) &= 0 & \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n \\ \omega(q_i, p_j) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Every (V, ω) admits a symplectic basis (many, actually).

Given a symplectic basis, the associated coordinate matrix of ω is a block matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I} \\ -\mathbb{I} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Any symplectic form ω on V induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\omega} : V \rightarrow V^*, \quad v \mapsto \omega(v, -).$$

Note: f symplecto $\Leftrightarrow f^*\tilde{\omega}f = \tilde{\omega}$.

Note: if $(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is a symplectic basis, and $(q_1^*, \dots, q_n^*, p_1^*, \dots, p_n^*)$ the dual basis in V^* , the coordinate matrix of $\tilde{\omega}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbb{I} \\ \mathbb{I} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the inverse of which is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I} \\ -\mathbb{I} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Why symplectic??

Origins of symplectic geometry: classical mechanics (planetary motion, projectiles, etc.).

More precisely: origins are in **Hamiltonian mechanics**

- ▶ Newton's mechanics: from ca. 1687
- ▶ Lagrange's mechanics: from ca. 1788
- ▶ Hamilton's mechanics: from ca. 1833

Very quick sketch: from Newtonian to Hamiltonian

Example: Harmonic oscillator

(e.g. a mass attached to a coil spring).

Newton: (“ $F = ma$ ”)

$$m\ddot{x} = -Cx.$$

We can rewrite as a system of 1st order ODEs. Set:

$$q(t) := x(t) \quad p(t) := m\dot{x}(t),$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{q}(t) &= \frac{1}{m}p(t) \\ \dot{p}(t) &= -Cq(t)\end{aligned}$$

Reformulate the equations as:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{q} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Cq(t) \\ \frac{1}{m}p(t) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} H(q, p) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial p} H(q, p) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $H(p, q) := \frac{1}{2}Cq^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{m}p^2$.

The function H is called the **Hamiltonian** of the dynamical system, and **Hamilton's equations** are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{q} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} H(q, p) \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial q} H(q, p) \end{pmatrix} =: X_H(q, p).$$

$X_H(q, p)$ is called the **hamiltonian vector field** associated to H .

The set of all possible (generalized) positions q and (generalized) momenta p in a dynamical system is called **phase space**.

In general: phase space modelled as a symplectic manifold (M, ω) , or Poisson manifold; we'll stick with (V, ω) .

A hamiltonian vector field $X_H : V \rightarrow V$ is related to the function H by

$$X_H(v) = \tilde{\omega}^{-1} \circ dH(v) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} H(q, p) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial p} H(q, p) \end{pmatrix},$$

thinking of $dH(v)(-) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as a 1-form. Equivalently:

$$\tilde{\omega} \circ X_H(v) = dH(v)$$

i.e.

$$\omega(X_H(v), -) = dH(v)(-).$$

Role of symplectomorphisms:

- ▶ Symmetries of phase space: solutions of Ham. equations are mapped to solutions.
- ▶ Time-evolution/flow of a Ham. system (V, ω, H) :

Given a time interval $[t_0, t_1]$, we have a symplectomorphism

$$V \longrightarrow V, (q_0, p_0) \mapsto (q(t_1), p(t_1))$$

where $c(t) = (q(t), p(t))$ is the solution to the Ham. initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{c}(t) = X_H(c(t)) \\ c(0) = (q_0, p_0) \end{cases}$$

Upshots of Hamiltonian mechanics:

(compared to Newtonian; comparing with Lagrangian is more complicated!)

- ▶ a framework which is more general/abstract/conceptual/geometric
- ▶ has a variational formulation (“principle of stationary action”)
- ▶ beautiful interplay between geometry and physics; e.g. symmetries
↔ conserved quantities

Example benefit: even if one can't “*solve*” a Hamiltonian system, one can often prove *qualitative* aspects.

More symplectic linear algebra...

(V, ω) symplectic.

Given a subspace $U \subseteq V$, its (symplectic) **orthogonal** is the subspace

$$U^\omega = \{v \in V \mid \omega(v, u) = 0 \ \forall u \in U\}.$$

Special subspaces:

- ▶ symplectic $U^\omega \cap U = 0$
- ▶ isotropic $U \subseteq U^\omega$
- ▶ coisotropic $U^\omega \subseteq U$
- ▶ lagrangian $U = U^\omega$.

The operation $(-)^{\omega}$ defines an **order-reversing involution** on the poset $\Sigma(V)$ of subspaces of V .

Given (V, ω) and (V', ω') symplectic $\rightsquigarrow (V \oplus V', \omega \oplus \omega')$.

Given a (linear) symplectomorphism $f: V \rightarrow V'$, its **graph** $\Gamma(f) \subseteq V \oplus V'$ is a lagrangian subspace of

$$(V \oplus V', (-\omega) \oplus \omega').$$

Notation: for V with symplectic ω ,

$$\overline{V} := \text{same vector space but with } "-\omega".$$

A (linear) **lagrangian relation** $V \rightarrow V'$ is a lagrangian subspace

$$L \subseteq \overline{V} \oplus V'.$$

Note: these form the morphisms of a category; composition is the same as for set-relations.

(V, ω) symplectic.

Def: A vector field $X : V \rightarrow V$ is **hamiltonian** if $\tilde{\omega} \circ X(v) = dH(v)$ for some function H . Call it **linear** when X is a linear map.

Fact: X lin. ham. $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{\omega}X = -X^*\tilde{\omega}$.

Symplectomorphisms $V \rightarrow V$ form the **symplectic group** $Sp(V, \omega)$; it's a Lie group.

Fact: The set $\mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega)$ of linear hamiltonian vector fields on V corresponds to the Lie algebra of $Sp(V, \omega)$.

Def: denote by $Lag(V, \omega)$ the set of lagrangian relations $L : V \rightarrow V$.

Some classification problems...

$Sp(V, \omega)$ acts on itself, $Lag(V, \omega)$, and $\mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega)$ by conjugation:

$$Sp(V, \omega) \times Sp(V, \omega) \rightarrow Sp(V, \omega), \quad (f, g) \mapsto fgf^{-1}.$$

$$Sp(V, \omega) \times Lag(V, \omega) \rightarrow Lag(V, \omega), \quad (f, L) \mapsto fLf^{-1}.$$

$$Sp(V, \omega) \times \mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega), \quad (f, X) \mapsto fXf^{-1}.$$

Compare with: $GL(V) \times End(V) \rightarrow End(V), \quad (f, \eta) \mapsto f\eta f^{-1}.$

Typical questions:

- ▶ what are the orbits?
- ▶ can we find representatives given in a normal form?

Common theme in algebra:

- ▶ objects of study (often) *decompose* into basic building blocks, and this decomposition is sometimes *essentially unique*
- ▶ strategy: classify the indecomposable building blocks.

Example: $GL(V) \times End(V) \rightarrow End(V)$, $(f, \eta) \mapsto f\eta f^{-1}$.

Consider a category we'll call **End_k**:

- ▶ **Objects:** (U, η) , with $\eta \in End(U)$
- ▶ **Morphisms:** a map $f : (U, \eta) \rightarrow (U', \eta')$ is a linear map $f : U \rightarrow U'$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{f} & U' \\ \eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta' \\ U & \xrightarrow{f} & U' \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

In particular: (U, η) and (U', η') are isomorphic if there exists $f \in GL(V)$ such that $f\eta f^{-1} = \eta'$.

Direct sums: $(U, \eta) \oplus (U', \eta') := (U \oplus U, \eta \oplus \eta')$.

Indecomposable = not isomorphic to some direct sum with (at least) two non-zero summands.

Fact: (Krull-Schmidt holds) Every (U, η) is isomorphic to a direct sum of indecomposable pieces, and such a decomposition is essentially unique.

For general \mathbf{k} , the indecomposable objects are (up to iso):

$$(\mathbf{k}[X]/(p^m), \mu_X) \quad p \in \mathbf{k}[X] \text{ monic irreducible, } m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the endomorphism μ_X is “multiplication by X ”.

For $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$: monic irreducibles p are $p(X) = X - \lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

For $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{cases} p(X) = X - \lambda, & \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ or} \\ p(X) = X^2 - 2\Re(\lambda)X + |\lambda|^2 & \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Normal forms: e.g. Jordan canonical form.

For $Sp(V, \omega)$, $Lag(V, \omega)$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega)$:

- ▶ Direct sums: are *orthogonal* direct sums
E.g. $(V, \omega, g) \oplus (V', \omega', g') := (V \oplus V', \omega \oplus \omega', g \oplus g')$.
- ▶ Indecomposability: analogously
- ▶ Define classes of objects as (V, ω, g) , (V, ω, L) , (V, ω, X) , respectively
- ▶ For morphisms: want isomorphisms to be *symplectomorphisms*
- ▶ Krull-Schmidt: objects decompose into indecomposables; essential uniqueness depends on further hypotheses. For \mathbb{C} (and \mathbb{R} ?) we have essentially uniqueness.

Poset representations...

Let (P, \leq) be a finite poset (with elements labeled 1 through n)

A **representation** of P is a vector space V and subspaces $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of V such that

$$\text{if } i \leq j \text{ in } P, \quad \text{then } U_i \subseteq U_j.$$

So: a representation is a monotone map

$$\psi : P \rightarrow \Sigma(V).$$

Two representations $(V; U_1, \dots, U_n)$ and $(V'; U'_1, \dots, U'_n)$ of P are **isomorphic** if there exists a linear isomorphism $f : V \rightarrow V'$ such that $f(U_i) = U'_i$ (for all $i = 1, \dots, n$).

Representations of a fixed poset P form a category, $\mathbf{Rep}_k(P)$.

Direct sums of poset reps: defined in the obvious way

Krull-Schmidt holds: any $\psi \in \mathbf{Rep}_k(P)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of indecomposable poset reps, and such a decomposition is essentially unique.

Many classification problems of linear algebra can be encoded using poset representations .

Example: Given an endomorphism (U, η) , consider the poset $P = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with empty ordering and associate to (U, η) the following poset representation in $V = U \oplus U$:

$$(U \oplus U; U \oplus 0, 0 \oplus U, \Gamma(Id), \Gamma(\eta)).$$

Fact: objects (U, η) and (U', η') are isomorphic iff their associated poset reps are isomorphic; and indecomposables correspond to indecomposables

Symplectic poset representations:

Start with a poset P equipped with an order-reversing (“twisted”) involution $(-)^{\perp} : P \rightarrow P^{op}$.

Def: a symplectic poset rep of (P, \perp) on a symplectic space (V, ω) is a monotone map

$$\varphi : P \rightarrow \Sigma(V),$$

such that

$$\varphi(i^{\perp}) = \varphi(i)^{\omega} \quad \forall i \in P.$$

Example: If $P = \{1 \leq 2\}$, with $1^{\perp} = 2$, then a symplectic poset rep φ of (P, \perp) corresponds to an isotropic subspace of (V, ω) :

$$\varphi(1) \subseteq \varphi(2) = \varphi(1^{\perp}) = \varphi(1)^{\omega}.$$

Objects such as (V, ω, g) , where $g \in Sp(V, \omega)$, can be encoded in symplectic poset reps:

To (V, ω, g) , associate the system of subspaces

$$(\overline{V} \oplus V; V \oplus 0, 0 \oplus V, \Gamma(Id), \Gamma(g)).$$

Note:

- ▶ $V \oplus 0$ and $0 \oplus V$ are symplectic subspaces of $\overline{V} \oplus V$,
- ▶ $\Gamma(Id)$ and $\Gamma(g)$ are lagrangian subspace of $\overline{V} \oplus V$.

This is a symplectic poset rep of $P = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, with empty order, and

$$1^\perp = 2 \quad 2^\perp = 1 \quad 3^\perp = 3 \quad 4^\perp = 4.$$

We can also treat $Lag(V, \omega)$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega)$ with symplectic poset reps.

Symplectic reps of a fixed (P, \perp) form a category, $\mathbf{SRep}_k(P, \perp)$.

Direct sums: again, *orthogonal*

Krull-Schmidt?: any $\varphi \in \mathbf{SRep}_k(P, \perp)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of indecomposable poset reps; essential uniqueness depends on further hypotheses.

A basic task: classify indecomposables!

Strategy: relate $\mathbf{SRep}_k(P, \perp)$ and $\mathbf{Rep}_k(P)$.

Caveat: depending on P , it can be that $\mathbf{Rep}_k(P)$ is not well-understood.

Given: (P, \perp) , (V, ω) .

Def: A **linear** (ordinary) representation of (P, \perp) on V is a monotone map

$$\psi : P \rightarrow \Sigma(V).$$

Any symplectic poset rep φ has an **underlying** linear rep $\hat{\varphi}$.

Given a linear rep ψ of (P, \perp) on V , define **dual representation** on V^* by

$$\psi^*(i) = \psi(i^\perp)^\circ = \{\xi \in V^* \mid \xi|_{\psi(i^\perp)} \equiv 0\}.$$

Symplectification: building symplectic reps from linear reps.

Given a linear rep of (P, \perp) , its **symplectification** is

$$\psi^- : P \longrightarrow \Sigma(V^* \oplus V, \Omega)$$

$$\psi^-(x) := \psi^*(x) \oplus \psi(x).$$

Fact: ψ^- is a symplectic representation. We call an indecomposable symplectic rep **split** if it is (isomorphic to) a symplectification.

Some indecomposable symplectic reps are **non-split**: they come from an ordinary indecomposable rep

$$\psi : P \rightarrow \Sigma(V)$$

such that V happens to admit a symplectic form which is **compatible** with ψ (making ψ symplectic). We call such an ω a **compatible form**.

Magic Lemma (Sergeichuk / Scharlau et. al): Let φ be an indecomposable symplectic representation. Then φ is either split or non-split (but not both):

1. $\varphi \simeq \psi^-$, the symplectification of some indecomposable linear rep ψ .
2. $\hat{\varphi}$ is linearly indecomposable.

Consequence: we can classify indecomposables of $\mathbf{SRep}_k P$ using indecomposables of $\mathbf{Rep}_k P$, by

1. identifying which linear indecomposables admit compatible symplectic structures, and classifying these.

Tricky part: a given linear indecomposable ψ might admit multiple non-equivalent compatible forms!

2. For those that don't admit compatible symplectic forms: symplectify!

Current work (Hermann, L., Weinstein): Classification of triples of isotropic subspaces.

A more general picture...

Def: A category with **twisted involution** (a tCat) is $(\mathcal{C}, \delta, \eta)$, where

$$\delta \dashv \delta^{op} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{op}$$

is an adjoint equivalence, with unit η .

Example: $\mathcal{C} = \text{FinVect}_{\mathbf{k}}$, with $\delta(V) = V^*$, $\delta(f) = f^*$ and $\eta_V = \iota : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ the canonical isomorphism. A variant: take $\eta_V = -1 \cdot \iota$.

Example: $(\mathcal{C}, \delta, id)$ where \mathcal{C} is a poset with twisted involution δ .

Def: A **fixed point** in a tCat $(\mathcal{C}, \delta, \eta)$ is (x, h) where

$h : x \rightarrow \delta(x)^{op}$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 x & \xrightarrow{h} & (\delta x)^{op} \\
 & \searrow \eta_x & \uparrow (\delta h)^{op} \\
 & & \delta^{op} \delta x
 \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

Def: A **morphism** of fixed points $(x, h) \rightarrow (x', h')$ is

$f : x \rightarrow x'$ in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 x & \xrightarrow{h} & \delta x \\
 f \downarrow & & \uparrow \delta f \\
 x' & \xrightarrow{h'} & \delta x'
 \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

Example: Take $\mathcal{C} = \text{FinVect}_{\mathbf{k}}$, with $\delta(V) = V^*$, $\delta(f) = f^*$, $\eta_V = -1 \cdot \iota$.

- ▶ Fixed points are $(V, \tilde{\omega})$ with $\tilde{\omega} : V \rightarrow V^*$ such that

$$\tilde{\omega} = -\tilde{\omega}^* \circ \iota \quad \rightsquigarrow \text{ encodes symplectic spaces } (V, \omega).$$

- ▶ Morphisms of fixed points encode symplectomorphisms (isometries):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}} & V^* \\ f \downarrow & & \uparrow f^* \\ V' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}'} & V'^* \end{array}$$

Example: $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(P, \perp) = [(P, \perp), \text{FinVect}_{\mathbf{k}}]$, with $\delta\psi = \psi^*$ and $\eta_\psi = -\iota : \psi \rightarrow \psi^{**}$.

- ▶ Fixed points encode symplectic poset representations
- ▶ Morphisms of fixed points = morphisms of symplectic poset reps

Example: Take $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Aut}_k$ (objects are (V, g) with $g \in \mathbf{Aut}(V)$); set

$$\delta(V, g) := (V^*, (g^*)^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{(V, g)} := -\iota : V \rightarrow V^{**}.$$

- ▶ Fixed points are $(V, g, \tilde{\omega})$ with $\tilde{\omega} : V \rightarrow V^*$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}} & V^* \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow (g^*)^{-1} \\ V & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}} & V^* \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

\rightsquigarrow this encodes symplectomorphisms $g \in Sp(V, \omega)$.

- ▶ Morphisms of fixed points are symplectomorphisms $f : (V, g, \omega) \rightarrow (V', g', \omega')$ such that $fgf^{-1} = g'$.

Example: Take $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{End}_k$ (objects are (V, X) with $X \in \text{End}(V)$); set

$$\delta(V, X) := (V^*, -X^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{(V, X)} := -\iota : V \rightarrow V^{**}.$$

- ▶ Fixed points are $(V, X, \tilde{\omega})$ with $\tilde{\omega} : V \rightarrow V^*$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}} & V^* \\ X \downarrow & & \downarrow -X^* \\ V & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}} & V^* \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

\rightsquigarrow this encodes lin. ham. vector fields $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(V, \omega)$.

- ▶ Morphisms of fixed points are symplectomorphisms $f : (V, X, \omega) \rightarrow (V', X', \omega')$ such that $fXf^{-1} = X'$.

Summary of patterns and themes:

- ▶ symplectic (and metric) geometry is linked with (twisted) involutions
- ▶ where there are involutions, there are “split” and “non-split” things
- ▶ “non-split” things can be built by “doubling” (\rightsquigarrow symplectification)
- ▶ beautiful category theory is also lurking

Thanks for listening!