Lie 2-Groups, Lie 2-Algebras, and Loop Groups

Alissa S. Crans

Joint work with:

John Baez
Urs Schreiber
& Danny Stevenson

in memory of Saunders Mac Lane

April 8, 2006



Internalization

Often a useful first step in the categorification process involves using a technique developed by Ehresmann called 'internalization.'

How do we do this?

- Given some concept, express its definition completely in terms of commutative diagrams.
- Now interpret these diagrams in some ambient category K.

We will consider the notion of a 'category in K' for various categories K.

A **strict 2-group** is a category in Grp, the category of groups.

A **2-vector space** is a category in Vect, the category of vector spaces.

A **2-vector space**, V, consists of:

- a **vector space** of objects, $Ob(V)$

- a **vector space** of morphisms, $Mor(V)$

together with:

- **linear** source and target maps

$$s, t \colon Mor(V) \to Ob(V),$$

- a **linear** identity-assigning map

$$i \colon Ob(V) \to Mor(V),$$

- a **linear** composition map

$$\circ \colon Mor(V) \times_{Ob(V)} Mor(V) \to Mor(V)$$

such that the following diagrams commute, expressing the usual category laws:

- laws specifying the source and target of identity morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Ob(V) & \xrightarrow{i} & Mor(V) \\ & {\scriptstyle 1_{Ob(V)}} \searrow & \downarrow {\scriptstyle s} \\ & & Ob(V) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} Ob(V) & \xrightarrow{i} & Mor(V) \\ & {\scriptstyle 1_{Ob(V)}} \searrow & \downarrow {\scriptstyle t} \\ & & Ob(V) \end{array}$$

- laws specifying the source and target of composite morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Mor(V) \times_{Ob(V)} Mor(V) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & Mor(V) \\ \downarrow {\scriptstyle p_1} & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle s} \\ Mor(V) & \xrightarrow{s} & Ob(V) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Mor(V) \times_{Ob(V)} Mor(V) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & Mor(V) \\ \downarrow {\scriptstyle p_2} & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle t} \\ Mor(V) & \xrightarrow{t} & Ob(V) \end{array}$$

- the associative law for composition of morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Mor(V) \times_{Ob(V)} Mor(V) \times_{Ob(V)} Mor(V) & \xrightarrow{\circ \times_{Ob(V)} 1} & Mor(V) \times_{Ob(V)} Mor(V) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1 \times_{Ob(V)} \circ} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \circ} \\ Mor(V) \times_{Ob(V)} Mor(V) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & Mor(V) \end{array}$$

- the left and right unit laws for composition of morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Ob(V) \times_{Ob(V)} Mor(V) & \xrightarrow{i \times 1} & Mor(V) \times_{Ob(V)} Mor(V) & \xleftarrow{1 \times i} & Mor(V) \times_{Ob(V)} Ob(V) \\ & {\scriptstyle p_2} \searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \circ} & \swarrow {\scriptstyle p_1} & \\ & & Mor(V) & & \end{array}$$

2-Vector Spaces

We can also define **linear functors** between 2-vector spaces, and **linear natural transformations** between these, in the obvious way.

Theorem. The 2-category of 2-vector spaces, linear functors and linear natural transformations is equivalent to the 2-category of:

- 2-term chain complexes $C_1 \xrightarrow{d} C_0$,
- chain maps between these,
- chain homotopies between these.

Categorified Lie Theory, strictly speaking...

A **strict Lie 2-group** G is a category in LieGrp, the category of Lie groups.

A **strict Lie 2-algebra** L is a category in LieAlg, the category of Lie algebras.

We can also define **strict homomorphisms** between each of these and **strict 2-homomorphisms** between them, in the obvious way. Thus, we have two strict 2-categories: SLie2Grp and SLie2Alg.

The picture here is very pretty: Just as Lie groups have Lie algebras, strict Lie 2-groups have strict Lie 2-algebras.

Proposition. There exists a unique 2-functor

$$d\colon \mathrm{SLie2Grp} \to \mathrm{SLie2Alg}$$

Examples of Strict Lie 2-Groups

Let G be a Lie group and $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra.

- **Automorphism 2-Group**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Objects}: &= \text{Aut}(G) \\ \text{Morphisms}: &= G \rtimes \text{Aut}(G) \end{aligned}$$

- **Shifted** $U(1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Objects}: &= * \\ \text{Morphisms}: &= U(1) \end{aligned}$$

- **Tangent 2-Group**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Objects}: &= G \\ \text{Morphisms}: &= \mathfrak{g} \rtimes G \cong TG \end{aligned}$$

- **Poincaré 2-Group**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Objects}: &= SO(n,1) \\ \text{Morphisms}: &= \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes SO(n,1) \cong ISO(n,1) \end{aligned}$$

Semistrict Lie 2-Algebras

A **semistrict Lie 2-algebra** consists of:

- a 2-vector space L

equipped with:

- a functor called the **bracket**:

$$[\cdot,\cdot]\colon L \times L \to L$$

  bilinear and skew-symmetric as a function of objects and morphisms,

- a natural isomorphism called the **Jacobiator**:

$$J_{x,y,z}\colon [[x,y],z] \to [x,[y,z]] + [[x,z],y],$$

  trilinear and antisymmetric as a function of the objects x, y, z,

such that:

- the **Jacobiator identity** holds, meaning the following diagram commutes:



We can define **homomorphisms** between Lie 2-algebras, and **2-homomorphisms** between these.

Given Lie 2-algebras L and L', a **homomorphism** $F\colon L \to L'$ consists of:

- a functor F from the underlying 2-vector space of L to that of L', linear on objects and morphisms,

- a natural isomorphism

$$F_2(x,y)\colon [F(x),F(y)] \to F[x,y],$$

bilinear and skew-symmetric as a function of the objects $x, y \in L$,

such that:

- the following diagram commutes for all objects $x, y, z \in L$:



Theorem. The 2-category of Lie 2-algebras, homomorphisms and 2-homomorphisms is equivalent to the 2-category of:

- 2-term L_∞-algebras,
- L_∞-homomorphisms between these,
- L_∞-2-homomorphisms between these.

The Lie 2-algebras L and L' are **equivalent** if there are homomorphisms

$$f\colon L \to L' \qquad \bar{f}\colon L' \to L$$

that are inverses up to 2-isomorphism:

$$f\bar{f} \cong 1, \qquad \bar{f}f \cong 1.$$

Theorem. Lie 2-algebras are classified up to equivalence by quadruples consisting of:

- a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$,
- an abelian Lie algebra (= vector space) $\mathfrak{h}$,
- a representation ρ of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{h}$,
- an element $[j] \in H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$.

The Lie 2-Algebra $\mathfrak{g}_k$

Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{R}$. To get a Lie 2-algebra having $\mathfrak{g}$ as objects we need:

- a vector space $\mathfrak{h}$,
- a representation ρ of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{h}$,
- an element $[j] \in H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$.

Assume without loss of generality that ρ is irreducible. To get Lie 2-algebras with nontrivial Jacobiator, we need $H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \neq 0$. By Whitehead's lemma, this only happens when $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{R}$ is the trivial representation. Then we have

$$H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$$

with a nontrivial 3-cocycle given by:

$$\nu(x, y, z) = \langle [x, y], z \rangle.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ together with the trivial representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ and k times the above 3-cocycle give the Lie 2-algebra $\mathfrak{g}_k$.

In summary: *every simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ gives a one-parameter family of Lie 2-algebras, $\mathfrak{g}_k$, which reduces to $\mathfrak{g}$ when $k = 0$!*

Puzzle: Does $\mathfrak{g}_k$ come from a Lie 2-group?

Coherent 2-Groups

A **coherent 2-group** is a weak monoidal category in which every morphism is invertible and every object is equipped with an adjoint equivalence.

A **homomorphism** between coherent 2-groups is a weak monoidal functor. A **2-homomorphism** is a monoidal natural transformation. The coherent 2-groups X and X' are **equivalent** if there are homomorphisms

$$f\colon X \to X' \qquad \bar{f}\colon X' \to X$$

that are inverses up to 2-isomorphism:

$$f\bar{f} \cong 1, \qquad \bar{f}f \cong 1.$$

Theorem. Coherent 2-groups are classified up to equivalence by quadruples consisting of:

- a group G,
- an abelian group H,
- an action α of G as automorphisms of H,
- an element $[a] \in H^3(G, H)$.

Suppose we try to copy the construction of $\mathfrak{g}_k$ for a particularly nice kind of Lie group. Let G be a simply-connected compact simple Lie group whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}$. We have

$$H^3(G, \mathrm{U}(1)) \stackrel{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cong H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$$

Using the classification of 2-groups, we can build a skeletal 2-group G_k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$:

- G as its group of objects,
- $\mathrm{U}(1)$ as the group of automorphisms of any object,
- the trivial action of G on $\mathrm{U}(1)$,
- $[a] \in H^3(G, \mathrm{U}(1))$ given by $k\,\iota[\nu]$, which is nontrivial when $k \neq 0$.

Question: Can G_k be made into a Lie 2-group?

Here's the bad news:

(Bad News) Theorem. Unless $k = 0$, there is no way to give the 2-group G_k the structure of a Lie 2-group for which the group G of objects and the group $\mathrm{U}(1)$ of endomorphisms of any object are given their usual topology.

(Good News) Theorem. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a Fréchet Lie 2-group $\mathcal{P}_k G$ whose Lie 2-algebra $\mathcal{P}_k \mathfrak{g}$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{g}_k$.

An object of $\mathcal{P}_k G$ is a smooth path $f : [0, 2\pi] \to G$ starting at the identity. A morphism from f_1 to f_2 is an equivalence class of pairs (D, α) consisting of a disk D going from f_1 to f_2 together with $\alpha \in \mathrm{U}(1)$:



For any two such pairs (D_1, α_1) and (D_2, α_2) there is a 3-ball B whose boundary is $D_1 \cup D_2$, and the pairs are equivalent when

$$\exp\left(2\pi i k \int_B \nu\right) = \alpha_2/\alpha_1$$

where ν is the left-invariant closed 3-form on G with

$$\nu(x, y, z) = \langle [x, y], z \rangle$$

and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the smallest invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that ν gives an integral cohomology class.

$\mathcal{P}_k G$ and Loop Groups

We can also describe the 2-group $\mathcal{P}_k G$ as follows:

- An object of $\mathcal{P}_k G$ is a smooth path in G starting at the identity.
- Given objects $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{P}_k G$, a morphism

$$\widehat{\ell} \colon f_1 \to f_2$$

is an element $\widehat{\ell} \in \widehat{\Omega_k G}$ with

$$p(\widehat{\ell}) = f_2 / f_1$$

where $\widehat{\Omega_k G}$ is the level-k Kac–Moody central extension of the loop group ΩG:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(1) \longrightarrow \widehat{\Omega_k G} \xrightarrow{p} \Omega G \longrightarrow 1$$

Remark: $p(\widehat{\ell})$ is a loop in G. We can get such a loop with

$$p(\widehat{\ell}) = f_2 / f_1$$

from a disk D like this:



The Lie 2-Group $\mathcal{P}_k G$

Thus, $\mathcal{P}_k G$ is described by the following where $p \in P_0 G$ and $\hat{\gamma} \in \widehat{\Omega_k G}$:

- A Fréchet Lie group of **objects**:

$$\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{P}_k G) = P_0 G$$

- A Fréchet Lie group of **morphisms**:

$$\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{P}_k G) = P_0 G \ltimes \widehat{\Omega_k G}$$

- **source map**: $s(p, \hat{\gamma}) = p$

- **target map**: $t(p, \hat{\gamma}) = p\partial(\hat{\gamma})$ where ∂ is defined as the composite

$$\widehat{\Omega_k G} \xrightarrow{p} \Omega G \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} P_0 G$$

- **composition**: $(p_1, \hat{\gamma_1}) \circ (p_2, \hat{\gamma_2}) = (p_1, \hat{\gamma_1}\hat{\gamma_2})$ when $t(p_1, \hat{\gamma_1}) = s(p_2, \hat{\gamma_2})$, or $p_2 = p_1\partial(\hat{\gamma_1})$

- **identities**: $i(p) = (p, 1)$

Topology of $\mathcal{P}_k G$

The **nerve** of any topological 2-group is a **simplicial** topological group and therefore when we take the **geometric realization** we obtain a topological group:

Theorem. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the geometric realization of the nerve of $\mathcal{P}_k G$ is a topological group $|\mathcal{P}_k G|$. We have

$$\pi_3(|\mathcal{P}_k G|) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$$

When $k = \pm 1$,

$$|\mathcal{P}_k G| \simeq \widehat{G},$$

which is the topological group obtained by killing the third homotopy group of G.

When $G = \mathrm{Spin}(n)$, $\widehat{G}$ is called $\mathrm{String}(n)$. When $k = \pm 1$, $|\mathcal{P}_k G| \simeq \widehat{G}$.

The Lie 2-Algebra $\mathcal{P}_k\mathfrak{g}$

$\mathcal{P}_k G$ is a particularly nice kind of Lie 2-group: a *strict* one! Thus, its Lie 2-algebra is easy to compute.
Moreover,

Theorem. $\mathcal{P}_k\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_k$

Questions

We know how to get Lie n-algebras from Lie algebra cohomology! We should:

- Classify their representations
- Find their corresponding Lie n-groups
- Understand their relation to higher braid theory