

## The Untyped Lambda-Calculus, cont.

Let  $C$  be a cartesian closed category with an object  $X$  such that

$$X = \text{hom}(X, X)$$

(for example the free such category). We can "build a computer" in  $C$ . Any morphism  $f: X \rightarrow X$  defines an "element"

$$\text{"f"} : 1 \longrightarrow \text{hom}(X, X) = X.$$

Indeed, elements of  $X$  are in 1-1 correspondence with morphisms  $f: X \rightarrow X$ , since currying is an isomorphism. We'll describe such morphisms  $f: X \rightarrow X$  using  $\lambda$ -calculus expressions, which are called  $\lambda$ -terms.

Last time we defined

$$T := x \mapsto (y \mapsto x)$$

$$F := x \mapsto (y \mapsto y)$$

These are morphisms from  $X$  to  $\text{hom}(X, X) = X$ . We also defined

$$\& := (x, y) \mapsto x(y)(F)$$

which is a morphism from  $X \times X$  to  $X$ , where things like  $x(y)$  are defined using

$$X \times X = \text{hom}(X, X) \times X \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} X$$

Warmup exercise: what's  $T(\alpha)$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha) &= (x \mapsto (y \mapsto x))(a) \\ &= y \mapsto a \end{aligned} \quad \text{) } \beta\text{-reduction}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(a) &= (x \mapsto (y \mapsto y))(a) \\ &= y \mapsto y \end{aligned}$$

Now let's check that  $\&$  works as it should by computing  $\&(T, T)$ , a.k.a.  $T \& T$ , etc.

$$\begin{aligned} T \& T &= ((x, y) \mapsto x(y)(F))(T, T) \\ &= T(T)(F) \\ &= (y \mapsto T)(F) \\ &= T \end{aligned} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\begin{aligned} T \& F &= T(F)(F) \\ &= (y \mapsto F)(F) \\ &= F \end{aligned} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F \& T &= F(T)(F) \\
 &= (y \mapsto y)(F) \\
 &= F
 \end{aligned}$$

by the warmup exercise ✓

$$\begin{aligned}
 F \& F &= F(F)(F) \\
 &= (y \mapsto y)(F) \\
 &= F
 \end{aligned}$$

✓

Exercise: concoct similar tricks to define the Boolean operations  $\neg$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\Rightarrow$ .

Challenge: understand these "tricks" and make them comprehensible, if possible.

---

Another operation that's very handy:

if — then — else —.

This is given by

$$(x, y, z) \longmapsto x(y)(z).$$

Let's check:

$$\text{if } T \text{ then } A \text{ else } B = T(A)(B) = (y \mapsto A)(B) = A$$

$$\text{if } F \text{ then } A \text{ else } B = F(A)(B) = (y \mapsto y)(B) = B.$$

Note:

$A \& B = \text{if } A \text{ then } B \text{ else } F$   
which makes sense.

Now let's define functions of Church numerals. If one goes far enough, one can write any partial recursive fn.  
 $f: \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{\circ} \mathbb{N}$  as a  $\lambda$ -term. Recall for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the Church numeral

$$\bar{n} : \text{hom}(X, X) \rightarrow \text{hom}(X, X)$$

is "raising to the  $n$ th power":

$$\bar{n} = f \mapsto f^n$$

or, in haute  $\lambda$ -calculusese,

$$\bar{n} = f \mapsto (x \mapsto \underbrace{f(f(f \dots f(x))))}_n)$$

How do we define addition of Church numerals?

$$+ = (a, b) \mapsto (f \mapsto a(f) \circ b(f))$$

where we defined  $\circ$  earlier:

$$\circ = (f, g) \mapsto (x \mapsto f(g(x)))$$

Check:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{n} + \bar{m} &= +( \bar{n}, \bar{m}) = f \mapsto \bar{n}(f) \circ \bar{m}(f) \\&= f \mapsto f^n \circ f^m \\&= f \mapsto f^{n+m} \\&= \overline{n+m}\end{aligned}$$

How about multiplication?

$$\begin{aligned}\cdot &= (a, b) \mapsto (f \mapsto a(b(f))) \\&= (a, b) \mapsto a \circ b\end{aligned}$$

Check:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{n} \cdot \bar{m} &= \cdot(\bar{n}, \bar{m}) = f \mapsto \bar{n}(\bar{m}(f)) \\&= f \mapsto (f^m)^n \\&= f \mapsto f^{mn} \\&= \overline{mn}\end{aligned}$$

Lots of fancier functions are defined recursively,  
like

$$n! = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n=0 \\ n(n-1)! & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

So we'd like to say

$$\text{factorial} = (\text{if } n==0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n \cdot \text{factorial}(n-1))$$

To get this to work we need to

- 1) Define an operation  $x==0$  which takes values T or F.
- 2) Define an operation  $x-1$  which does the right thing for church numerals  $\bar{n}$  with  $n > 0$ .
- 3) Figure out how to convert our recursive definition into a  $\lambda$ -term.

I'll leave 1) & 2) as exercises and do 3).

For 3), we're given a definition of factorial that's a fixed-point equation: given a method  $f$  for turning fns into fns we seek a function  $a$  s.t.  $a = f(a)$ . For this we prove an astounding theorem . . . .

Fixed Point Theorem: Suppose  $f$  is an element of  $\text{hom}(X, X) = X$  defined by some  $\lambda$ -term. Then there exists a fixed point for  $f$ , namely an element  $a$  of  $X$  defined by some  $\lambda$ -term, with

$$f(a) = a.$$

Proof: We actually construct a from  $f$ . Let

$$A = x \mapsto f(x(x))$$

and let

$$a = A(A).$$

Let's check that it works:

$$a = A(A) = f(A(A)) = f(a) \quad \blacksquare (!)$$

This fiendish argument is probably due to Church & Turing.

Applying this to

$$\text{factorial} = f(\text{factorial})$$

where

$$f(g) = (x \mapsto \text{if } x=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x \cdot g(x-1))$$

the theorem gives an explicit  $\lambda$ -term for the factorial function.

Masochistic but useful exercise — use this to compute  $3!$ .