

2007 THU

Tim Dolan

Last Time:

G a finite group
} G -equivariant linear operators
Between permutation representations }

Hecke operators \rightarrow

||?

} Free vector space on the G -orbits on
the Cartesian product of G -sets }

(a)

Lemma

$$\text{Free}(\text{Orbit}(X \times Y)) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\text{Free}(X), \text{Free}(Y))$$

where X, Y are G -sets.

(b)

We'll try and relate this notion of Hecke operators (made more precise later), with that of Hecke algebras.

We already said that G -orbits on $X \times Y$ are trying to describe "geometric-logical" relationships between types of geometrical figures. Today, we give more examples of this notion, notably the ones from the previous lectures (eg. flags in various contexts).

(c)

Example

G = isometries of cube, preserving its location in space.

Then $|G| = 48$, for:

each vertex goes to any of 8 edges $\rightarrow 48$
edges $\rightarrow 3$ orientations, 2 orientations.

Let's take G -set $X = \{\text{corners}\}$, $|X| = 8$
 G -set $Y = \{\text{edges}\}$, $|Y| = 12$

Then the logical possibilities are ($C = \text{Corner}$, $E = \text{edge}$)

- (1) C lies on E $\rightarrow 3$
- (2) C, E lie on one face (and no better) $\rightarrow 6$
- (3) C, E lie on the cube (—do —) $\rightarrow 3$

(d) Now we need to make this mathematically precise.
 But before that, let's pick only orientation-preserving isometries \rightarrow so (new G) = 24-elts.

(e) How does the group come in? In the following sense:

(i) If we apply $g \in G$ (any g) to a $C \& E$ satisfying (1) & (2) & (3), we end up with some (other) $C \& E$ still satisfying the same conditions.

(ii) Any two (C, E) pairs satisfying, say, (2), are in one G -orbit.

(f) The correspondences in (e) can give interesting "translations" of concepts in one setting, to another.

For example, Composing operators \rightarrow ?

Composing two orbits need not give just one orbit
~~How's~~ eg product of basis elts. in an algebra need not give another basis elt, merely a linear combination.

eg. $\text{Corner} \xrightarrow{\text{lies on}} \text{edge} \xrightarrow{\text{contains}} \text{Corner} \not\equiv \text{Corner} = \text{Corner}$

That's only one orbit, but there's another orbit possible:

Orbits share an edge (but no better):

~~② Next example: what JB has been doing: $\text{Free}(X \times Y) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\text{Free}(X), \text{Free}(Y))$~~

~~② Proof of Lemma~~

~~RTP: $\text{Free}(\text{Orbit}(X \times Y)) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\text{Free}(X), \text{Free}(Y))$~~

~~[PF] We'll try to find \Leftrightarrow maps, inverse to each other.~~

~~\rightarrow Enough to find on basis elts:
(~~and show~~)~~

~~say (x, y)~~

② Proof of Lemma RTP: $\text{Free}(\text{Orbit}(X \times Y)) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\text{Free}(X), \text{Free}(Y))$

② REDUCTION: $X = \coprod_{i \in I} X_i$, $Y = \coprod_{j \in J} Y_j$

where X_i, Y_j are transitive ~~letters~~ orbits.

Then $\text{Free}(X) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Free}(X_i)$ as G -reps.

and similarly for $\text{Free}(Y)$

AND $\text{Orbit}(X \times Y) = \coprod_{(i, j) \in I \times J} \text{Orbit}(X_i \times Y_j)$

(2) So $\boxed{\text{ETS}}$ $\text{Free}(\text{Orbit}(X \times Y)) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\text{Free}(X), \text{Free}(Y))$
for transitive X, Y .

$\boxed{\text{Proof}}$ We'll show maps both ways; they are 2-sided inverses to each other.

$\boxed{\rightarrow}$ On a basis: $\{g(x, y) : g \in G\} \mapsto \left[\tau : [gx] \mapsto [gy] \right]$
 $\forall g \in G$

where $\{[x] \in X\}$ spans $\text{Free}(X)$.

$\boxed{\leftarrow}$ $(\tau : [x] \mapsto [\tau(x)]) \in \text{Hom}_G(\text{Free}(X), \text{Free}(Y))$

(with $\tau(x) \in Y$) forms a basis of $\text{Hom}_G(\text{Free}(X), \text{Free}(Y))$.

So, we again give the map on this basis:

$[\tau(x) \mapsto y] \longrightarrow \{g(x, y) : g \in G\}$

One can check that these two maps are inverses of one another. Hence the isomorphism is proved.

(Proved)

————— X —————

(3) Next example: $G = \text{GL}(4, F)$, $X = \overset{D1}{\text{H}}\text{-flags}$, $Y = \overset{D2}{\text{I}}\text{-flags}$
 \downarrow \downarrow
 $= L' \leq \text{FP}^3$ $= \text{PSL} \leq \text{PL} \leq \text{FP}^3$

The config's are:

(a) $L' = L$	(c) (i) PSL'	(d) $L' \cap L \neq \emptyset$	(NOTE: $L' \cap \text{PL} \neq \emptyset$)
(b) $\text{PSL}' \leq \text{PL}$	(ii) $L' \leq \text{PL}$	(e) L' generic	

"Ask JB for explanations of the 2nd Remarks" from his
previous lecture (see notes!).