

HW/2008/THU 1

## John Baez

(a) Recall from last time that we worked with the Harmonic Oscillator, and we then had the position and momentum operators

$$p, q : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}), \text{ given by}$$

$$q\psi(x) = x\psi(x)$$

$$p\psi(x) = \frac{1}{i}\psi'(x)$$

(b) Then  $p, q$  are both self-adjoint,  $[p, q] = \frac{1}{i}$

and now we defined the creation and annihilation operators

$$a^* = \frac{p + iq}{\sqrt{2}} \quad a = \frac{p - iq}{\sqrt{2}}$$

And,  $H = \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + q^2) = a^*a + \frac{1}{2}$  is also self-adjoint

(c) Now,  $\psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , given by  $e^{-x^2/2}$ , is an eigenfunction

$$(H\psi_0 = \frac{1}{2}\psi_0 \Leftrightarrow) a\psi_0 = 0 \quad (\text{easy to check})$$

Moreover, the eigenvalues of  $H$  are  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \dots$

Though this seems a bit tedious mathematically, it is crucial in physics - the  $\frac{1}{2}$  is the energy of the vacuum vector, arising out of the Uncertainty Principle.

(d)  $N = H - \frac{1}{2}$  has eigenvalues  $= N_0 = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , ~~as~~ we'll work with this now. Then  $[N, a^*] = a^*$ ,  $[N, a] = -a$

and  $N\psi = \lambda\psi \Rightarrow N(a^*\psi) = (\lambda+1)a^*\psi$   
 $N(a\psi) = (\lambda-1)a\psi$ .

So if  $\psi_n = (a^*)^n \psi_0$ , then  $N\psi_n = n\psi_n$ .

This is why  $N$  is called the number operator.

(e) Note that oscillators are present in many examples in physics - eg. light in a box. Then the energy states are discrete, and given by the number of photons in the box.  
 (So in the units where the energy of a photon = 1, we have vacuum energy =  $\frac{1}{2}$ .)

(f) ~~Note~~ Theorem  $\{\psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  form an orthogonal Hilbert space basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$   
 (ie we have  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \text{completion}(\text{span}(\{\psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}))$ )

(Essentially a Stone-Weierstrass-type result.)

(g) Note:  $a^*\psi_n = \psi_{n+1}$  ;  $a\psi_n = n\psi_{n-1}$  ( $\psi_{-1} = 0$ )  
 and hence (easy to see)  
 $N\psi_n = a^*a\psi_n = n\psi_n$ .

So we have an analogy:  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}), \{\psi_n\}, a^*, a)$   
 and  $(\mathbb{C}[z] \text{ or } F[z], \{z^n\}, (z), \frac{d}{dz})$   
 $\mathbb{Z}_+ \quad \mathbb{Z}_+$

This means that we can define an operator

$$\alpha: \mathbb{C}[z] \xrightarrow{\sim} L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\text{Then } \begin{pmatrix} z^n & \mapsto & \psi_n \\ m_z & \mapsto & a^* \\ d/dz & \mapsto & a \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\alpha$  is 1-1 with dense image

(H) HW Find the unique inner product on  $\mathbb{C}[z]$  so that  $m_z$  and  $d/dz$  are adjoint, and  $\|z^1\| = 1$ .

With this inner product on  $\mathbb{C}[z]$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[z]$  becomes a pre-Hilbert space, and

$\alpha$  extends to  $\tilde{\alpha}: \overline{\mathbb{C}[z]} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , as a unitary operator.

(This is also called Fock space).

This is in fact what happens while studying physics. In quantum mechanics, one studies it via  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . In QFT, things are done in the Fock space. And from above, these approaches are equivalent!

— X —

(2) Henceforth, we'll drop the analysis, replace  $\mathbb{C}$  by any field (maybe with char. 0)  $k$ , and work with

$$k[z] \text{ with operators } a^* = m_z, a = \frac{d}{dz}, N = a^* a.$$

(a) We can easily generalize this to  $n$  (types of) particles

$K[z_1, \dots, z_n]$  with operators  $a_i^* = m_{z_i}$ ,  $a_i = \frac{d}{dz_i}$ ,  
 $N_i = a_i^* a_i$

$$N = \text{total number operator} = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i$$

(b) But there are two other, relatively harder, manoeuvres (?) that we would like to do — groupoidification —  $q$ -deformation.

First, let's groupoidify  $(K[z], a, a^*)$ . The more systematic ~~not~~ thing is the reverse procedure, ~~to~~ namely

degroupoidification : ~~vectors~~  $\longrightarrow$

groupoids  $\longrightarrow$  vector spaces  
Spans of groupoids  $\longrightarrow$  linear operators

(c) The way to do this is  $X \mapsto \text{Free}(X) =: H_0(X)$ , the "zeroth homology".

where ~~if~~ if  $X$  is a groupoid, there's a set  $X$  of isomorphism classes of objects, and we then form a vector space with  $X$  as basis.

As for a linear operator, here's how to get it: if we have a functor

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ , then we get a linear operator

$$f_*: H_0(X) \rightarrow H_0(Y) \\ [x] \mapsto [f(x)]$$

And also sometimes,  $f^! : H_0(Y) \rightarrow H_0(X)$

$$f^! : [y] \mapsto \sum_{[x] \in f^{-1}(y)} \frac{[x]}{|\text{Aut}(x)|}$$

(This is needed to make  $\text{id}^! = \text{id}$ !)

Here,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is the groupoid whose objects are  $x \in X$  with full sub  $fX$   $f(x) \cong y$

And  $\text{Aut}(x) = \{ \text{all isomorphisms } x \rightarrow x \}$

(d) There are two potential problems:

(i) The sum above may be infinite  $\rightarrow$  maybe we can use Borel-Moore homology here, to allow us to write infinite sums.

(ii)  $\text{Aut}(x)$  may be infinite.

(e) So we'll avoid these ~~same~~ problems in the examples that we study. We still have to worry about converting spaces to linear operators.

Example  $(\text{Fin Set})_0$  has  $H_0(\text{Fin Set}_0) = k[\mathbb{Z}]$ .

and consider  $\text{Fin Set}_0 \xrightarrow{+1} \text{Fin Set}_0$

Note: This really is a functor  $S \xrightarrow{+1} S \amalg \{*\}$   
 $\downarrow a \quad \downarrow \alpha + 1$   
 $T \xrightarrow{+1} T \amalg \{*\}$

What is  $H_0(\text{Fin Set}_0) \xrightarrow{(+1)_*} H_0(\text{Fin Set}_1)$  ?

Ans.  $\mathbb{Z}^n \mapsto \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ , so it's the creation operator  $a^*$ .

What's  $H_0(\text{Fin Set}_0) \xrightarrow{(+1)!} H_0(\text{Fin Set}_0)$  ?

Well, looking at the formula, if  $[y] = \mathbb{Z}^n$ , re  $y$  is any  $n$ -element set,

then  $f^{-1}(y) = \{\text{all } (n-1)\text{-element sets}\}$ , so

$$(+1)!(\mathbb{Z}^n) = (n!) \sum_{\text{one element } = [x] = \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \frac{\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = n \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$$

[because  $|\text{Aut}(y)| = n!$ ,  $|\text{Aut}(x)| = (n-1)!]$

So this is the annihilation operator  $a$ .

3

Homework

$$1 = \langle z^1, z^1 \rangle = \langle m z(1), z \rangle = \langle 1, \frac{d}{dz} z \rangle = \langle 1, 1 \rangle = 1$$

$$\text{Now, } \forall n, \langle z^m, z^n \rangle = \langle m z(z^{n-1}), z^n \rangle = \langle z^{n-1}, (z^n)' \rangle = n \langle z^{n-1}, z^{n-1} \rangle$$

Hence by induction,  $\langle z^n, z^n \rangle = n!$   $\forall n$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and if } m > n, \langle z^m, z^n \rangle &= \langle m z(z^{m-1}), z^n \rangle = \langle z^{m-1}, n z^{n-1} \rangle \\ &= n \langle z^{m-1}, z^{n-1} \rangle \\ &= \dots = n! \langle z^{m-n}, z^0 \rangle = n! \langle m z(z^{m-n-1}), 1 \rangle \\ &= n! \langle z^{m-n-1}, 0 \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

ANS  $\langle z^m, z^n \rangle = \delta_{m,n} n!$ , and extend by bilinearity (here,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ).