

## Symmetric monoidal categories

①

"A category theorist is sort of like a sociologist. He looks at mathematical objects — he doesn't pry it open and see how it works — but sees how it behaves in relation to all other things."

— Chris Heunen

[Def] A monoid is a nonempty set  $G$  together with a binary operation on  $G$  which is

(i) associative:  $(xy)z = x(yz) \quad \forall x, y, z \in G$

(ii) and contains a (two-sided) identity element  $e \in G$  such that  $xe = ex = x \quad \forall x \in G$

[i.e. take the definition of a group and drop the requirement of inverses]

**Def** A monoidal category is a category  $\mathcal{C}$  which is equipped with

1. a tensor product functor  $\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  where the image of a pair of objects  $(x, y)$  is denoted by  $x \otimes y$
2. a unit object  $I$
3. for every  $x, y, z \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , an associativity isomorphism  
 $\alpha_{x,y,z}: (x \otimes y) \otimes z \xrightarrow{\sim} x \otimes (y \otimes z),$   
natural in the objects  $x, y$ , and  $z$ ,
4. for every  $x \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , a left unit isomorphism  $l_x: I \otimes x \xrightarrow{\sim} x$  and a right unit isomorphism  $r_x: x \otimes I \xrightarrow{\sim} x$ , both natural in  $x$ .

We further assume the following diagrams commute for any objects  $w, x, y$ , and  $z$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & ((w \otimes x) \otimes y) \otimes z & & \\
& \swarrow \alpha_{w,x,y} \otimes \text{id}_z & & \searrow \alpha_{w,x,y,z} & \\
(w \otimes (x \otimes y)) \otimes z & & & & (w \otimes x) \otimes (y \otimes z) \\
\downarrow \alpha_{w,x \otimes y,z} & & & & \downarrow \alpha_{w,x,y \otimes z} \\
w \otimes ((x \otimes y) \otimes z) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_w \otimes \alpha_{x,y,z}} & & & w \otimes (x \otimes (y \otimes z))
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(x \otimes I) \otimes y & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{x,I,y}} & x \otimes (I \otimes y) \\
\downarrow r_x \otimes \text{id}_y & & \downarrow \text{id}_x \otimes l_y \\
x \otimes y & &
\end{array}$$

When we want to emphasize the tensor product and unit, we denote a monoidal category by  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$ .

**Ex**  $\bullet (\text{Set}, \times, \{\emptyset\}) \quad \left. \right\} \text{it's structural!}$

$\bullet (\text{Set}, \amalg, \emptyset)$

$\bullet (\text{Grp}, \times, \{e\})$

$\bullet (\text{Hilb}, \otimes, \mathbb{C})$

objects: Hilbert spaces

morphisms: short linear maps (linear maps of norm at most 1)

Why is

$$\alpha_{x,y,z}: (x \otimes y) \otimes z \longrightarrow x \otimes (y \otimes z)$$

an isomorphism, and not an equality?

Let's consider the example  $(\text{Set}, \times, \{\circ\})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (X \times Y) \times Z &= \{(w, z) \mid w \in X \times Y, z \in Z\} \\ &= \{((x, y), z) \mid x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X \times (Y \times Z) &= \{(x, w) \mid x \in X, w \in Y \times Z\} \\ &= \{(x, (y, z)) \mid x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z\} \end{aligned}$$

These sets are not equal - but we can easily construct an isomorphism.

**Ex** How can we take a monoid  $G$  and construct a monoidal category?

First, we need a category  $\mathcal{C}$ :

- objects: elements of  $G$
- morphisms: identity morphisms

We get a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \circ, e)$  where  $\circ$  is the binary product of  $G$  and  $e$  is the identity element of  $G$ .

**Note** In general,

- if  $\mathcal{C}$  has products, we get a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \times, 1)$
- if  $\mathcal{C}$  has coproducts, we get a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, +, 0)$

**Def** A monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$  is symmetric if it additionally is equipped with an isomorphism  $s_{x,y}: x \otimes y \rightarrow y \otimes x$  for any objects  $x$  and  $y$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , natural in  $x$  and  $y$ , such that the following diagrams commute for all objects  $x, y$ , and  $z$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & (x \otimes y) \otimes z & \xrightarrow{s_{x,y} \otimes \text{id}_z} & (y \otimes x) \otimes z & \\
 \alpha_{x,y,z} \swarrow & & & & \downarrow \alpha_{y,x,z} \\
 x \otimes (y \otimes z) & & & & y \otimes (x \otimes z) \\
 & s_{x,y \otimes z} \searrow & & & \downarrow \text{id}_y \otimes s_{x,z} \\
 & & (y \otimes z) \otimes x & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{y,z,x}} & y \otimes (z \otimes x)
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 x \otimes I & \xrightarrow{s_{x,I}} & I \otimes x \\
 \downarrow r_x & & \downarrow l_x \\
 x & & x
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 x \otimes y & \xrightarrow{s_{x,y}} & y \otimes x \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_{x \otimes y} & & \downarrow s_{y,x} \\
 x \otimes y & & x \otimes y
 \end{array}$$

Most of the examples of monoidal categories we have talked about are symmetric.  
What's an example of a monoidal category that is not symmetric?

Let  $R$  be a non-commutative ring

The category of  $R$ - $R$ -bimodules, with  $\otimes_R$  as the tensor and  $R$  as the unit, is such an example.

**Note** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \circ, e)$  be the monoidal category given by the monoid  $G$ . If  $G$  is an abelian group, then  $(\mathcal{C}, \circ, e)$  is symmetric.

Going back to the definition of a symmetric monoidal category...

Q: Why is the hexagon commuting diagram sufficient?

- There are 6 different ways to order 3 elements
  - There are 2 ways of associating 3 elements
- $\Rightarrow 12$  possibilities

(and we would expect all of these to be isomorphic)

A: repeat!

