

BURGESS INEQUALITY IN \mathbb{F}_{p^2}

MEI-CHU CHANG

ABSTRACT.

Let χ be a nontrivial multiplicative character of \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . We obtain the following results.

1. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_p$ and I, J are intervals of size $p^{1/4+\varepsilon}$, (p sufficiently large), then*

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{x \in I \\ y \in J}} \chi(x + \omega y) \right| < p^{-\delta} |I| |J|.$$

The statement is uniform in ω .

2. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $x^2 + axy + by^2$ is not a perfect square (mod p), and if $I, J \subset [1, p-1]$ are intervals of size*

$$|I|, |J| > p^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}, \tag{0.9}$$

then for p sufficiently large, we have

$$\left| \sum_{x \in I, y \in J} \chi(x^2 + axy + by^2) \right| < p^{-\delta} |I| |J|,$$

where $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ does not depend on the binary form.

§0. Introduction.

The paper contributes to two problems on incomplete character sums that go back to the work of Burgess and Davenport-Lewis in the sixties. Incomplete character sums are a challenge in analytic number theory. By incomplete, we mean that the summation is only over an interval I . Typical applications include the problem of the smallest

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

quadratic non-residue (mod p) and the distribution of primitive elements in a finite field. Recall that Burgess' bound [B1] on multiplicative character sums $\sum_{x \in I} \chi(x)$ in a prime field \mathbb{F}_p provides a nontrivial estimate for an interval $I \subset [1, p-1]$ of size $|I| > p^{1/4+\varepsilon}$, with any given $\varepsilon > 0$. Burgess' result, which supercedes the Polya-Vinogradov inequality, was a major breakthrough and remains unsurpassed. (It is conjectured that such result should hold as soon as $|I| > p^\varepsilon$.)

The aim of this paper is to obtain the full generalization of Burgess' theorem in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Thus

Theorem 5. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_p$ and I, J are intervals of size $p^{1/4+\varepsilon}$, (p sufficiently large), then*

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{x \in I \\ y \in J}} \chi(x + \omega y) \right| < p^{-\delta} |I| |J| \quad (0.1)$$

for χ a nontrivial multiplicative character.

The importance of the statement is its uniformity in ω . Both Burgess [B2] and Karacuba [K] obtained the above statement under the assumption that ω satisfies a given quadratic equation

$$\omega^2 + a\omega + b = 0 \pmod{p} \quad (0.2)$$

with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

In the generality of Theorem 5, the best known result in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} was due to Davenport and Lewis [DL], under the assumption $|I|, |J| > p^{1/3+\varepsilon}$. More generally, they consider character sums in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} of the form

$$\sum_{x_1 \in I_1, \dots, x_n \in I_n} \chi(x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + x_n \omega_n), \quad (0.3)$$

where $I_1, \dots, I_n \subset [1, p-1]$ are intervals. It is shown in [DL] that

$$\sum_{x_1 \in I_1, \dots, x_n \in I_n} \chi(x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + x_n \omega_n) < p^{-\delta(\varepsilon)} |I_1| \cdots |I_n| \quad (0.4)$$

provided for some $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$|I_i| > p^{\rho+\varepsilon} \quad \text{with } \rho = \rho_n = \frac{n}{2(n+1)}. \quad (0.5)$$

In [C2], newly developed sum-product techniques in finite fields were used to establish (0.4) under the hypothesis

$$|I_i| > p^{\frac{2}{5} + \varepsilon} \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0 \quad (0.6)$$

Hence [C2] improves upon (0.5) provided $n \geq 5$ and Theorem 5 in this paper provides the optimal result for $n = 2$.

We will briefly recall Burgess' method in the next section. It involves several steps. As in [C2], the novelty in our strategy pertains primarily to new bounds on *multiplicative energy* in finite fields (see Section 1 for definition). The other aspects of Burgess technique remain unchanged. We also did not try to optimize the inequality qualitatively, as our concern here was only to obtain a nontrivial estimate under the weakest assumption possible. The new estimates on multiplicative energy are given in Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 in Section 1. Contrary to the arguments in [C2] that depend on abstract sum-product theory in finite fields, the input in this paper is more classical. Lemma 2 is based on uniform estimates for the divisor function of an extension of \mathbb{Q} of bounded degree. In Lemma 3, we use multiplicative characters to bound the energy

$$E(A, I) = \{(x_1, x_2, t_1, t_2) \in A^2 \times I^2 : x_1 t_1 \equiv x_2 t_2 \pmod{p}\}, \quad (0.7)$$

where $A \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is an arbitrary set and $I \subset [1, p-1]$ an interval. The underlying principle is actually related to Plunnecke-Ruzsa sum-set theory [TV] (here in its multiplicative version), but in this particular case may be captured in a more classical way.

Closely related to Theorem 5 is the problem of estimating character sums of binary quadratic forms over \mathbb{F}_p .

$$\sum_{x \in I, y \in J} \chi(x^2 + axy + by^2), \quad (0.8)$$

where $x^2 + axy + by^2 \in \mathbb{F}_p[x, y]$ is not a perfect square and χ a nontrivial multiplicative character of \mathbb{F}_p .

Theorem 11. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $x^2 + axy + by^2$ is not a perfect square (mod p), and if $I, J \subset [1, p-1]$ are intervals of size*

$$|I|, |J| > p^{\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon}, \quad (0.9)$$

then for p sufficiently large, we have

$$\left| \sum_{x \in I, y \in J} \chi(x^2 + axy + by^2) \right| < p^{-\delta} |I| |J|, \quad (0.10)$$

where $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ does not depend on the binary form.

This is an improvement upon Burgess' result [B3], requiring the assumption $|I|, |J| > p^{1/3+\varepsilon}$.

We will not discuss in this paper the various classical application of Theorem 1 (to primitive roots, quadratic residues, etc) as the argument involved are not different from the ones in the literature.

§1. Preliminaries and Notations.

In what follows we will consider multiplications in $R = \mathbb{F}_{p^a}$ and $R = \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$. Denote by R^* the group of invertible elements of R . Let A, B be subsets of R . Denote

(1). $AB := \{ab : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$.

(2). $aB := \{a\}B$.

Intervals are intervals of integers.

(3). $[a, b] := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : a \leq n \leq b\}$

(4). The *multiplicative energy* of $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset R$ is defined as

$$E(A_1, \dots, A_n) := |\{(a_1, \dots, a_n, a'_1, \dots, a'_n) : a_1 \cdots a_n = a'_1 \cdots a'_n\}|$$

with the understanding that all factors a_i, a'_i are in $A_i \cap R^*$.

Using multiplicative characters χ of R , one has

(5). $E(A_1, \dots, A_n) = \frac{1}{|R^*|} \sum_{\chi} \prod_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{\xi_i \in A_i} \chi(\xi_i) \right|^2$.

Energy is always multiplicative energy in this paper.

(6). *Burgess' Method.* In this paper we will apply Burgess' method several times. We outline the recipe here, considering intervals in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . For details, see Section 2 of [C2].

Suppose we want to bound

$$\left| \sum_{x \in I, y \in J} \chi(x + \omega y) \right|, \tag{1.1}$$

where I, J are intervals. We translate (x, y) by $(tu, tv) \in \mathcal{T}M$, where $M = I' \times J'$ is a box in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , and $\mathcal{T} = [1, T]$ such that $T|I'| < p^{-\varepsilon}|I|$ and $T|J'| < p^{-\varepsilon}|J|$ for some small $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, it suffices to estimate the following sum

$$\frac{1}{T|M|} \left| \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathcal{T} \\ (u, v) \in M}} \sum_{\substack{x \in I \\ y \in J}} \chi(x + tu + (y + tv)\omega) \right|. \tag{1.2}$$

Let $w(\mu) = |\{(x, y, u, v) \in I \times J \times M : \mu = \frac{x+\omega y}{u+\omega v}\}|$.

Then the double sum in (1.2) is bounded by

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}} w(\mu) \left| \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \chi(t + \mu) \right| \leq \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}} w(\mu)^{\frac{2k}{2k-1}} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{2k}}}_{\alpha} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}} \left| \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \chi(\mu + t) \right|^{2k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2k}}}_{\beta}, \quad (1.3)$$

where k is a large integer to be chosen. By Hölder's inequality and the definition of $w(\mu)$,

$$\alpha \leq \left[\sum w(\mu) \right]^{1-\frac{1}{k}} \left[\sum w(\mu)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2k}} = (|I| |J| |I'| |J'|)^{1-\frac{1}{k}} E(I + \omega J, I' + \omega J')^{\frac{1}{2k}}.$$

A key idea in Burgess' approach is then to estimate (1.3) using Weil's theorem for multiplicative characters in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} (here $n = 2$), leading to the bound.

$$\beta \leq k T^{\frac{1}{2}} p^{\frac{n}{2k}} + 2T p^{\frac{n}{4k}}.$$

So the remaining problem to bound the character sum (1.1) is reduced to the bounding of multiplicative energy $E(I + \omega J, I' + \omega J')$. We will describe a new strategy.

§2. Multiplicative energy of two intervals in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} .

The first step in estimating the multiplicative energy is the following

Lemma 1. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_p$ and*

$$Q = \left\{ x + \omega y : x, y \in \left[1, \frac{1}{10} p^{1/4} \right] \right\}.$$

Then

$$\max_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}} |\{(z_1, z_2) \in Q \times Q : \xi = z_1 \cdot z_2\}| < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right).$$

An essential point here is that the bound is uniform in ω . Also, the specific size of Q is important. Note that for our purpose, any estimate of the type $p^{o(1)}$ would do as well.

Proof.

For given $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, assume that ξ can be factored as products of two elements in Q in at least two ways. We consider the set S of polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$

$$(y_1y_2 - y'_1y'_2)X^2 + (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 - x'_1y'_2 - x'_2y'_1)X + (x_1x_2 - x'_1x'_2), \quad (2.1)$$

where $x_i + \omega y_i, x'_i + \omega y'_i \in Q$ for $i = 1, 2$, and

$$(x_1 + \omega y_1)(x_2 + \omega y_2) = \xi = (x'_1 + \omega y'_1)(x'_2 + \omega y'_2) \quad (2.2)$$

in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} .

Let $g(X) = X^2 + aX + b \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of ω . Then it is clear that every $f(X)$ in S , when considered as a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, is a scalar multiple of $g(X)$.

Next, observe that, by definition of Q , the coefficients of (2.1) are integers bounded by $\frac{1}{25}p^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore, since the coefficients of two non-zero polynomials (2.1) are proportional in \mathbb{F}_p , they are also proportional in \mathbb{Q} . Thus the polynomials (2.1) are multiples of each other in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ and therefore have a common root $\tilde{\omega} \in \mathbb{C}$. Since

$$(x_1 + \tilde{\omega}y_1)(x_2 + \tilde{\omega}y_2) = (x'_1 + \tilde{\omega}y'_1)(x'_2 + \tilde{\omega}y'_2) \quad (2.3)$$

in $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{\omega})$ whenever (2.2) holds, it suffices to show that if we fix some $\tilde{\xi} \in \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{\omega})$, then

$$\{(z_1, z_2) \in \tilde{Q} \times \tilde{Q} : \tilde{\xi} = z_1z_2\} < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\tilde{Q} = \left\{x + \tilde{\omega}y : x, y \in \left[1, \frac{1}{10}p^{1/4}\right]\right\}.$$

This is easily derived from a divisor estimate. Let $uX^2 + vX + w$ be a nonzero polynomial in S , then

$$u(\tilde{\omega})^2 + v\tilde{\omega} + w = 0.$$

Note that $\eta = u\tilde{\omega}$ is an algebraic integer, since it satisfies

$$\eta^2 + v\eta + uw = 0.$$

Thus

$$u^2\tilde{\xi} = (ux_1 + \eta y_1)(ux_2 + \eta y_2)$$

is a factorization of $u^2\tilde{\xi}$ in the integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\eta)$. Since the height of these integers is obviously bounded by p , (2.4) is implied by the usual divisor bound in a (quadratic) number field (which is uniform for extensions of given degree).

This proves Lemma 1. \square

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 1, we have the following.

Lemma 2. *Let Q be as in Lemma 1. Then the multiplicative energy $E(Q, Q)$ satisfies*

$$E(Q, Q) < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |Q|^2. \quad (2.5)$$

and

Lemma 2'. *Let Q be as in Lemma 1 and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. Then*

$$E(z_1 + Q, z_2 + Q) < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |Q|^2. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof of Lemma 2'.

We have

$$E(z_1 + Q, z_2 + Q) \leq |Q|^2 + E(Q + Q, z_2 + Q)$$

and by Cauchy-Schwarz. (See [TV] Corollary 2.10).

$$E(Q + Q, z + Q) \leq E(Q + Q, Q + Q)^{\frac{1}{2}} E(z + Q, z + Q)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence (2.6) follows from (2.5). \square

§3. Further amplification.

The second ingredient is provided by

Lemma 3. *Let Q be as in Lemma 1, and let $I = [1, p^{1/k}]$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. Then*

$$E(I, z_1 + Q, z_2 + Q) < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot p^{1 + \frac{3}{2k}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof.

Denote χ the multiplicative characters of \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & E(I, z_1 + Q, z_2 + Q) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\chi} \underbrace{\left| \sum_{t \in I} \chi(t) \right|^2}_{A^2} \underbrace{\left| \sum_{\xi \in Q} \chi(\xi + z_1) \right|^2}_{B^2} \underbrace{\left| \sum_{\xi \in Q} \chi(\xi + z_2) \right|^2}_{C^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Here the sum over $\xi \in Q$ is such that $\xi + z_i \neq 0$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Hence by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(I, z_1 + Q, z_2 + Q) \\
& \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\chi} [A^2(BC)^{\frac{2}{k}}]^k \right\}^{\frac{1}{k}} \left\{ \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\chi} [(BC)^{2-\frac{2}{k}}]^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \right\}^{1-\frac{1}{k}} \\
& = \underbrace{\left\{ \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\chi} A^{2k} B^2 C^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{k}}}_{(3.3)} \left\{ \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\chi} B^2 C^2 \right\}^{1-\frac{1}{k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the second factor is equal to $E(z_1 + Q, z_2 + Q)^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$, (2.6) applies and we obtain the bound

$$(3.3) \cdot \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |Q|^{2(1-\frac{1}{k})}. \quad (3.4)$$

Estimate (3.3) as

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) & \leq |Q|^{\frac{2}{k}} \left\{ \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{t \in I} \chi(t) \right|^{2k} \left| \sum_{\xi \in Q} \chi(\xi + z_1) \right|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{k}} \\
& < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |Q|^{\frac{2}{k}} \left\{ \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(t) \right|^2 \left| \sum_{\xi \in Q} \chi(\xi + z_1) \right|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{k}} \\
& = \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |Q|^{\frac{2}{k}} E(\mathbb{F}_p, Q + z_1)^{\frac{1}{k}}. \quad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

The second inequality is by definition of I and the divisor bound. Next, let $z = a + \omega b$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and let $Q = J + \omega J$, with $J = [1, p^{1/4}]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(\mathbb{F}_p, Q + z) \\
& = |\{(t_1, t_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 \times Q^2 : t_1(\xi_1 + z) = t_2(\xi_2 + z) \neq 0\}| \\
& = |\{(t_1, t_2, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 \times J^4 : \\
& \quad t_1((x_1 + a) + \omega(y_1 + b)) = t_2((x_2 + a) + \omega(y_2 + b)) \neq 0\}|. \quad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Equating coefficients in (3.6), we have

$$\begin{cases} t_1(x_1 + a) = t_2(x_2 + a) \\ t_1(y_1 + b) = t_2(y_2 + b) \end{cases}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{x_1 + a}{y_1 + b} = \frac{x_2 + a}{y_2 + b}.$$

and the number of (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) satisfying (3.6) is bounded by $E(a + J, b + J)$, which is bounded by $p^{1/2} \log p$, by [FI]. Hence,

$$E(\mathbb{F}_p, Q + z) \lesssim p^{3/2} \log p.$$

By (3.5) and (3.4),

$$(3.3) \leq \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |Q|^{\frac{2}{k}} p^{\frac{3}{2k}},$$

and

$$E(I, z_1 + Q, z_2 + Q) \leq \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |Q|^2 p^{\frac{3}{2k}}.$$

This proves Lemma 3. \square

Lemma 4. *Let $I_j = [a_j, b_j]$, where $b_j - a_j \geq p^{\frac{1}{4}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, 4$. Denote*

$$R = I_1 + \omega I_2, \text{ and } S = I_3 + \omega I_4.$$

Let $I = [1, p^{\frac{1}{k}}]$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Then

$$E(I, R, S) < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot p^{\frac{3}{2k}-1} |R|^2 |S|^2. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof.

Subdivide R and S in translates of Q and apply Lemma 3. Thus the left side of (3.1) needs to be multiplied with $\left(\frac{|R|}{|Q|}\right)^2 \left(\frac{|S|}{|Q|}\right)^2$ which gives (3.7). \square

§4. Proof of Theorem 5.

We now establish the analogue of Burgess for progressions in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} .

Theorem 5. *Given $\rho > \frac{1}{4}$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_p$ and I, J are intervals of size p^ρ , then*

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{x \in I \\ y \in J}} \chi(x + \omega y) \right| < p^{-\delta} |I| |J| \quad (4.1)$$

for χ a nontrivial multiplicative character. This estimate is uniform in ω .

Proof.

Denote $I_0 = [1, p^{\frac{1}{4}}]$ and $K = [1, p^\kappa]$, where κ is the reciprocal of a positive integer and

$$\rho > \frac{1}{4} + 2\kappa. \quad (4.2)$$

We translate $I + \omega J$ by $KK(I_0 + \omega I_0)$ and estimate (following the procedure sketched in §1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|K|^2 |I_0|^2} \sum_{\substack{x_1, y_1 \in I_0 \\ s \in K \\ x \in I, y \in J}} \left| \sum_{t \in K} \chi(x + \omega y + st(x_1 + \omega y_1)) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|K|^2 |I_0|^2} \sum_{\substack{x \in I, y \in J \\ x_1, y_1 \in I_0 \\ s \in K}} \left| \sum_{t \in K} \chi\left(t + \frac{x + \omega y}{s(x_1 + \omega y_1)}\right) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

With the notations from §1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\leq (|I_0|^2 |K| |I| |J|)^{1 - \frac{1}{k}} E(K, I_0 + \omega I_0, I + \omega J)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \\ &\leq \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot (|I_0|^2 |K| |I| |J|)^{1 - \frac{1}{k}} \left(\frac{|K|^{\frac{3}{2}} |I_0|^4 |I|^2 |J|^2}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \\ &= \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |I_0|^2 |I| |J| |K|^{1 - \frac{1}{4k}} p^{-\frac{1}{2k}}, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4, and

$$\beta \lesssim |K|^{\frac{1}{2}} p^{\frac{1}{k}} + |K| p^{\frac{1}{2k}}.$$

Hence, taking $\kappa = \frac{1}{k}$, (4.3) is bounded by $|I| |J| p^{-\frac{1}{4k^2}}$ and the theorem is proved with any $\delta < \frac{1}{4k^2}$ (taking p large enough). \square

Remark 5.1. In [DL], the result (4.1) was obtained under the assumption that $\rho > \frac{1}{3}$. In general, it was shown in [DL] that if $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d$ is a basis in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} then

$$\left| \sum_{x_i \in I_i} \chi(x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + x_d \omega_d) \right| < p^{-\delta} |I_1| \cdots |I_d|, \quad (4.6)$$

provided I_1, \dots, I_d are intervals in \mathbb{F}_p of size at least p^ρ with

$$\rho > \frac{d}{2(d+1)}. \quad (4.7)$$

For $d \geq 5$, there is a better (uniform) result in [C2], namely

$$\rho > \frac{2}{5} + \varepsilon. \quad (4.8)$$

As a consequence of Theorem 5, we have

Corollary 6. *Assume $-k \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is not a quadratic residue. Then*

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{x \in I \\ y \in J}} \chi(x^2 + ky^2) \right| < p^{-\delta} |I| |J| \quad (4.9)$$

for χ nontrivial and I, J intervals of size at least $p^{\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon}$. Here $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ is uniform in k .

Proof.

Let $\omega = \sqrt{-k}$. Since $x^2 + ky^2$ is irreducible modulo p , $\chi(x^2 + ky^2)$ is a character (mod p) of $x + \omega y$ in the quadratic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$. \square

§5. Extension to \mathbb{F}_{p^d}

There is the following generalization of Lemma 1.

Lemma 7. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ be a generator over \mathbb{F}_p . Given $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ and let*

$$Q = \left\{ x_0 + x_1\omega + \dots + x_{d-1}\omega^{d-1} : x_i \in [1, p^\sigma] \right\}$$

$$Q_1 = \left\{ y_0 + y_1\omega : y_i \in [1, p^{\frac{1}{2} - \sigma}] \right\}.$$

Then

$$\max_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}} |\{(z, z_1) \in Q \times Q_1 : \xi = zz_1\}| < \exp\left(c_d \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right). \quad (5.1)$$

Proof.

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 1. It uses the fact that if

$$(x_0 + x_1\omega + \dots + x_{d-1}\omega^{d-1})(y_0 + y_1\omega) = \xi = (x'_0 + \dots + x'_{d-1}\omega^{d-1})(y'_0 + y'_1\omega)$$

then the polynomial

$$(x_0 + x_1X + \dots + x_{d-1}X^{d-1})(y_0 + y_1X) - (x'_0 + x'_1X + \dots + x'_{d-1}X^{d-1})(y'_0 + y'_1X)$$

is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, or vanishes. \square

Hence the analogues of Lemmas 2, 2' hold. Thus

Lemma 8. *Let Q, Q_1 be as in Lemma 7 and let $z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$. Then*

$$E(z + Q, Q_1) < \exp\left(c_d \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |Q| |Q_1| + |Q_1|^2. \quad (5.2)$$

We need the analogue of Lemma 3, but in a slightly more general setting.

Lemma 9. *Let Q, Q_1 be as in Lemma 7 with $|Q_1| \leq |Q|$ and let $I_s = [1, p^{\frac{1}{k_s}}]$ for $s = 1, \dots, r$, with $k_s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\frac{1}{k_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{k_r} < 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} E(I_1, \dots, I_r, z + Q, Q_1) &< \exp\left(c_d \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) p^{1+(d-2)\sigma+2(1-\sigma)\sum_{s=1}^r \frac{1}{k_s}} \\ &= \exp\left(c_d \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |Q| |Q_1| \prod_s |I_s|^{2(1-\sigma)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Proof.

The left of (5.3) equals

$$\frac{1}{p^d} \sum_{\chi} \prod_{s=1}^r \left| \sum_{t \in I_s} \chi(t) \right|^2 \left| \sum_{\xi \in Q} \chi(z + \xi) \right|^2 \left| \sum_{\xi \in Q_1} \chi(\xi) \right|^2$$

which we estimate by Hölder's inequality as

$$\underbrace{\prod_{s=1}^r \left\{ \frac{1}{p^d} \sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{t \in I_s} \chi \right|^{2k_s} \left| \sum_{\xi \in Q} \chi \right|^2 \left| \sum_{\xi \in Q_1} \chi \right|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{k_s}}}_{A_s^{\frac{1}{k_s}}} \underbrace{\left\{ \frac{1}{p^d} \sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{\xi \in Q} \chi \right|^2 \left| \sum_{\xi \in Q_1} \chi \right|^2 \right\}^{1-\sum \frac{1}{k_s}}}_{B^{1-\sum \frac{1}{k_s}}}. \quad (5.4)$$

Here we denote $\sum_{t \in I_s} \chi = \sum_{t \in I_s} \chi(t)$, $\sum_{\xi \in Q} \chi = \sum_{\xi \in Q} \chi(z + \xi)$ etc.

By Lemma 8

$$B = E(z + Q, Q_1) < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) |Q| |Q_1|. \quad (5.5)$$

It is clear from the definition of multiplicative energy that

$$\begin{aligned} A_s &\leq |Q_1|^2 E(\underbrace{I_s, \dots, I_s}_{k_s}, z + Q) \\ &\leq |Q_1|^2 \exp\left(c_{k_s} \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot E(\mathbb{F}_p, z + Q). \end{aligned}$$

To bound $E(\mathbb{F}_p, z + Q)$, we write $z = a_0 + a_1\omega + \cdots + a_{d-1}\omega^{d-1}$. Hence

$$E(\mathbb{F}_p, z + Q) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \Theta_i, \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$\Theta_0 = \left| \left\{ (t, t', x_0, \dots, x_{d-1}, x'_0, \dots, x'_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 \times [1, p^\sigma]^{2(d-1)} : \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. t \left(1 + \frac{x_1 + a_1}{x_0 + a_0} \omega + \cdots + \frac{x_{d-1} + a_{d-1}}{x_0 + a_0} \omega^{d-1} \right) \right. \right. \quad (5.7)$$

$$\left. \left. = t' \left(1 + \frac{x'_1 + a_1}{x'_0 + a_0} \omega + \cdots + \frac{x'_{d-1} + a_{d-1}}{x'_0 + a_0} \omega^{d-1} \right) \right\} \right| \quad (5.8)$$

and the other Θ_i 's are denoted similarly.

Equating the coefficients of (5.7) and (5.8), we have

$$t = t', \\ \frac{x_i + a_i}{x_0 + a_0} = \frac{x'_i + a_i}{x'_0 + a_0}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, d. \quad (5.9)$$

For $i = 1$, the number of solutions (x_0, x'_0, x_1, x'_1) in (5.9) is bounded by $E([1, p^\sigma] + a_0, [1, p^\sigma] + a_1)$, which is bounded by $p^{2\sigma} \log p$. The choices of t and x_2, \dots, x_{d-1} is bounded by $p p^{\sigma(d-2)}$. Therefore,

$$E(\mathbb{F}_p, z + Q) \leq dp^{1+\sigma d} \log p,$$

and

$$A_s \leq |Q_1|^2 \exp\left(c_{k_s} \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot p^{1+\sigma d}. \quad (5.10)$$

Note that $|Q| = p^{d\sigma}$ and $|Q_1| = p^{1-2\sigma}$. Putting (5.4), (5.5) and (5.10) together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E(I_1, \dots, I_r, z + Q, Q_1) \\ & \leq \exp\left(c_d \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |Q_1|^{2 \sum \frac{1}{k_s}} p^{(1+\sigma d) \sum \frac{1}{k_s}} (|Q| |Q_1|)^{1 - \sum \frac{1}{k_s}} \\ & = \exp\left(c_d \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |Q_1|^{1 + \sum \frac{1}{k_s}} |Q|^{1 - \sum \frac{1}{k_s}} p^{(1+\sigma d) \sum \frac{1}{k_s}} \\ & = \exp\left(c_d \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot p^{(1 + \sum \frac{1}{k_s})(1-2\sigma) + (1 - \sum \frac{1}{k_s})d\sigma + (1+\sigma d) \sum \frac{1}{k_s}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is (5.3). \square

We now estimate a character sum over \mathbb{F}_{p^d} .

Theorem 10. Let $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ be a generator over \mathbb{F}_p , and let J_0, \dots, J_{d-1} be intervals of size at least $p^{\rho_d + \varepsilon}$, where

$$\rho_d = \frac{\sqrt{d^2 + 2d - 7} + 3 - d}{8}. \quad (5.11)$$

Denote

$$Q = \left\{ x_0 + x_1\omega + \dots + x_{d-1}\omega^{d-1} : x_i \in J_i, \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, d-1 \right\}$$

Then

$$\sum_{q \in Q} \chi(q) < p^{-\delta} |J_0| \cdots |J_{d-1}|, \quad (5.12)$$

where $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ is independent of ω .

Proof. First we denote ρ_d by ρ . Note that, by (5.11)

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \rho \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (5.13)$$

Let

$$Q_0 = \left\{ y_0 + y_1\omega : y_i \in \left[1, c_d p^{\frac{1}{2} - \rho}\right] \right\}.$$

Let further $k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfy

$$2\rho - \frac{1}{2} - 2\varepsilon < \frac{1}{k_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{k_r} < 2\rho - \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \quad (5.14)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ will be taken sufficiently small and $r < r(\varepsilon)$.

Let

$$I = [1, p^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}], \quad \text{and} \quad I_s = [1, p^{\frac{1}{k_s}}]$$

for $s = 1, \dots, r$. We then translate Q by

$$I \cdot \prod_{s=1}^r I_s \cdot Q_0$$

and carry out Burgess' argument as outlined in Section 1.

The estimate of the left-hand side of (5.12) is

$$\sum_{q \in Q} \chi(q) \leq p^{-\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum \frac{1}{k_s} + 1 - 2\rho\right)} \alpha\beta, \quad (5.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &\leq \left(|Q| |Q_0| p^{\sum \frac{1}{k_s}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{k}} E(Q, Q_0, I_1, \dots, I_r)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \\ &\leq \left(|Q| |Q_0| p^{\sum \frac{1}{k_s}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{k}} \cdot \exp\left(c_d \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot \left(|Q| |Q_0| p^{2(1-\rho) \sum \frac{1}{k_s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2k}},\end{aligned}\quad (5.16)$$

$$\beta \leq k |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} p^{\frac{d}{2k}} + 2|I| p^{\frac{d}{4k}} < p^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{d}{2k}} + p^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{d}{4k}}, \quad (5.17)$$

and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ to be chosen.

Claim.

$$|Q| |Q_0| p^{2(1-\rho) \sum \frac{1}{k_s}} < |Q|^2 |Q_0|^2 p^{2 \sum \frac{1}{k_s} - \frac{d}{2} - \tau}, \quad \text{for some } \tau > 0. \quad (5.18)$$

Proof of Claim.

We will show

$$d\rho + (1 - 2\rho) + 2(1 - \rho) \sum \frac{1}{k_s} < 2d\rho + 2(1 - 2\rho) + 2 \sum \frac{1}{k_s} - \frac{d}{2}. \quad (5.19)$$

This is equivalent to

$$d\rho + (1 - 2\rho) + 2\rho \sum \frac{1}{k_s} - \frac{d}{2} > 0.$$

From (5.14), the choice of k_1, \dots, k_r , and taking ε small enough, it suffices to show that

$$d\rho + (1 - 2\rho) + 2\rho(2\rho - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{d}{2} > 0,$$

namely,

$$4\rho^2 + (d - 3)\rho - \frac{d - 2}{2} > 0,$$

which is our assumption (5.11). \square

Putting (5.15)-(5.18) together, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{q \in Q} \chi(q) \\ &\leq p^{-(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum \frac{1}{k_s} + 1 - 2\rho)} \left(|Q| |Q_0| p^{\sum \frac{1}{k_s}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{k}} \left(|Q|^2 |Q_0|^2 p^{2 \sum \frac{1}{k_s} - \frac{d}{2} - \tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \left(p^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{d}{2k}} + p^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{d}{4k}}\right) \\ &= |Q| \left(p^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{1}{2k}(\frac{d}{2} - \tau)} + p^{-\frac{\tau}{2k}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 10 is proved, if we chose $k > d/\varepsilon$. \square

Remark 10.1. Returning to Remark 1.1, (see (4.7)), we note that

$$\rho_d < \frac{d}{2(d+1)}$$

with $\rho_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, $\rho_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}$, $\rho_4 = \frac{\sqrt{17}-1}{8}$, and $\rho_5 = \frac{\sqrt{7}-1}{4}$.

§6. Character Sums of Binary Quadratic Forms.

Following a similar approach, we show the following:

Theorem 11. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that the following holds. Let p be a large prime and $f(x, y) = x^2 + axy + by^2$ which is not a perfect square (mod p). Let $I, J \subset [1, p-1]$ be intervals of size*

$$|I|, |J| > p^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}. \quad (6.1)$$

Then

$$\left| \sum_{x \in I, y \in J} \chi(f(x, y)) \right| < p^{-\delta} |I| |J| \quad (6.2)$$

for χ a nontrivial multiplicative character (mod p). This estimate is uniform in f .

Result was shown by Burgess assuming $|I|, |J| > p^{\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon}$ instead of (6.1).

Proof.

There are two cases.

Case 1. f is irreducible (mod p). Then $\chi(f(x, y))$ is a character (mod p) of $x + \omega y$, with $\omega = \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{a^2 - 4b}$, in the quadratic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ and the result then follows from Corollary 6 above.

Case 2. $f(x, y)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{F}_p[x, y]$.

$$f(x, y) = (x - \lambda_1 y)(x - \lambda_2 y) \quad \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \pmod{p}.$$

We will estimate

$$\sum_{x \in I, y \in J} \chi((x - \lambda_1 y)(x - \lambda_2 y)).$$

The basis strategy is as in the \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -case (cf. Theorem 5), but replacing \mathbb{F}_{p^2} by $\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$ (with coordinate-wise multiplication).

Let $I_0 = [1, \frac{1}{10}p^{\frac{1}{4}}]$ and $K = [1, p^\kappa]$, where $\kappa = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$.

We translate (x, y) by (stx_1, sty_1) with $x_1, y_1 \in I_0$ and $s, t \in K$ and estimate

$$\frac{1}{|K|^2|I_0|^2} \sum_{\substack{x \in I, y \in J \\ x_1, y_1 \in I_0 \\ s \in K}} \left| \sum_{t \in K} \chi \left(\left(t + \frac{x - \lambda_1 y}{s(x_1 - \lambda_1 y_1)} \right) \left(t + \frac{x - \lambda_2 y}{s(x_1 - \lambda_2 y_1)} \right) \right) \right|. \quad (6.3)$$

For $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$, denote

$$\omega(z_1, z_2) = \left| \left\{ (x, y, x_1, y_1, s) \in I \times J \times I_0 \times I_0 \times K : \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. z_1 = \frac{x - \lambda_1 y}{s(x_1 - \lambda_1 y_1)}, z_2 = \frac{x - \lambda_2 y}{s(x_1 - \lambda_2 y_1)} \right\} \right|.$$

Hence

$$(6.3) = \frac{1}{|K|^2|I_0|^2} \sum_{\substack{z_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p \\ z_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p}} \omega(z_1, z_2) \left| \sum_{t \in K} \chi((t + z_1)(t + z_2)) \right|, \quad (6.4)$$

which we estimate the usual way using Holder's inequality and Weil's theorem. The required property is a bound

$$\sum_{z_1, z_2} \omega(z_1, z_2)^2 < |I|^2 |J|^2 |K|^2 p^{-\tau} \quad (6.5)$$

for some $\tau > 0$ (cf. (4.4)).

We may assume $|I|, |J| < p$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \{(x - \lambda_1 y, x - \lambda_2 y) : x \in I, y \in J\} \\ T &= \{(x_1 - \lambda_1 y_1, x_1 - \lambda_2 y_1) : x_1, y_1 \in I_0\} \\ S &= \{(s, s) : s \in K\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

considered as subsets of $\mathbb{F}_p^* \times \mathbb{F}_p^*$.

Hence (6.5) is equivalent to

$$E(R, T, S) < p^{-\tau} |I|^2 |J|^2 |K|^2. \quad (6.7)$$

To establish (6.7), we prove the analogues of Lemmas 1-4.

We first estimate $E(R, T)$.

Lemma 12. *Let R and T be defined as in (6.6). Then*

$$E(R, T) < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |R|^2. \quad (6.8)$$

Writing R as a union of translates of T

$$R = \bigcup_{\alpha \lesssim \frac{|R|}{|T|}} (T + \xi_\alpha)$$

we have

$$E(R, T) \leq \frac{|R|^2}{|T|^2} \max_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p} E(T + \xi, T).$$

Thus it will suffice to show that

$$\max_{\zeta, \xi \in \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p} E(T + \zeta, T + \xi) < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) |T|^2. \quad (6.9)$$

Using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2', it suffices to prove (6.9) for $\zeta = \xi = 0$.

Lemma 13. *Let T be defined as in (6.6). Then*

$$E(T, T) < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) |T|^2. \quad (6.10)$$

There is a stronger statement which is the analogue of Lemma 1.

Lemma 14. *Let T be defined as in (6.6). Then*

$$\max_{\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \times \mathbb{F}_p^*} |\{(z_1, z_2) \in T \times T : \rho = z_1 z_2\}| < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right). \quad (6.11)$$

Proof. Writing $z_1 = (x_1 - \lambda_1 y_1, x_1 - \lambda_2 y_1)$, $z_2 = (x_2 - \lambda_1 y_2, x_2 - \lambda_2 y_2)$ with $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in I_0$, we want to estimate the number of solutions in $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in I_0$ of

$$\begin{cases} (x_1 - \lambda_1 y_1)(x_2 - \lambda_1 y_2) = \rho_1 \pmod{p} \\ (x_1 - \lambda_2 y_1)(x_2 - \lambda_2 y_2) = \rho_2 \pmod{p} \end{cases} \quad (6.12)$$

Let \mathcal{F} be the set of quadruples $(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in I_0^4$ such that (6.12) holds. If $(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2), (x'_1, x'_2, y'_1, y'_2) \in \mathcal{F}$, then λ_1, λ_2 are the (distinct) roots (mod p) of the polynomial

$$(y_1 y_1 - y'_1 y'_2)X^2 + (x'_1 y'_2 + y'_1 x'_2 - x_1 y_2 - y_1 x_2)X + (x_1 x_2 - x'_1 x'_2) = 0 \quad (6.13)$$

By the definition of I_0 , the coefficients in (6.13) are integers bounded by $\frac{1}{25}p^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since all non-vanishing polynomials (6.13) are proportional in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, they are also proportional in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$. Hence they have common roots $\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2$ and there are conjugate $\tilde{\rho}_1, \tilde{\rho}_2 \in \mathbb{Q}(\tilde{\lambda}_1)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (x_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 y_1)(x_2 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 y_2) = \tilde{\rho}_1 \\ (x_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2 y_1)(x_2 - \tilde{\lambda}_2 y_2) = \tilde{\rho}_2 \end{cases} \quad (6.14)$$

for all $(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in \mathcal{F}$.

As in Lemma 1, we use a divisor estimate in the integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{\lambda}_1)$ to show that there are at most $\exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right)$ solutions of (6.14) in $x_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 y_1, x_2 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 y_2, x_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2 y_1, x_2 - \tilde{\lambda}_2 y_2$. Since $\tilde{\lambda}_1 \neq \tilde{\lambda}_2$, these four elements of $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{\lambda}_1)$ determine x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 . Therefore, $|\mathcal{F}| < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right)$. This proves Lemma 14. \square

Returning to (6.7), we proceed as in Lemma 3. Let $\kappa = \frac{1}{k}$ in the definition of K . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & E(R, T, S) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\chi = \chi_1 \chi_2} \left| \sum_{z \in S} \chi(z) \right|^2 \left| \sum_{z_1 \in R} \chi(z_1) \right|^2 \left| \sum_{z_2 \in T} \chi(z_2) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \underbrace{\left[\frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{z \in S} \chi(z) \right|^{2k} \left| \sum_R \cdots \right|^2 \left| \sum_T \cdots \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{k}}}_{(6.15)^{\frac{1}{k}}} \underbrace{\left[\frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_R \cdots \right|^2 \left| \sum_T \cdots \right|^2 \right]^{1 - \frac{1}{k}}}_{E(R, T)^{1 - \frac{1}{k}}} \\ &< (6.15)^{\frac{1}{k}} \cdot \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |R|^{2(1 - \frac{1}{k})} \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

(the last inequality is by Lemma 12), where

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.15) &= \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{z \in S} \chi(z) \right|^{2k} \left| \sum_{z_1 \in R} \chi(z_1) \right|^2 \left| \sum_{z_2 \in T} \chi(z_2) \right|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{|T|^2}{p^2} \sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{z \in S} \chi(z) \right|^{2k} \left| \sum_{z_1 \in R} \chi(z_1) \right|^2 \\
&< \exp \left(c_k \frac{\log p}{\log \log p} \right) \cdot \frac{|T|^2}{p^2} \sum_{\chi_1 \chi_2} \left| \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi_1(t) \chi_2(t) \right|^2 \left| \sum_{\substack{x \in I \\ y \in J}} \chi_1(x - \lambda_1 y) \chi_2(x - \lambda_2 y) \right|^2 \\
&= \exp \left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p} \right) \cdot |T|^2 E(R, \Delta), \tag{6.17}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = \{(t, t) : t \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$. The multiplicative energy $E(R, \Delta)$ in (6.17) equals the number of solutions in $(x, x', y, y', t, t') \in I^2 \times J^2 \times (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^2$ of

$$\begin{cases} t(x - \lambda_1 y) \equiv t'(x' - \lambda_1 y') \pmod{p} \\ t(x - \lambda_2 y) \equiv t'(x' - \lambda_2 y') \pmod{p} \end{cases} \tag{6.18}$$

(with the restriction that all factors are nonvanishing).

Rewriting (6.18) as

$$tx - t'x' \equiv \lambda_1(ty - t'y') \equiv \lambda_2(ty - t'y') \pmod{p}$$

and since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \pmod{p}$

$$\begin{aligned} tx &\equiv t'x' \pmod{p} \\ ty &= t'y' \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$xy' \equiv x'y \pmod{p} \tag{6.19}$$

and the number of solutions of (6.19) is bounded by

$$E(I, J) \lesssim (\log p) \cdot |I| |J| \tag{6.20}$$

(since $|I|, |J| < p$).

Once x, x', y, y' is specified, the number of solutions of (6.18) in (t, t') is at most $p - 1$.

Hence (6.18) has at most

$$p(\log p) \cdot |I| |J|$$

solutions and substitution in (6.17) gives the estimate

$$(6.15) < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot p |R| |T|^2. \quad (6.21)$$

Substituting of (6.21) in (6.16) gives

$$E(R, TS) < \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot p^{\frac{1}{k}} |R|^{2-\frac{1}{k}} |S|^{\frac{2}{k}}. \quad (6.22)$$

Recalling the definition of S , we have $|S| = |I_0|^2 = p^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Also $\kappa = \frac{1}{k}$, and $|K| = p^{\frac{1}{k}}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (6.22) &= \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot p^{\frac{2}{k}} (|I| |J|)^{2-\frac{1}{k}} \\ &= \exp\left(c \frac{\log p}{\log \log p}\right) \cdot |K|^2 (|I| |J|)^{2-\kappa} \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

and (6.7) certainly holds.

This proves Theorem 11. \square

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referees for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [B1]. D.A. Burgess, *On character sums and primitive roots*, Proc. London Math. Soc (3) 12 (1962), 179-192.
- [B2]. ———, *Character sums and primitive roots in finite fields*, Proc. London Math. Soc (3) 37 (1967), 11-35.
- [B3]. ———, *A note on character sums of binary quadratic forms*, JLMS, 43 (1968), 271-274.
- [C1]. M.-C. Chang, *Factorization in generalized arithmetic progressions and applications to the Erdos-Szemerédi sum-product problems*, Geom. Funct. Anal. Vol. 13 (2003), 720-736.
- [C2]. ———, *On a question of Davenport and Lewis and new character sum bounds in finite fields*, Duke Math. J..
- [DL]. H. Davenport, D. Lewis, *Character sums and primitive roots in finite fields*, Rend. Circ. Matem. Palermo-Serie II-Tomo XII-Anno (1963), 129-136.
- [FI]. J. Friedlander, H. Iwaniec, *Estimates for character sums*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 119, No 2, (1993), 265-372.
- [K]. A.A. Karacuba, *Estimates of character sums*, Math. USSR-Izvestija Vol. 4 (1970), No. 1, 19-29.
- [TV]. T. Tao, V. Vu, *Additive Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, 2006.