

# SOME CONSEQUENCES OF THE POLYNOMIAL FREIMAN-RUZSA CONJECTURE

MEI-CHU CHANG

**Summary.** Assuming the weak polynomial Freiman-Ruzsa conjecture, we derive some consequences on sum-product and the growth of subset of  $SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Résumé.** En Supposant la conjecture polynomiale faible de Freiman-Ruzsa, on en déduit certaines conséquences sur les ensembles sommes-produits ainsi que sur la croissance de sous-ensembles de  $SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ .

## Version française abrégée

Soit  $A$  un sous-ensemble fini d'un espace vectoriel  $V$  et désignons  $A + A = \{x + y : x, y \in A\}$  l'ensemble somme (de même,  $nA = (n - 1) + A$ ). Un lemme due a Freiman affirme que si  $|A + A| < K|A|$  et  $|A| > cK^2$ , l'espace  $\langle A \rangle$  engendré par  $A$  est de dimension inférieure à  $K$ .

La conjecture polynomiale faible de Freiman-Ruzsa (WPFRC) est l'énoncé suivant: Si  $A$  satisfait  $|A + A| < K|A|$ , il existe un sous-ensemble  $A_1$  de  $A$  telle que  $|A_1| > K^{-c}|A|$  et  $A_1 \subset \mathbb{Z}\xi_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\xi_d, \xi_i \in V$  et  $d < c \log K$  où  $c$  est une constante absolue.

Notons que WPFRC est une conséquence de la conjecture polynomiale de Freiman-Ruzsa (voir [TV] pour la formulation de celle-ci). Dans sette note, nous précisons quelques conséquence de la WPFRC et un théorème profond de Evertse-Schlickewei-Schmidt [ESS] sur des relations linéaires dans un sous-groupe de  $\mathbb{C}^*$  de rang borné.

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\text{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

**Théorème 1.** *Supposons WPFRC. Etant donné  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  et  $\varepsilon > 0$ , il existe  $\delta > 0$  telle que si  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^*$  est un ensemble fini et*

$$|AA| < |A|^{1+\delta}$$

(en supposant  $|A|$  suffisamment grand), on a

$$|nA| > |A|^{n(1-\varepsilon)}.$$

On a également la propriété suivante pour la croissance d'ensembles finis dans un groupe linéaire.

**Théorème 2.** *Supposons WPFRC. Si  $A \subset SL_3(\mathbb{C})$  satisfait*

$$|AA| < K|A|$$

( $|A|$  fini et suffisamment grand), il existe un sous-ensemble  $A'$  de  $A$  telle que

$$|A'| > K^{-c}|A|$$

et  $A'$  contenu dans un coset d'un sous-groupe nilpotent ( $c$  une constante absolue).

D'autre part nous mentionnons certains résultats plus faibles et ne dépendent pas de cette conjecture.

## Notations.

The  $n$ -fold sum set and the  $n$ -fold product set of  $A$  are

$$nA = A + \cdots + A = \{a_1 + \cdots + a_n : a_1, \dots, a_n \in A\}$$

and

$$A^n = A \cdots A = \{a_1 \cdots a_n : a_i \in A\}$$

respectively. The inverse set  $A^{-1}$  can be defined similarly. Let further

$$A^{[n]} = (\{1\} \cup A \cup A^{-1})^n.$$

The notation  $A^n$  is also used for the  $n$ -fold Cartesian product, when there is no ambiguity.

## §1. Freiman's theorem and related conjectures.

One way to formulate the Polynomial Freiman-Ruzsa Conjecture is as follows.

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and  $A \subset V$  a finite set satisfying

$$|A + A| < K|A|. \quad (1.1)$$

Then there exist a positive integer  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , a subset  $A_1 \subset A$ , a convex subset  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and a group homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow V$  such that

$$d < c \log K, \quad (1.2)$$

$$|A_1| > K^{-c}|A|, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\phi(B \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \supset A_1, \quad (1.4)$$

$$|B \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| < K^c|A|. \quad (1.5)$$

Here  $c$  is an absolute constant.

Recall that if  $A$  satisfies (1.1) and  $cK^2 < |A|$ , then  $A \subset \phi(B \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$  with  $d \leq K$  and  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  a box satisfying

$$|B| < \exp(cK^2 \log^3 K)|A|. \quad (1.6)$$

(Quantitative version of Freiman's theorem from [C1].)

More relevant in this note is the much simpler Freiman Lemma, stating that if (1.1) holds and  $|A| > cK^2/\varepsilon$ , then  $A \subset \phi(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  with  $d \leq [K - 1 + \varepsilon]$

The Polynomial Freiman-Ruzsa Conjecture implies in particular the following weaker conjecture, which is all we will use.

**Weak Polynomial Freiman-Ruzsa Conjecture (WPFRC).** *If  $A \subset V$  satisfies  $|A + A| < K|A|$ , then there exist a subset  $A_1 \subset A$  with  $|A_1| > K^{-c}|A|$ , and elements  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d \in V$  with  $d < c \log K$ , so that*

$$A_1 \subset \mathbb{Z}\xi_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\xi_d, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $c$  is an absolute constant

Note that if  $A \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  is finite satisfying

$$|AA| < K|A| \quad (1.8)$$

and considering the set  $\log A \subset \mathbb{R} =: V$ , one would derive that there are elements  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d \in \mathbb{R}^*$  with  $d < c \log K$  such that

$$|A \cap G| > K^{-c}|A|, \quad (1.9)$$

where  $G < \mathbb{R}^*$  denotes the multiplicative group generated by  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d$ .

The analogous statement would hold equally well for a finite subset  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^*$  satisfying (1.8).

## §2. Sets with small product sets.

We recall the deep theorem of Evertse-Schlickewei-Schmidt ([ESS], Theorem 1.1) on linear equations in multiplicative groups.

**Theorem ESS.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of the multiplicative group  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  of rank  $r$  and let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Then the equation*

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = 1 \quad \text{with } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Gamma \quad (2.1)$$

has at most

$$\exp((6n)^{3n}(r+1)) \quad (2.2)$$

non-degenerate solutions, meaning that no proper subsum of  $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$  vanishes.

The precise bound (2.2) is very important for our purpose.

Let  $G < \mathbb{C}^*$  be a group generated by  $d$  elements  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d$  with  $d < c \log K$ , and let  $\Gamma = G^n$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is generated by the elements  $(1, \dots, \eta_i, \dots, 1)$ , we have  $r := \text{rank } \Gamma \leq nd$ . Therefore, given  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , the equation

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = 1 \quad \text{with } x_1, \dots, x_n \in G \quad (2.3)$$

has at most

$$\exp((6n)^{3n}(nd+1)) < \exp(cn(6n)^{3n} \log K) = K^{C(n)} \quad (2.4)$$

non-degenerate solutions, where  $C(n)$  is a constant depending on  $n$ .

For  $S_1, \dots, S_n \subset \mathbb{C}$ , we denote the additive energy of  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  by

$$E(S_1, \dots, S_n) = |\{(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n) \in S_1^2 \times \dots \times S_n^2 : x_1 + \dots + x_n = y_1 + \dots + y_n\}|$$

Recall the following lower bound on the size of the sum-set  $S_1 + \dots + S_n$ .

$$|S_1 + \dots + S_n| \geq \frac{|S_1|^2 \dots |S_n|^2}{E(S_1, \dots, S_n)}. \quad (2.5)$$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $G < \mathbb{C}^*$  be a group generated by  $d$  elements with  $d < c \log K$  and let  $A_1 \subset G$  be finite. Then*

$$E(\underbrace{A_1, \dots, A_1}_n) \leq K^{C(n)} |A_1|^{n-1} + \frac{(2n)!}{n!} |A_1|^n, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $C(n)$  is a constant depending on  $n$ .

*Proof.* Consider the equation

$$x_1 + \dots + x_n - x_{n+1} - \dots - x_{2n} = 0, \quad x_i \in A_1. \quad (2.7)$$

We decompose (2.7) in minimal vanishing subsums. Each decomposition corresponds to a partition

$$\{1, \dots, 2n\} = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{\beta} E_{\alpha}. \quad (2.8)$$

Since  $|E_{\alpha}| \geq 2$ , we have  $\beta \leq n$ . The case  $\beta = n$  clearly contributes to the last term in (2.6). If  $|E_{\alpha}| \geq 3$ , we rewrite the equation

$$\sum_{i \in E_{\alpha}} \pm x_i = 0 \quad (2.9)$$

as

$$\sum_{i \in E_{\alpha} \setminus \{r_1\}} \pm \frac{x_i}{x_{r_1}} = 1. \quad (2.10)$$

(Specify some element  $r_1 \in E_{\alpha}$ .) Since no subsum of (2.9), (2.10) is assumed to vanish, the estimate (2.4) in Theorem ESS applies for the number of non-degenerate solutions of

$$\sum_{i \in E_{\alpha} \setminus \{r_1\}} \pm \frac{z_i}{z_{r_1}} = 1 \quad \text{with } z_i \in G. \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore (2.9) has at most

$$K^{C(|E_{\alpha}|)} |A_1| \quad (2.12)$$

non-degenerate solutions. It follows that the number of solutions of (2.7) corresponding to the partition (2.8) is bounded by

$$|A_1|^{\beta} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\beta} K^{C(|E_{\alpha}|)}, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\beta \leq n - 1$ . Summing over all possible partitions, we prove (2.6).  $\square$

The next corollary is conditional to the Weak Polynomial Freiman-Ruzsa Conjecture.

**Corollary 2.** *Assume WPFRC. Given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^*$  is finite with  $|A|$  large and*

$$|AA| < |A|^{1+\delta}, \quad (2.14)$$

*then the  $n$ -fold sumset  $nA$  satisfies*

$$|nA| > |A|^{n(1-\varepsilon)}. \quad (2.15)$$

*Proof.* Take  $K = |A|^\delta$  in (1.8). WPFRC, Corollary 1 (letting  $A_1 = A \cap G$  in (1.9)), and (2.5) imply

$$\begin{aligned} |nA| &\geq |nA_1| \geq \frac{|A_1|^{2n}}{K^{C(n)}|A_1|^{n-1} + \frac{(2n)!}{n!}|A_1|^n} \\ &> \min\left(\frac{n!}{(2n)!}|A_1|^n, K^{-C(n)}|A_1|^{n+1}\right) \\ &> \min\left(\frac{n!}{(2n)!}K^{-c_1n}|A|^n, K^{-C(n)}|A|^{n+1}\right). \quad \square \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Note that one has the following stronger conclusion.

**Corollary 3.** *Assume WPFRC. Given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^*$  is a sufficiently large finite set satisfying (2.14) and  $B \subset A$  is any subset such that*

$$|B| > |A|^\varepsilon, \quad (2.17)$$

*then*

$$|nB| > |B|^{n(1-\varepsilon)}. \quad (2.18)$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of Corollary 2, we start from  $A_1 = A \cap G$  satisfying (1.9). Let  $z_1, \dots, z_s$  be a maximal subset of  $A$  such that  $z_i A_1 \cap z_j A_1 = \emptyset$  for any  $i \neq j$ . Hence

$$s \leq \frac{|AA_1|}{|A_1|} \leq K^c \frac{|AA|}{|A|} < K^{c+1} \quad (2.19)$$

and by construction, if  $z \in A$ , then  $zA_1 \cap z_i A_1 \neq \emptyset$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Therefore,

$$A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^s z_i A_1 A_1^{-1} \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^s (B \cap z_i A_1 A_1^{-1}).$$

Hence there is  $1 \leq i \leq s$  such that

$$|B_1 := B \cap z_i A_1 A_1^{-1}| \geq \frac{|B|}{s}. \quad (2.21)$$

Note that since  $A_1 A_1^{-1} \subset G$ , Corollary 1 remains valid for  $z_i^{-1} B_1 \subset A_1 A_1^{-1}$ . In (2.16)  $A, A_1$  are replaced by  $B, B_1$ . (Note also that  $|z_i^{-1} B_1| = |B_1|$ , etc.)  $\square$

There are various weaker forms of Corollary 2 and Corollary 3 that hold unconditionally. The following is a version of Corollary 2.

**Proposition 4.** *Given  $m > 1$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that if  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^*$  is a sufficiently large finite set satisfying*

$$|AA| < |A|^{1+\delta}, \quad (2.22)$$

then

$$|nA| > |A|^m. \quad (2.23)$$

Using the terminology in [TV], a set  $A$  satisfying (2.22) is called an *approximate multiplicative group*. It was shown in [B] (See also [TV], Theorem 2.60.) that given  $H \neq \emptyset$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with  $|HH| \leq K|H|$ , and  $m > 1, \varepsilon > 0$ , there is an integer  $n = n(m, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that

$$|nH| > c(m, \varepsilon) K^{-C(m, \varepsilon)} \min(|H|^m, p^{1-\varepsilon}). \quad (2.24)$$

For  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ , the same argument allows to show that

$$|nA| > c(m, \varepsilon) K^{-C(m, \varepsilon)} |A|^m \quad (2.25)$$

and hence the proposition holds.

Regarding Corollary 3, there is the result from [BC1] for finite subsets  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and generalized in [BC2] for sets  $A$  of algebraic numbers of bounded degree.

**Proposition 5.** *Given  $d, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that the following holds. Let  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^*$  be a sufficiently large finite set of algebraic numbers of degree at most  $d$ . Assume*

$$|AA| < |A|^{1+\delta}. \quad (2.26)$$

*Then, for any nonempty subset  $B \subset A$ ,*

$$|nB| > |A|^{-\varepsilon}|B|^n. \quad (2.27)$$

Note that in Proposition we do not require all elements of  $A$  to be contained in the same extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of bounded degree. This bounded degree hypothesis is removed because of WPFRC.

### §3. Finite subsets of linear groups.

We recall the following theorem from [C2], [C3].

*For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $A \subset SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$  is a finite set, then one of the following alternatives holds.*

- (i)  *$A$  intersects a coset of a nilpotent subgroup in a set of size at least  $|A|^{1-\varepsilon}$ .*
- (ii)  $|A^2| > |A|^{1+\delta}$ .

The proof makes essential use of Theorem ESS, applied with  $\Gamma$  the unit group of the extension of a cubic polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This is the only significant place where a generalization to subset  $A \subset SL_3(\mathbb{C})$  is problematic. Here we will discuss in some greater detail how the WPFRC allows us to recover the theorem in its full strength for subsets  $A \subset SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Assume WPFRC. Given a finite subset  $A \subset SL_3(\mathbb{C})$  satisfying*

$$|AA| < K|A|, \quad (4.1)$$

*then there is a subset  $A' \subset A$  such that*

$$|A'| > K^{-c}|A| \quad (4.2)$$

*and  $A'$  is contained in a coset of a nilpotent group.*

*Proof.* An initial key step in [C2] (borrowed from Helfgott's work [H]) is to construct a set  $D \subset A^{-1}A$  of commuting elements, where

$$|D| > K^{-C}|A|^\theta \quad (4.3)$$



with  $C, \theta$  absolute constants. This step is completely general and applies equally well to subsets  $A \subset SL_d(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\theta = \theta(d)$ . Change of bases permits simultaneous diagonalization of the elements of  $D$ . They form the key ingredient in the amplification.

Going back to (4.1), one applies first Tao's non-commutative version of the Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers Lemma (see [TV]) and replaces  $A$  by a subset  $A_1 \subset A$  satisfying that

$$|A_1| > K^{-c}|A| \tag{4.4}$$

and  $A_1$  is an approximate group, i.e. there is a subset  $X \subset SL_3(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$|X| < K^c \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 A_1 \subset X A_1 \cap A_1 X, \tag{4.5}$$

where  $c$  is an absolute constant.

Identifying  $A$  and  $A_1$  and using (4.5), one can control the size of all product sets

$$|A^{[s]}| < K^{cs}|A| \tag{4.6}$$

for given  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ .

Let  $D \subset A^{-1}A \subset A^{[2]}$  be the diagonal set obtained above, satisfying (4.3). The next aim is to ensure that  $D$  has small multiplicative doubling.

Denote the set of diagonal matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$  by  $\mathcal{D}$  and let  $D_s = \mathcal{D} \cap A^{[s]}$  for  $s \geq 2$ . Hence  $D_s \supset D_2 \supset D$  satisfies (4.3). Consider a minimal subset  $B \subset A^{[2]}$  satisfying

$$A^{[2]} \subset B\mathcal{D}. \tag{4.7}$$

It follows that

$$g\mathcal{D} \cap g'\mathcal{D} = \emptyset, \quad \forall g \neq g' \in B \tag{4.8}$$

and also

$$A^{[2]} \subset B D_4. \tag{4.9}$$

Therefore,  $|A| \leq |A^{[2]}| \leq |B| |D_4|$ . Also,  $D_4 D_4 \subset D_8$  and by (4.8) and (4.6)

$$|D_8| |B| = |D_8 B| \leq |A^{[10]}| < K^{10c}|A|. \tag{4.9}$$

Consequently

$$|D_4 D_4| \leq |D_8| \leq K^{10c} \frac{|A|}{|B|} \leq K^{10c} |D_4|. \tag{4.10}$$

Replacing  $D$  by  $D_4$ , we obtain a subset of diagonal matrices in  $A^{[4]}$  satisfying (4.3) and

$$|DD| < K^c |D|. \tag{4.11}$$

To use Theorem ESS, we need a large subset of  $D$  whose entries lie in a subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}$  of small rank. Let  $D'$  be the projection of  $D$  on the  $(1, 1)$  entry

$$\pi : D \rightarrow D', \quad (g_{i,j}) \mapsto g_{1,1}.$$

By the lemma below, there are subsets  $E' \subset D'$  and  $E := \pi^{-1}(E') \subset D$  such that for some  $h$

$$|\pi^{-1}(x)| \sim h, \quad \forall x \in E'$$

and

$$|E| > \frac{1}{\log K} |D|. \quad (4.12)$$

We note that

$$|E| \sim h|E'| \quad \text{and} \quad |EE| > h|E'E'|.$$

Thus,  $|EE| < |DD| < K(\log |K|)|E|$  implies

$$|E'E'| < K(\log |K|)|E'|.$$

Next, we apply WPFRC to get a subset  $F' \subset E'$  such that  $|F'| > K^{-c}|E'|$  and  $F'$  is contained in a subgroup  $\Gamma_1 < \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{rank}(\Gamma_1) < c \log K$ . Let

$$F = \pi^{-1}F' \subset E.$$

Hence

$$|F| > \frac{1}{K^c} |E|.$$

Replace  $D$  by  $F$  and start over with the projection on the  $(2, 2)$  entry etc. Eventually one gets a subset  $F$  of  $D$  that is large and each  $(i, i)$ -projection sits in a group  $\Gamma_i$  of small rank. Therefore the multiplicative group  $\Gamma$  spanned  $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^3$  has rank bounded by  $c \log K$  and one can apply Theorem ESS on  $\Gamma$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $A \subset A' \times R$  be finite and let  $\pi : A \rightarrow A'$  be the projection to the first coordinate. Assume*

$$|2A| = |A + A| < K|A|. \quad (4.13)$$

*Then there exist  $C \subset A$  such that  $|C| > \frac{1}{2 \log K} |A|$  and for every  $x \in C$ ,  $|\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))| \sim h$  for some  $h$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $m = \max\{|\pi^{-1}(x)| : x \in A'\}$ . Then

$$|2A| \geq |A'| m \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$|3A| \geq |2A'| m. \tag{4.15}$$

Obviously

$$|A| \leq |A'| m. \tag{4.16}$$

Plünnecke's inequality and (4.13) imply

$$|3A| < K^3 |A|. \tag{4.17}$$

Hence (4.15), (4.17) and (4.16) imply

$$|2A'| < K^3 |A'|. \tag{4.18}$$

Also, since by (4.13) and (4.14),

$$|A| > |A'| \frac{m}{K},$$

there is clearly a subset  $B \subset A$  such that  $|B| > \frac{1}{2}|A|$  and  $\forall x \in B, |\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))| > \frac{m}{2K}$ . On the other hand,  $|\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))| \leq m$  is obvious. Hence, proceeding with  $B$  instead of  $A$ , there is a further subset  $C \subset B$  with fibers of comparable size and so that  $|C| > \frac{1}{\log K}|B|$ .  $\square$

### Remarks.

1. We expect that generalization of the theorem to subsets  $A \subset SL_d(\mathbb{Z})$ , with  $d$  arbitrary, is only a technical matter.
2. It may be possible to reach the conclusion of Theorem 6 unconditionally by following the approach in [H].
3. Statements of this type have been suggested by B. Green.

### REFERENCES

- [BC1]. J. Bourgain, M.-C. Chang, *On the size of  $k$ -fold sum and product sets of integers*, JAMS 17(2) (2004), 473-497.
- [BC2]. ———, *Sum-product theorems in algebraic number fields*, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique.
- [C1]. M.-C. Chang, *A polynomial bound in Freiman's Theorem*, Duke Math. J. 113, No 3, (2002), 399-419.

- [C2]. ———, *Product theorems in  $SL_2$  and  $SL_3$* , J. Math. Jussieu, 7(1), 1-25, (2008).
- [C3]. ———, *On Product sets in  $SL_2$  and  $SL_3$* , preprint.
- [ESS]. J.-H. Evertse, H. Schlickewei, W. Schmidt, *Linear equations in variables which lie in a multiplicative group*, Annals Math 155, (2002), 807-836.
- [H]. H. Helfgott, *Growth and generation in  $SL_3(\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}_p)$* , preprint (2008).
- [TV]. T. Tao, V. Vu, *Additive Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE CA 92521 USA

*E-mail address:* `mcc@math.ucr.edu`