# PARTIAL QUOTIENTS AND DISTRIBUTION OF SEQUENCES

<sup>1</sup> Mei-Chu Chang Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521 MCC@MATH.UCR.EDU

### Abstract.

In this paper we establish average bounds on the partial quotients of fractions b/p, with p prime and b from a multiplicative subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ . As a consequence, we obtain estimates for the partial quotients of b/p, for 'most' primitive elements b. Our result improves upon earlier work due to G. Larcher. The behavior of the partial quotients of b/p is well known to be crucial to the statistical properties of the pseudo-congruential number generator (modp). As a corollary, estimates on their pair correlation are refined.

#### §1. Introduction.

Let  $x \in [0, 1]$  be a real number with continued fraction [RS]

$$x = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \vdots}} = [a_1, a_2, \dots].$$

Denote  $\{a_i(x)\}_i$  the partial quotients  $\{a_1, a_2, \cdots\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$  of x.

It was proven by G. Larcher [L] that given a modulus N, there exists  $1 \le b < N$ , (b, N) = 1 such that

$$\sum_{i} a_i \left(\frac{b}{N}\right) < c \log N \, \log \log N. \tag{1.1}$$

Typeset by  $\mathcal{AMS}$ -TEX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>partially supported by NSF.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 11K, 11L, 11J, 11B.

Keywords: partial quotients of continued fractions, distribution of sequences modulo 1.

#### MEI-CHU CHANG

The question whether one can remove the  $\log \log N$  factor in (1.1) is still open and would follow from an affirmative answer to Zaremba's conjecture (see [Z1], p.69), stating that

$$\min_{(b,N)=1} \max_{i} a_i\left(\frac{b}{N}\right) < c, \tag{1.2}$$

where c is an absolute constant (independent of N). (See [Z2] and [C] for results related to the conjecture.)

The quantity  $\sum_{i} a_i(x)$  is important in the study of equidistributions.

For a sequence  $x_1, \ldots, x_N \in [0, 1]^d$ , we define the discrepancy

$$D(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sup_J \left| \frac{|\{x_1, \dots, x_N\} \cap J|}{N} - |J| \right|,$$
(1.3)

where sup is taken over all boxes  $J \subset [0, 1]^d$ .

For  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , let [r] be the greatest integer less than or equal to r. We denote the fractional part r - [r] of r by  $\{r\}$ .

Recall that the convergents  $\frac{p_i(x)}{q_i(x)}$  of a continued fraction  $x = [a_1, a_2, \dots]$  is  $\frac{p_i(x)}{q_i(x)} = \frac{p_i}{q_i} = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i]$ , and we have  $q_i = a_i q_{i-1} + q_{i-2}$ .

The following are classical results relating discrepancy of an arithmetic progression (with difference x) modulo 1 to the sum of partial quotients of x. (See [KN], p 126).

**Proposition A.** Let  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then the sequence kx, k = 1, ..., N satisfies

$$D(\{x\},\{2x\},\ldots,\{Nx\}) \le \frac{c}{N} \sum_{q_i(x) < N} a_i(x).$$
(1.4)

In particular, when  $x = \frac{b}{N}$  with (b, N) = 1, Proposition A implies

### Proposition A'.

$$D\left(\left\{\frac{b}{N}\right\}, \left\{\frac{2b}{N}\right\}, \dots, \left\{\frac{Mb}{N}\right\}\right) \le \frac{c}{M} \sum_{i} a_{i}\left(\frac{b}{N}\right)$$
(1.5)

for  $M \leq N$ .

Also, considering the sequence  $(\frac{k}{N}, \{\frac{kb}{N}\}), k = 1, ..., N$  in  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ , there is the following.

#### PARTIAL QUOTIENTS

**Proposition B.** 

$$D\left(\left(\frac{k}{N}, \left\{\frac{kb}{N}\right\}\right) : k = 1, \dots, N\right) \le \frac{c}{N} \sum_{i} a_i\left(\frac{b}{N}\right).$$
(1.6)

Hence, substituting (1.1) in (1.5) and (1.6), we obtain discrepancy bounds of the form  $D \leq \frac{c}{N} \log N \log \log N$  for these sequences.

Next, consider the discrepancy for the linear congruential generator modulo N, i.e. we take b primitive (mod N) and consider the sequence

$$\left\{\frac{b}{N}\right\}, \left\{\frac{b^2}{N}\right\}, \dots, \left\{\frac{b^{\tau}}{N}\right\},$$
(1.7)

where  $\tau = \varphi(N)$  is the order of  $b \pmod{N}$ .

When examining statistical properties of (1.7), the two quantities studied are

$$D\left(\left\{\frac{b}{N}\right\}, \left\{\frac{b^2}{N}\right\}, \dots, \left\{\frac{b^{\tau}}{N}\right\}\right)$$
 (equidistribution) (1.8)

and

$$D\left(\left(\left\{\frac{b}{N}\right\}, \left\{\frac{b^2}{N}\right\}\right), \dots, \left(\left\{\frac{b^{\tau-1}}{N}\right\}, \left\{\frac{b^{\tau}}{N}\right\}\right)\right) \qquad \text{(pair serial-test)}. \tag{1.9}$$

Larcher proved that if  $N = p^s$ , p prime, then there is b primitive (mod N), such that

$$(1.9) < \frac{c}{\varphi(\varphi(n))} \log N \, \log \log N, \tag{1.10}$$

where  $\varphi(n)$  is the Euler's totient function.

If N = p, his argument consists in observing that  $\tau(b) = p - 1$  for b primitive, and one has trivially that

$$D\left(\left(\left\{\frac{b^k}{p}\right\}, \left\{\frac{b^{k+1}}{p}\right\}\right); k = 1, \dots, p-2\right)$$
$$= D\left(\left(\left\{\frac{x}{p}\right\}, \left\{\frac{xb}{p}\right\}\right): x = 1, \dots, p-1\right) + O(1)\frac{1}{p}$$
(1.11)

and the case is reduced to Proposition B.

The method of proving Proposition B (that proceeds by averaging over b) implies that there is a *primitive*  $b \pmod{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{i} a_i \left(\frac{b}{N}\right) < c \frac{N}{\varphi(\varphi(N))} \log N \, \log \log N.$$
(1.12)

(Note that  $\varphi(\varphi(N))$  is the number of primitive elements (mod N).)

Our aim is to improve (1.12) (See Theorem 5), at least when p is prime, by removing the factors  $\frac{N}{\varphi(\varphi(N))}$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $G < \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , with  $|G| > p^{7/8+\varepsilon}$ . Then for  $M < (\log p)^c$  we have

$$\left|\left\{x \in G : \max_{i} a_{i}\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) > M\right\}\right| < c\frac{\log p}{M}|G|.$$

The next theorem is a direct consequence of Proposition 1.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $G < \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , with  $|G| > p^{7/8+\varepsilon}$ . Then most elements  $x \in G$  satisfy  $\max a_i(\frac{x}{p}) \leq \log p$ .

Note that even for  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , the bound  $c \log p$  is the best result known (towards Zaremba's conjecture). (See [Z2] and [C].)

**Theorem 3.** For most primitive elements (mod p), we have  $\max a_i(\frac{x}{p}) \lesssim \log p$ .

As for  $\sum_{i} a_i(\frac{x}{p})$  with  $x \in G$ , we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $G < \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , with  $|G| > p^{7/8+\varepsilon}$ . Then most elements  $x \in G$  satisfy

$$\sum_{i} a_i\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) \lesssim c \log p \ \log \log p.$$

**Theorem 5.** For most primitive elements  $x \pmod{p}$ , we have

$$\sum_{i} a_i \left(\frac{x}{p}\right) \lesssim c \log p \ \log \log p.$$

Together with Proposition A', Proposition B and (1.11), Theorem 5 implies

**Corollary 6.** Let p be a large prime. Then there exists x primitive mod p such that

$$D\left(\left\{k\frac{x}{p}\right\}: k = 1, \dots, M\right) \le \frac{c\log p \, \log\log p}{M}$$
$$D\left(\left(\left\{\frac{k}{p}\right\}, \left\{\frac{kx}{p}\right\}\right): k = 1, \dots, p\right) \le \frac{c\log p \, \log\log p}{p}$$
$$D\left(\left(\left\{\frac{x^k}{p}\right\}, \left\{\frac{x^{k+1}}{p}\right\}\right): k = 1, \dots, p-2\right) \le \frac{c\log p \, \log\log p}{p}.$$

#### $\S$ **2.** The proofs.

Let p be prime and let  $G < \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  be a multiplicative subgroup. Denote  $\psi \ge 0$  a smooth bump function,  $\psi = 1$  on  $[-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}]$  and supp  $\psi \subset [-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}]$ . We define  $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \psi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$  (as a function on  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

We then view  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  as a function on  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , given by

$$\psi_{\varepsilon}(t) = \sum_{j} \hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(j) e(jt)$$
(2.1)

and where in (2.1) the summation may be restricted to  $|j| < \frac{C}{\varepsilon}$ .

Choose M > 1. Let  $||r|| = \min(\{r\}, 1 - \{r\})$ . Clearly,

$$\left| \left\{ x \in G : \max_{i} a_{i}\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) > M \right\} \right| \leq \left| \left\{ x \in G : \min_{0 < k < p/M} k \left\| \frac{kx}{p} \right\| < \frac{1}{M} \right\} \right|$$

$$< \sum_{\ell, \ 2^{\ell-1} < p/M} \sum_{2^{\ell-1} < k \leq 2^{\ell}} \sum_{x \in G} \psi_{\frac{8}{2^{\ell}M}}\left(\frac{kx}{p}\right).$$

$$(2.2)$$

We will use character sums to bound the double sum of the bump functions in (2.2).

#### MEI-CHU CHANG

**Lemma 7.** Let  $I \subset (0,p)$  be an interval and  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  be the bump function defined above. Then we have

$$\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{x \in G} \psi_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{kx}{p} \right) = |I| |G| \int \psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{|I| |G|}{p-1} \left( 1 - \int \psi_{\varepsilon} \right) + O(A), \tag{2.3}$$

where  $A = \varepsilon \sqrt{p} \min(|I|, \sqrt{p}, |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} p^{\frac{3}{16}}) \min\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \sqrt{p}, \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} p^{\frac{3}{16}}\right) p^{\varepsilon}$ .

Proof. Using (2.1), the left-hand-side of (2.3) equals

$$|I||G|\left(\int\psi_{\varepsilon}\right) + \sum_{k\in I}\sum_{j\neq 0}\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(j)\sum_{x\in G}e_{p}(jkx).$$
(2.4)

Using multiplicative characters

$$1_G(x) = \frac{|G|}{p-1} \sum_{\chi=1 \text{ on } G} \chi(x)$$
(2.5)

for the second term in (2.4), we obtain the bound

$$\frac{|G|}{p-1} \Big[ \sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \neq 0} \hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(j) \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} e_p(jkx) \Big] + \max_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \Big| \sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \neq 0} \hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(j) \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \chi(x) e_p(jkx) \Big|.$$
(2.6)

Clearly, the first term in (2.6) is

$$\frac{|G|}{p-1} \Big[ \sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \neq 0} \hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(j) \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} e_p(jkx) \Big] = -\frac{|G|}{p-1} |I| \Big( \sum_j \hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(j) - \hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(0) \Big)$$
$$= -\frac{|I| |G|}{p-1} \Big( 1 - \int \psi_{\varepsilon} \Big).$$
(2.7)

For the second term in (2.6), we make changes of variable in x to obtain

$$\left[\sum_{k\in I}\chi(\bar{k})\right]\left[\sum_{j\neq 0}\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(j)\;\chi(\bar{j})\right]\left[\sum_{x=1}^{p-1}\chi(x)e_p(x)\right],\tag{2.8}$$

where  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{k}$  denote inverses of x and k (mod p).

Also

$$\Big|\sum_{j} \hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(j) \chi(\bar{j}) \Big| \leq V \max_{J} \Big| \sum_{j \in J} \chi(j) \Big|,$$

where V is the variation of  $\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(j)$  and J is an interval of size  $< \frac{C}{\varepsilon}$ .

By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$V = \sum_{j} \left| \hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(j) - \hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(j+1) \right|$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{j} \left| \left[ \psi_{\varepsilon}(x) \left(1 - e(-x)\right) \right]^{\wedge}(j) \right|$$
  
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left\| \psi_{\varepsilon}(x) \left(1 - e(-x)\right) \right\|_{2} \lesssim \varepsilon.$$
(2.9)

To estimate character sums over an interval, we use Polya-Vinogradov and Garaev-Karatsuba ([GK] with r = 2), and have

$$\left|\sum_{a < x < a+H} \chi(x)\right| \lesssim \begin{cases} H\\ \sqrt{p} \log p\\ H^{\frac{1}{2}} p^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4.2} + \frac{1}{4.2^2} + \varepsilon} < H^{\frac{1}{2}} p^{\frac{3}{16} + 0}. \end{cases}$$
(2.10)  
(2.11)

For the last factor in (2.8), we have the bound  $\sqrt{p}$ .

Hence

$$(2.8) \lesssim \varepsilon \sqrt{p} \, \min(|I|, \sqrt{p}, |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} p^{\frac{3}{16}}) \min\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \sqrt{p}, \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} p^{\frac{3}{16}}\right) p^{\varepsilon}$$
(2.12)

proving the lemma.  $\Box$ 

Sometimes it is more convenient to use the following version of Lemma 7

### Lemma 7'.

$$\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{x \in G} \psi_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{kx}{p} \right) = |I| |G| \int \psi_{\varepsilon} + \frac{|I| |G|}{p} + O(A),$$
(2.13)

This is obtained by a rough estimate of (2.7).

$$(2.7) < \frac{|G|}{p-1} |I| \sum |\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(j)| \lesssim \frac{|G|}{p} |I|.$$

Proof of Proposition 1.

Fix  $\ell$ , apply Lemma 7' with  $I = [2^{\ell-1}, 2^{\ell}], \varepsilon = \frac{8}{2^{\ell}M}$ . After summation over  $\ell$  in (2.2), we have

$$\left| \left\{ x \in G : \max_{i} a_{i}\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) > M \right\} \right| \leq \sum_{\ell, \ 2^{\ell} < p/M} 2^{\ell-1} |G| \int \psi_{\frac{8}{2^{\ell}M}} + O\left(\frac{|G|}{M}\right) + \sum_{\ell} \frac{\sqrt{p}}{2^{\ell}M} \min(2^{\ell}, \sqrt{p}, \sqrt{2^{\ell}}p^{3/16}) \min(2^{\ell}M, \sqrt{p}, \sqrt{2^{\ell}M} p^{3/16}) p^{\varepsilon}.$$

$$(2.14)$$

The first sum in (2.14) is bounded by  $\frac{\log p}{M}|G|$ . For the range of M considered, we can ignore M in (2.14). Observe that

$$\min(2^{\ell}, \sqrt{p}, \sqrt{2}^{\ell} p^{3/16}) = \begin{cases} 2^{\ell}, & \text{if } 2^{\ell} < p^{3/8} \\ \sqrt{2}^{\ell} p^{3/16}, & \text{if } p^{3/8} \le 2^{\ell} < p^{5/8} \\ \sqrt{p}, & \text{if } 2^{\ell} \ge p^{5/8}. \end{cases}$$
(2.15)

Hence the last sum in (2.14) is bounded by

$$p^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \left\{ \sum_{2^{\ell} < p^{3/8}} 2^{-\ell} 4^{\ell} + \sum_{p^{3/8} \le 2^{\ell} < p^{5/8}} 2^{-\ell} 2^{\ell} p^{3/8} + \sum_{2^{\ell} > p^{5/8}} 2^{-\ell} p \right\}$$
  
$$< p^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \left\{ p^{3/8} + (\log p) p^{3/8} + p^{3/8} \right\} < p^{7/8+\varepsilon}.$$
(2.16)

Taking  $M \gtrsim \log p$ , we conclude the proof.  $\Box$ 

# Proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma 7 together with inclusion-exclusion argument implies that

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in I \\ x \text{ primitive}}} \psi_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{kx}{p}\right) = |I|\varphi(p-1) \left\{ \int \psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{p-1} \left(1 - \int \psi_{\varepsilon}\right) \right\} + O(A) p^{\varepsilon} \quad \Box.$$

# Proof of Theorem 4.

If we restrict ourselves to elements  $x \in G$  such that

$$\max a_i\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) < M_0,$$

we can bound

$$\sum_{i} a_{i}\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) \lesssim \sum_{\substack{m \text{ dyadic}\\M < M_{0}}} M \sum_{\ell, \ 2^{\ell} < \frac{p}{M}} \sum_{2^{\ell-1} < k \le 2^{\ell}} \psi_{\frac{8}{2^{\ell}M}}\left(\frac{kx}{p}\right).$$
(2.17)

By Lemma 7, summing the right-hand-side of (2.17) over  $x \in G$  gives

$$|G| \sum_{\substack{M \text{ dyadic}\\M < M_0}} M \sum_{\ell, \ 2^{\ell} < \frac{p}{M}} 2^{\ell-1} \left\{ \int \psi_{\frac{8}{2^{\ell}M}} - \frac{1}{p} \left( 1 - \int \psi_{\frac{8}{2^{\ell}M}} \right) \right\} + O(p^{7/8 + \varepsilon}).$$
(2.18)

The first term is bounded by  $|G| c(\log M_0) \log p$ . Since by Proposition 1, we may take  $M_0 \sim \log p$ , the theorem follows by averaging.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 5 follows from (2.18) together with an exclusion-inclusion argument.

#### References

- [C]. T. W. Cusick, Zaremba's conjecture and sums of the divisor function, Math. Comput. Vol 61, 203, (1993), 171-176.
- [GK]. M. Z. Garaev, A. A. Karatsuba, On character sums and the exceptional set of a congruence problem, J. Number Theory 114 (2005), 182-192.
- [KN]. L. Kuipers, H. Niederreiter, Uniform Distribution of Sequences, New York : Wiley (1974).
  - [L]. G. Larcher, On the distribution of sequences connected with good lattice points, Monatsh. Math., Vol 101, 2, (1986), 135-150.
- [RS]. A. M. Rockett, P. Szusz, Continued Fractions, World Scientific, (1992).
- [Z1]. S. K. Zaremba, Applications of Number Theory to Numerical Analysis (S. K. Zaremba, ed.), Academic Press, New York, (1972), 39-119.
- [Z2]. \_\_\_\_\_, Good lattice points modulo composite numbers, Monatsh. Math. 78 (1974), 446-460.