

# Expansions of quadratic maps in prime fields <sup>\*†</sup>

Mei-Chu Chang<sup>‡</sup>

Department of Mathematics  
University of California, Riverside  
mcc@math.ucr.edu

## Abstract

Let  $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a quadratic polynomial with  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Take  $z \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and let  $\mathcal{O}_z = \{f_i(z)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  be the orbit of  $z$  under  $f$ , where  $f_i(z) = f(f_{i-1}(z))$  and  $f_0(z) = z$ . For  $M < |\mathcal{O}_z|$ , We study the diameter of the partial orbit  $\mathcal{O}_M = \{z, f(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_{M-1}(z)\}$  and prove that there exists  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M \gtrsim \min \left\{ Mp^{c_1}, \frac{1}{\log p} M^{\frac{4}{5}} p^{\frac{1}{5}}, M^{\frac{1}{13} \log \log M} \right\}.$$

For a complete orbit  $\mathcal{C}$ , we prove that

$$\text{diam } \mathcal{C} \gtrsim \min \{ p^{5c_1}, e^{T/4} \},$$

where  $T$  is the period of the orbit.

## Introduction.

This paper belongs to the general theme of dynamical systems over finite fields. Let  $p$  be a prime and  $\mathbb{F}_p$  the finite field of  $p$  elements, represented by

---

<sup>\*</sup>2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11B50, 37A45, 11B75; Secondary 11T23, 37F10 11G99.

<sup>†</sup>*Key words*. dynamical system, orbits, additive combinatorics, exponential sums.

<sup>‡</sup>Research partially financed by the National Science Foundation.

the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$  be a polynomial, which we view as a transformation of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Thus if  $z \in \mathbb{F}_p$  is some element, we consider its orbit

$$z_0 = z, \quad z_{n+1} = f(z_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (0.1)$$

which eventually becomes periodic. The *period*  $T_z = T$  is the smallest integer satisfying

$$\{z_n : n = 0, 1, \dots, T-1\} = \{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (0.2)$$

We are interested in the metrical properties of orbits and partial orbits. More precisely, for  $M < T_z$ , we define

$$\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M = \max_{0 \leq n < M} |z_n - z|. \quad (0.3)$$

Following the papers [GS] and [CGOS], we study the expansion properties of  $f$ , in the sense of establishing lower bounds on  $\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M$ . Obviously, if  $M \leq T$ , then  $\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M \geq M$ . But, assuming that  $f$  is nonlinear and  $M = o(p)$ , one reasonably expects that the diameter of the partial orbit is much larger. Results along these lines were obtained in [GS] under the additional assumption that  $M > p^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ . In this situation, Weil's theorem on exponential sums permits proving equidistribution of the partial orbit. For  $M \leq p^{1/2}$ , Weil's theorem becomes inapplicable and lower bounds on  $\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M$  based on Vinogradov's theorem were established in [CGOS]. Our paper is a contribution of this line of research. We restrict ourselves to quadratic polynomials, though certainly the methods can be generalized. (See [CCGHSZ] for a generalization of Proposition 2 and Theorem 2 to higher degree polynomials and rational maps.)

Our first result is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *There is a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that if  $f(x) = ax^2+bx+c \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $(a, p) = 1$ , then with above notation, for any  $z \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $M \leq T_z$ ,*

$$\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M \gtrsim^1 \min \left\{ Mp^{c_1}, \frac{1}{\log p} M^{\frac{4}{5}} p^{\frac{1}{5}}, M^{\frac{1}{13} \log \log M} \right\}. \quad (0.4)$$

In view of Theorem 2, one could at least expect that  $\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M \gtrsim \min(p^c, e^{cM})$  as is the case when  $M = T_z$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>  $h \lesssim g$ , if there exist constants  $C, M$  such that  $|h(x)| \leq Cg(x)$  for all  $x > M$ .

In the proof, we distinguish the cases  $\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M > p^{c_0}$  and  $\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M \leq p^{c_0}$ , where  $c_0 > 0$  is a suitable constant. First, we exploit again exponential sum techniques (though, from the analytical side, our approach differs from [CGOS] and exploits a specific multilinear setup of the problem). More precisely, Proposition 1 in §1 states that (for  $M \leq T$  large enough)

$$\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M \gtrsim \frac{1}{\log p} \min(M^{\frac{5}{4}}, M^{\frac{4}{5}} p^{\frac{1}{5}}). \quad (0.5)$$

(Note that (??) is a clear improvement over Theorem 8 from [CGOS] for the case  $d = 2$ .)

When  $\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M \leq p^{c_0}$ , a different approach becomes available as explained in Proposition 2. In this situation, we are able to replace the  $(\text{mod } p)$  iteration by a similar problem in the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers, for an appropriate quadratic polynomial  $F(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$ . Elementary arithmetic permits us to prove then that  $\log \text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M$  is at least as large as  $\frac{1}{13} \log M \log \log M$ .

Interestingly, assuming  $\mathcal{C}$  a complete periodic cycle and  $\text{diam } \mathcal{C} < p^{c_0}$ , the transfer argument from Proposition 2 enables us to invoke bounds on the number of rational pre-periodic points of a quadratic map, for instance the results from R. Benedetto [B]. The conclusion is the following.

**Theorem 2.** *There is a constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that if  $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $(a, p) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_p$  is a periodic cycle for  $f$  of length  $T$ , then*

$$\text{diam } \mathcal{C} \gtrsim \min\{p^{c_0}, e^{T/4}\}. \quad (0.6)$$

## 1 Diameter of Partial Orbits.

Let  $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , where  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Fix  $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and denote the *orbit* of  $x_0$  by

$$\mathcal{O}_{x_0} = \{f_j(x_0)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+},$$

where  $f_j(x_0) = f(f_{j-1}(x_0))$  and  $f_0(x_0) = x_0$ . The *period* of the orbit of  $x_0$  under  $f$  is denoted  $T = T_{x_0} = |\mathcal{O}_{x_0}|$ . For  $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ , we denote the *diameter* of  $A$  by

$$\text{diam } A = \max_{x, y \in A} p \left\| \frac{x - y}{p} \right\|,$$

where  $\|a\|$  is the distance from  $a$  to the nearest integer. We are interested in the expansion of part of an orbit.

**Proposition 1.** *For  $1 \ll M < T$ , consider a partial orbit*

$$\mathcal{O}_M = \{x_0, f(x_0), f_2(x_0), \dots, f_{M-1}(x_0)\}.$$

Then

$$\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M \gtrsim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log p}} \min(M^{5/4}, M^{4/5} p^{1/5}). \quad (1.1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $M_1 = \text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M$ . Take  $I \subset \mathbb{F}_p$  with  $|I| = M_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_M \subset I$ , then

$$|f(I) \cap I| \geq M - 1. \quad (1.2)$$

We will express (??) using exponential sums.

Let  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$  be a smooth function on  $\mathbb{F}_p$  such that  $\varphi = 1$  on  $I$  and  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \tilde{I}$ , where  $\tilde{I}$  is an interval with the same center and double the length of  $I$ . Equation (??) implies that

$$\sum_{x \in I} \varphi(f(x)) \geq M$$

and expanding  $\varphi$  in Fourier gives

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p} \hat{\varphi}(\xi) e_p(x\xi), \quad \text{with } \hat{\varphi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \varphi(x) e_p(-x\xi).$$

Combining these gives

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p} |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \left| \sum_{x \in I} e_p(\xi f(x)) \right| \gtrsim M. \quad (1.3)$$

We will estimate  $\sum_{x \in I} e_p(\xi f(x))$  using van der Corput-Weyl.

Take  $M_0 = O(M)$ , e.g.  $M_0 = \frac{1}{100}M$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{x \in I} e_p(\xi f(x)) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{M_0} \sum_{0 \leq y < M_0} \left| \sum_{x \in I} e_p(\xi(a(x+y)^2 + b(x+y))) \right| + O(M_0) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}} \left[ \sum_{0 \leq y < M_0} \left| \sum_{x \in I} e_p(\xi(ax^2 + 2axy + bx)) \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} + O(M_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}} \left| \sum_{\substack{0 \leq y < M_0 \\ x_1, x_2 \in I}} e_p(\xi(x_1 - x_2)(a(x_1 + x_2) + 2ay + b)) \right|^{1/2} + O(M_0). \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

(The second inequality is by Cauchy-Schwarz.)

Take  $\varphi$  sufficiently smooth as to ensure that

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)| = O(1). \quad (1.5)$$

Equations (??) and (??) imply

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)| \left| \sum_{\substack{0 \leq y < M_0 \\ x_1, x_2 \in I}} e_p(\xi(x_1 - x_2)(a(x_1 + x_2) + 2ay + b)) \right|^{1/2} \gtrsim M^{3/2}.$$

Hence by Cauchy-Schwarz and (??),

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)| \left| \sum_{\substack{0 \leq y < M_0 \\ x_1, x_2 \in I}} e_p(\xi(x_1 - x_2)(a(x_1 + x_2) + 2ay + b)) \right| \gtrsim M^3. \quad (1.6)$$

Fix  $x_1 + x_2 = s \leq 2M_1$ ; then

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)| \left| \sum_{\substack{0 \leq y < M_0 \\ x \in I}} e_p(\xi(2x - s)(as + 2ay + b)) \right| \gtrsim \frac{M^3}{2M_1}. \quad (1.7)$$

Next, for  $z \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , denote

$$\eta(z) = |\{(x, y) \in I \times [1, M_0] : (2x - s)(2ay + b + as) \equiv z \pmod{p}\}|, \quad (1.8)$$

and write the left hand side of (??) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)| \left| \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_p} \eta(z) e_p(\xi z) \right| \\ & \leq \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left| \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_p} \eta(z) e_p(\xi z) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & = \left( \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} |\varphi(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{p} \left( \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_p} \eta(z)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & < 2M_1^{1/2} \left( \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_p} \eta(z)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz and Parseval.

Recall that  $(a, p) = 1$ . Let  $I' = I - \frac{s}{2}$ ,  $I'' = [1, M_0] + \frac{b+as}{2a} \subset \mathbb{F}_p$  so that

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_p} \eta(z)^2 = E(I', I''),$$

the multiplicative energy of  $I'$  and  $I''$ .

It is well-known that

$$\begin{aligned} E(I', I'') &\leq \log p \max \left\{ |I'| |I''|, \frac{|I'|^2 |I''|^2}{p} \right\} \\ &\leq \log p \max \left\{ M_1 M, \frac{M_1^2 M^2}{p} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

Thus, by (??), (??) and (??),

$$\frac{M^3}{M_1} \lesssim M_1^{1/2} (\log p)^{1/2} \max \left\{ M_1^{1/2} M^{1/2}, \frac{M_1 M}{p^{1/2}} \right\}. \quad (1.11)$$

Distinguish the cases  $M_1 M \leq p$  and  $M_1 M > p$ , and (??) implies

$$M_1 \gtrsim \min \left\{ (\log p)^{-1/4} M^{5/4}, (\log p)^{-1/5} M^{4/5} p^{1/5} \right\}. \quad (1.12)$$

□

## 2 Partial orbits of small diameters.

For  $M < p^{c_0}$ , one obtains the following stronger result. (Notations are as in Proposition 1.)

**Proposition 2.** *There exists  $c_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M > \min \left( p^{c_0}, M^{\frac{1}{13} \log \log M} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

Consequently,

$$\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M \gtrsim \min \left\{ M p^{\frac{c_0}{5}}, \frac{1}{\log p} M^{\frac{4}{5}} p^{\frac{1}{5}}, M^{\frac{1}{13} \log \log M} \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{O}_M = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{M-1}\}$  with  $x_j = f(x_{j-1})$  as before, and let  $\text{diam } \mathcal{O}_M = M_1$ . Since  $|x_j - x_0| \leq M_1$ , we can write  $x_j = x_0 + z_j$  with  $z_j \in [-M_1, M_1]$ . Thus,  $a, b, c, x_0$  satisfy the  $M - 1$  equations

$$a(x_0 + z_j)^2 + b(x_0 + z_j) + c \equiv x_0 + z_{j+1} \pmod{p}, \quad j = 0, \dots, M - 2,$$

and the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -variety

$$\mathcal{V}_p = \bigcap_{j=0}^{M-2} [(r + z_j)^2 + v(r + z_j) + w = u(r + z_{j+1}) \pmod{p}]$$

in the variables  $(u, v, w, r) \in \mathbb{F}_p^4$  is therefore nonempty. Note that the coefficients of the  $M - 1$  defining polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[u, v, w, r]$  are  $O(M_1^2)$ .

Assume

$$M_1 < p^{c_0} \tag{2.3}$$

with  $c_0 > 0$  small enough. Elimination theory<sup>2</sup> implies that  $\mathcal{V}_p \neq \emptyset$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -variety. Hence there are  $U, V, W, R \in \mathbb{C}$  such that for all  $j$

$$(R + z_j)^2 + V(R + z_j) + W = U(R + z_{j+1}), \quad j = 0, \dots, M - 2.$$

Obviously,  $U \neq 0$ , since  $z_1, \dots, z_{M-2}$  are distinct. We therefore have a quadratic polynomial

$$F(z) := \frac{1}{U}(R + z)^2 + \frac{V}{U}(R + z) + \frac{W}{U} - R =: Az^2 + Bz + C, \tag{2.4}$$

satisfying

$$F(z_j) = z_{j+1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, M - 2. \tag{2.5}$$

Since  $z_0 = 0$ , (??) and (??) imply  $C = z_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-M_1, M_1]$  and the equations

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^2 A + z_1 B &= z_2 - z_1 \\ z_2^2 A + z_2 B &= z_3 - z_1 \end{aligned}$$

imply  $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $A = \frac{a}{d}$ ,  $B = \frac{b}{d}$ , and  $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  being  $O(M_1^3)$ . Equation (??) becomes

$$z_{j+1} = \frac{a}{d} z_j^2 + \frac{b}{d} z_j + C. \tag{2.6}$$

---

<sup>2</sup> See [C] where a similar elimination procedure was used in a combinatorial problem. In particular, see [C], Lemma 2.14 and its proof.

Hence

$$\frac{a}{d}z_{j+1} + \frac{b}{2d} = \left(\frac{a}{d}z_j + \frac{b}{2d}\right)^2 + C\frac{a}{d} - \frac{b^2}{4d^2} + \frac{b}{2d}.$$

Putting

$$y_j = \frac{a}{d}z_j + \frac{b}{2d} \in \frac{1}{2d}\mathbb{Z}, \quad j = 0, \dots, M-1$$

and

$$\frac{r}{s} = C\frac{a}{d} - \frac{b^2}{4d^2} + \frac{b}{2d} \quad \text{with } s > 0, (r, s) = 1, |r|, s = O(M_1^6),$$

gives

$$y_{j+1} = y_j^2 + \frac{r}{s}, \quad j = 0, \dots, M-2. \quad (2.7)$$

Next, write  $y_j = \alpha_j/\beta_j$ , where  $\beta_j|2d$  and  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j) = 1$ ; thus (??) gives

$$\frac{\alpha_{j+1}}{\beta_{j+1}} = \frac{\alpha_j^2}{\beta_j^2} + \frac{r}{s}, \quad j = 0, \dots, M-2. \quad (2.8)$$

Note also that

$$|\alpha_j| = O(M_1^4). \quad (2.9)$$

Write the prime factorizations

$$s = \prod_p p^{v(p)} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_j = \prod_p p^{v_j(p)}, \quad j = 0, \dots, M-1.$$

*Claim.*  $2v_j(p) \leq v(p)$ , for  $j < M - O(\log \log M_1)$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $v_j(p) > 0$ .

*Case 1.*  $2v_j(p) > v_{j+1}(p)$ .

Fact 2.1 (which will be stated at the end of this section) and (??) imply that  $v(p) = 2v_j(p)$ .

*Case 2.*  $2v_j(p) \leq v_{j+1}(p)$ .

Again, we separate two cases.

*Case 2.1.*  $2v_{j+1}(p) > v_{j+2}(p)$ . Reasoning as in Case 1, we have

$$v(p) = 2v_{j+1}(p) \geq 2^2v_j(p) > 2v_j(p).$$



*Case 2.2.*  $2v_{j+1}(p) \leq v_{j+2}(p)$ . Therefore,  $v_{j+2}(p) \geq 2^2v_j(p)$ . We repeat the argument for Case 2 with  $j = j + 1$ . Continuing this process, after  $\tau$  steps, we obtain either  $v(p) \geq 2v_j(p)$  or

$$v_{j+\tau}(p) \geq 2^\tau v_j(p), \quad (2.10)$$

when necessarily  $\tau \lesssim \log v_{j+\tau}(p) \lesssim \log \log \beta_{j+\tau} \lesssim \log \log d \lesssim \log \log M_1$ . Since  $j + \tau \leq M$ , the claim is proved.

It follows from the claim that  $\beta_j^2 | s$  for  $j < M - O(\log \log M_1)$ . Back to (??), if  $v(p) > 2v_j(p)$  for some  $j < M - O(\log \log M_1)$ , then  $v_{j+1}(p) = v(p)$ . This contradicts to that  $\beta_{j+1}^2 | s$ . So we conclude that

$$\beta_j^2 = s =: s_1^2 \quad \text{for } j < M - O(\log \log M_1). \quad (2.11)$$

Hence

$$\alpha_{j+1} = \frac{\alpha_j^2}{s_1} + \frac{r}{s_1}, \quad (2.12)$$

which implies

$$\alpha_j^2 + r \equiv 0 \pmod{s_1}. \quad (2.13)$$

Let  $s_1 = \prod p_i^{v_i}$ . Then  $\alpha_j$  satisfies (??) if and only if  $\alpha_j$  satisfies  $\alpha_j^2 + r \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{v_i}}$  for all  $i$ . Since  $-r$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p^v$  if and only if it is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$  for odd prime  $p$ , we have

$$\left| \{\pi_{s_1}(\alpha_j)\}_j \right| \leq 2 \cdot 2^{\omega(s_1)} < e^{\frac{\log s_1}{\log \log s_1}} < e^{\frac{4 \log M_1}{\log \log M_1}}. \quad (2.14)$$

Here  $\pi_{s_1}(\alpha_j)$  is the projection of  $\alpha_j$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{s_1}$ .

To show  $M_1 > M^{\frac{1}{13} \log \log M}$ , we assume

$$\log M_1 < \frac{1}{13} \log M \log \log M. \quad (2.15)$$

Then (??) implies there exists  $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_{s_1}$  such that

$$|\mathcal{J}| = \left| \left\{ 0 \leq j \leq \frac{M}{2} : \pi_{s_1}(\alpha_j) = \xi \right\} \right| > M^{1/2}. \quad (2.16)$$

Thus

$$\alpha_{j_1} - \alpha_{j_2} \in s_1 \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{for } j_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{J},$$

and

$$|\alpha_{j_1} - \alpha_{j_2}| \geq s_1, \quad \text{for } j_1 \neq j_2 \in \mathcal{J}.$$

In particular there exists  $j \in \mathcal{J}$  such that

$$|\alpha_j| \geq \frac{M^{1/2}}{8} s_1 \quad \text{and} \quad ||\alpha_j| - |r|^{1/2}| > \frac{M^{1/2}}{8} s_1. \quad (2.17)$$

*Claim.* Either  $|\alpha_j| > 10|r|^{1/2}$  or  $|\alpha_{j+1}| > 10|r|^{1/2}$ .

*Proof.* Assume

$$|\alpha_j|, |\alpha_{j+1}| < 10|r|^{1/2}. \quad (2.18)$$

Hence,  $|r|^{1/2} \gtrsim M^{1/2} s_1$  by (??). From (??), (??) and (??)

$$\begin{aligned} 10|r|^{1/2} s_1 &> |\alpha_{j+1}| s_1 = |\alpha_j^2 + r| \\ &\geq (|\alpha_j| + |r|^{1/2})(|\alpha_j| - |r|^{1/2}) \\ &\geq |r|^{1/2} \cdot \frac{M^{1/2}}{8} s_1 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, proving the claim.

Thus, there exists  $j < M/2$  such that either

$$|\alpha_j| > 10s_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha_j| > 10|r|^{1/2} \quad (2.19)$$

or

$$|\alpha_j| > 10s_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha_{j+1}| > 10|r|^{1/2}. \quad (2.20)$$

Clearly, (??) implies (??). Indeed, by (??),

$$|\alpha_{j+1}| \geq \frac{1}{s_1} |\alpha_j^2 - |r|| \geq \frac{99}{100s_1} \alpha_j^2 > 2|\alpha_j|.$$

Iteration shows that

$$|\alpha_{j+\frac{M}{3}}| > 2^{\frac{M}{3}} |\alpha_j| > 2^{\frac{M}{3}}$$

contradicting to (??). This proves (??).

Combining Proposition 1 and (??), we have (??).  $\square$

**Fact 2.1.** Let  $\frac{a_1}{d_1}, \frac{a_2}{d_2}, \frac{a_3}{d_3} \in \mathbb{Q}$  be rational numbers in lowest terms, and  $p^{v_p(d_i)} \parallel d_i$ . If  $\frac{a_1}{d_1} + \frac{a_2}{d_2} + \frac{a_3}{d_3} = 0$  and  $v_p(d_1) \geq v_p(d_2) \geq v_p(d_3)$ , then  $v_p(d_1) = v_p(d_2)$ .

### 3 Full cycles

In this section, we will prove Theorem 2.

Assume  $M_1 = \text{diam } \mathcal{C} < p^{c_0}$  with  $c_0$  as in Proposition ???. The proof of Proposition ??? gives a quadratic polynomial (cf. (??))

$$F(z) = z^2 + \frac{r}{s} \quad \text{with } r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, |s| = O(M_1^6) \quad (3.1)$$

and a rational  $F$ -cycle  $\{y_j\}_{0 \leq j < T}$ , *i.e.*

$$y_{j+1} = F(y_j) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq T - 2$$

and

$$F(y_{T-1}) = y_0.$$

We now invoke a result of R. Benedetto [B], which gives quantitative bounds on the number of preperiodic points of a polynomial  $f$  in a number field. ( $z$  is *preperiodic*, if the set  $\{z, f(z), f(f(z)), \dots\}$  is finite.) According to Theorem 7.1 in [B], the number of preperiodic points of  $F$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$  is bounded by

$$(2\sigma + 1) [\log_2(2\sigma + 1) + \log_2(\log_2(2\sigma + 1) - 1) + 2] \quad (3.2)$$

with  $\sigma$  the number of primes where  $F$  has bad reduction. Hence  $\sigma \leq \omega(s) \leq \frac{\log M_1}{\log \log M_1}$  and (??) implies

$$T < 4 \log M_1 = 4 \log \text{diam } \mathcal{C}. \quad (3.3)$$

*Acknowledgement.* The author would like to thank the referee for many helpful comments and the mathematics department of University of California at Berkeley for hospitality.

### References

- [B] R. Benedetto, *Preperiodic points of polynomials over global fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 608 (2007), 123153.
- [CCGHSZ] M.-C. CHANG, J. CILLERUELO, M. GARAEV, J. HERNANDEZ, I. SHPARLINSKI, A. ZUMALACARREGUI *POINTS ON CURVES IN SMALL BOXES AND APPLICATIONS* (preprint).

- [C] M.-C. Chang, *Factorization in Generalized Arithmetic Progressions and Application to the Erdos-Szemerédi Sum-Product Problems*, Geom. Funct. Anal. Vol. 13, (2003), 720-736.
- [CGOS] J. Cilleruelo, M. Garaev, A. Ostafe, I. Shparlinski, *On the concentration of points of polynomial maps and applications*, Math. Zeit., (to appear).
- [GS] J. Gutierrez, I. Shparlinski, *Expansion of orbits of some dynamical systems over finite fields* Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 82 (2010), 232-239.