

# ELEMENTS OF LARGE ORDER ON VARIETIES OVER PRIME FINITE FIELDS

MEI-CHU CHANG, BRYCE KERR, IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI,  
AND UMBERTO ZANNIER

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a fixed absolutely irreducible variety defined by  $m$  polynomials in  $n$  variables with integer coefficients. We show that for almost all primes  $p$  at least one of the components of all but  $O(1)$  points on the reduction of  $\mathcal{V}$  modulo  $p$  has a large multiplicative order. This generalises several previous results and is a step towards a conjecture of B. Poonen.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the major problems of the theory of finite fields is, given a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of  $q$  elements, find, in polynomial-time a generator of its multiplicative group  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ . Even in the class of probabilistic algorithms, it seems that factoring  $q-1$  is unavoidable and thus no polynomial-time algorithm is known nowadays.

One of the possible ways to circumvent the factorisation obstacle is to find some constructions of reasonably small subsets of finite fields, that are guaranteed to contain a generator, see [19, 20, 21] for some results of this type.

Another possible relaxation of the original problem is to construct elements  $x$  in a given field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  or in its extension of large *order*  $\text{ord } x$ , see [1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 14, 17, 18, 23, 24] and references therein. We recall that for a non-zero element  $x \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  in the algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  the order  $\text{ord } x$  is the smallest positive integer  $t$  with  $x^t = 1$ .

Voloch [23, 24] has considered the points  $(x, y)$  on an algebraic curve  $f(x, y) = 0$ , defined over the ground field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and such that  $x$  is of high degree  $d = [\mathbb{F}_q(x) : \mathbb{F}_q]$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In particular, under some natural conditions, it is shown in [23] that if  $f(X, Y) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$  is absolutely irreducible, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that either  $\text{ord } x > d^{2-\varepsilon}$  or  $\text{ord } y > \exp(\delta(\log d)^2)$ .

More recently, it has been shown in [8] that if the zero set of a polynomial  $f(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$  has no common components with those of  $X^r - Y^s$  and  $X^r Y^s - 1$  for any  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $r, s \geq 0$ , then for any function  $\varepsilon(z)$  with  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(z) = 0$ , there is a set of primes  $p$  of relative density

1 such that for all but at most  $C(f)$  solutions of the equation

$$f(x, y) = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p,$$

we have

$$\max\{\text{ord } x, \text{ord } y\} \geq p^{1/4+\varepsilon(p)},$$

see also [7].

We note that the results of Voloch [23, 24] (and thus those of [8]) are motivated by the following general conjecture due to Poonen (but are quantitatively much weaker):

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a semiabelian variety defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a closed subvariety of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Denote  $\mathcal{Z}$  the union of all translates of positive-dimensional semiabelian varieties over the algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  contained in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then, for every nonzero  $x$  in  $(\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{Z})(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ , the order of  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$  is at least  $q^{dc}$  for some constant  $c > 0$ , where  $d$  is degree of  $x$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

Here we extend the result of [8] to points on general algebraic varieties. Although our results and Conjecture 1 do not imply each other, our estimates may be considered as yet an indirect confirmation of this Conjecture 1. We note that the method of [7, 8] is based on some properties of resultants and does not seem to apply to general varieties. Thus here we use a different approach which is based on Hilbert's *Nullstellensatz*.

We say that an absolutely irreducible variety  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  does not contain a monomial curve, if it does not contain a curve parametrised by

$$X_1 = \rho_1 T^{k_1}, \dots, X_n = \rho_n T^{k_n},$$

where  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$  are roots of unity and  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  are integers, not all equal to zero.

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that an absolutely irreducible variety  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Also assume that  $\mathcal{V}$  does not contain a monomial curve. Then there is a constant  $C(\mathcal{V})$ , depending only on  $\mathcal{V}$  such that for any function  $\varepsilon(z)$  with  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(z) = 0$ , there is a set of primes  $p$  of relative density 1 such that for all but at most  $C(\mathcal{V})$  points  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{V}_p$  with components from  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ , on the reduction  $\mathcal{V}_p \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^n$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  modulo  $p$ , we have*

$$\max\{\text{ord } x_1, \dots, \text{ord } x_n\} \geq \varepsilon(p)p^{1/2n}.$$

For the case of a single plane curve of degree  $d$ , we can get a weaker bound, although the set of primes removed depends only on  $d$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Fix an integer  $d \geq 2$  and a function  $\varepsilon(z)$  such that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(z) = 0$ . Then for a set of primes  $p$ , depending only on  $d$  and  $\varepsilon(z)$ , of relative density 1, for irreducible polynomial  $f(X, Y) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X, Y]$  of degree  $d$  that does not vanish on a curve of the form*

$$\rho X^\alpha Y^\beta - 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \rho Y^\beta - X^\alpha$$

*for any  $\rho \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  and integer  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ , all solutions  $(x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  of  $f(x, y) = 0$  satisfy*

$$\text{ord } x + \text{ord } y \geq \varepsilon(p) p^{2/(89d^2+3d+14)}$$

*except for at most  $11d^3 + d$  of them.*

As in [8], we note that the main result of [22], combined with [13, Theorem 7] implies that for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  a positive proportion of primes, the curve

$$XY - X^2 - 1 = 0$$

contains at least  $p^{1/2}$  points  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$  such that  $x$  and  $y$  are both of multiplicative order at most  $p^{3/4+\varepsilon}$ . This result can easily be extended to other curves, see [22] for details. However, it seems very likely that neither this upper bound nor our lower bounds are tight.

## 2. PREPARATIONS

We recall that the logarithmic height of a nonzero polynomial  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$  is defined as the maximum logarithm of the largest (by absolute value) coefficient of  $F$ .

We need the following quantitative version of the Bézout theorem, that follows from a result of Krick, Pardo and Sombra [15, Theorem 1] (that improves a series of previous estimates).

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $F_1, \dots, F_N \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be  $N \geq 1$  polynomials in  $n$  variables without a common zero in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of degree at most  $D \geq 3$  and of logarithmic height at most  $H$ . Then there is a positive integer  $b$  with*

$$\log b \leq c(n) D^n (H + \log N + D)$$

*and polynomials  $R_1, \dots, R_N \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  such that*

$$F_1 R_1 + \dots + F_N R_N = b,$$

*where  $c(n)$  depends only on  $n$ .*

Combining Lemma 4 with a classical argument of Hilbert we obtain the following result (which is also given in [5, Lemma 23]):

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $F_1, \dots, F_N, G \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be  $N + 1 \geq 2$  polynomials in  $n$  variables of degree at most  $D \geq 3$  and of logarithmic height at most  $H$  such that  $G$  vanishes on the variety*

$$F_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \dots = F_N(X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0.$$

*There are positive integers  $b$  and  $r$  with*

$$\log b \leq C(n)D^{n+1}(H + \log N + D)$$

*and polynomials  $Q_1, \dots, Q_N \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$  such that*

$$F_1Q_1 + \dots + F_NQ_N = bG^r,$$

*where  $C(m)$  depends only on  $m$ .*

As usual, we use  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  to denote the complex algebraic torus, that is, an  $n$ -fold Cartesian product of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{C}^*$  of the complex numbers, see [4, 25, 26]. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the group of all roots of unity. The elements of  $\mathcal{U}^n$  are the torsion points of  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  with respect to the natural group structure.

We call the elements of  $\mathcal{U}^n$  the *torsion points* of  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$ .

For a complex variety  $\mathcal{V}$  over  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  we denote by  $N(\mathcal{V})$  the number of torsion points on  $\mathcal{V}$ . We need the following result about the finiteness of  $N(\mathcal{V})$ , which is relaxed version of the more explicit bound of Aliev and Smyth [2, Theorem 1.2] (which in turn improves a series of previous results).

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$  be  $m \geq 1$  polynomials in  $n$  variables that define an absolutely irreducible variety  $\mathcal{V}$  of degree at most  $d$ . If  $\mathcal{V}$  does not contain a monomial curve, then*

$$N(\mathcal{V}) \leq C(d, n)$$

*where  $C(d, n)$  is some constant that depends only on  $n$  and the largest degree  $d$  of the polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_m$ .*

We also note the work [16] related to some algorithmic aspects of finding torsion points. We also use the following result of Beukers and Smyth [3, Section 4.1].

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$  be of degree  $d$  and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the variety defined by the equation*

$$f(X, Y) = 0.$$

*Then either*

$$N(\mathcal{V}) \leq 11d^2$$

*or  $f$  contains infinitely many points which are roots of unity. In this case  $f$  has a factor of the form  $X^i - \rho Y^j$  or  $X^i Y^j - \rho$  for some non-negative integers  $i, j$  not both zero and some root of unity  $\rho$ .*

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We notice that without loss of generality we can assume that the function  $\varepsilon(z)z^{1/2n}$  is monotonically increasing and tends to infinity as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let us fix a sufficiently large real number  $z$  and set

$$T = \varepsilon(z)z^{1/2n}.$$

We see from Lemma 6 that there is some constant  $T_0(\mathcal{V})$  depending only on  $\mathcal{V}$  such that the components of any points in  $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathbb{U}^n$  are roots of unity of order at most  $T_0(\mathcal{V})$ .

Assume that  $z$  is large enough so that  $T > T_0(\mathcal{V})$ .

We now fix some positive integers  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  with

$$(1) \quad T \geq \max\{t_1, \dots, t_n\} > T_0(\mathcal{V}).$$

Assume that  $\mathcal{V}$  is the zero set of the polynomials

$$f_i(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n], \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Let

$$(2) \quad \Phi_t(X) = \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ \gcd(s,t)=1}}^t (X - \exp(2\pi is/t))$$

be the  $t$ -th cyclotomic polynomial. Suppose the numbers  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  satisfy  $\Phi_{t_i}(\gamma_i) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Consider the products

$$(3) \quad b(t_1, \dots, t_n; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) = \prod_{j=1}^m \max\{1, |\mathrm{Nm} f_j(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)|\},$$

where  $\mathrm{Nm} \vartheta$  denotes the norm to  $\mathbb{Q}$  of an algebraic integer  $\vartheta$ .

Note that

$$(4) \quad b(t_1, \dots, t_n; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \mid B(t_1, \dots, t_n),$$

where

$$B(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{j=1}^m \max \left\{ 1, \prod_{\vartheta_1: \Phi_{t_1}(\vartheta_1)=0} \dots \prod_{\vartheta_n: \Phi_{t_n}(\vartheta_n)=0} |f_j(\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n)| \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(5) \quad \log B(t_1, \dots, t_n) = O(T^n)$$

where, here and after, the implied constants depend only on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Thus, the bound (5) implies that there are

$$O\left(\frac{\log B(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\log(\log B(t_1, \dots, t_n) + 2)}\right) = O(T^n / \log T)$$

primes  $p \mid B(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ . Hence there are at most  $O(T^{2n} / \log T) = o(z / \log z)$  primes  $p \leq z$  which satisfy this divisibility condition for at least one choice of  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  with (1).

For each remaining prime the variety  $\mathcal{V}_p$  does not have a point  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{V}_p$  with

$$(6) \quad \text{ord } x_1 = t_1, \dots, \text{ord } x_n = t_n$$

for any choice of positive integers  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  satisfying (1). Thus for these primes, for every point  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{V}_p$  we have

$$(7) \quad \max\{\text{ord } x_1, \dots, \text{ord } x_n\} \geq T = \varepsilon(z)z^{1/2n}.$$

Indeed, let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{V}_p$  satisfy (6). Choose  $\alpha$  such that

$$\mathbb{F}_p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of degree  $r$ . For each  $x_i$  we have

$$x_i = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \beta_{i,j} \alpha^j, \quad \beta_{i,j} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}.$$

Let  $\rho$  be a root of  $f$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and consider  $z_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$  defined by

$$z_i = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \beta_{i,j} \rho^j.$$

Then for some choice of  $\rho$  there exists a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  dividing  $p$  such that

$$(8) \quad x_j \equiv z_j \pmod{\mathfrak{p}},$$

and

$$(9) \quad f_i(z_1, \dots, z_n) \equiv \Phi_{t_j}(z_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Let, as before  $\gamma_j$  be a root of  $\Phi_{t_j}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , so that from (1) we have  $\text{Nm } f_i(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \neq 0$  for at least one  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . On the other hand, from (9),

$$\text{Nm } f_i(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \equiv \text{Nm } f_i(z_1, \dots, z_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Hence from (3) we obtain

$$b(t_1, \dots, t_n; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus we see from (4) that  $p \mid B(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  which contradicts the choice of  $p$ .

This implies that for all but  $o(z/\log z)$  primes  $p \leq z$  we have (7).

Since we have assumed that the function  $\varepsilon(z)z^{1/2n}$  is monotonically increasing, we see that (7) concludes the proof with  $C(\mathcal{V}) = T_0(\mathcal{V})^n$ .

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

As before we notice again that without loss of generality we can assume that the function  $\varepsilon(z)z^{2/(89d^2+3d+14)}$  is monotonically increasing and tends to infinity as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ .

First we need to introduce some notation and constructions which will be used throughout the proof. For  $\varepsilon(z) = o(1)$ , let

$$(10) \quad T = \varepsilon(z)z^{2/(89d^2+3d+14)}.$$

Given polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in \mathbb{K}[Z_1, \dots, Z_N]$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  we write  $V(f_1, \dots, f_s)$  for the variety defined by the system of equations

$$f_1(Z_1, \dots, Z_N) = \dots = f_s(Z_1, \dots, Z_N) = 0.$$

Let  $\mathbf{A} = \{A_{i,j}\}_{i+j \leq d}$  and consider the polynomial

$$f(\mathbf{A}, X, Y) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} A_{i,j} X^i Y^j \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{A}, X, Y].$$

Note that we consider the vector of coefficient  $\mathbf{A}$  as a vector of  $(d+1)(d+2)/2$  variables. Let

$$\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^0(X, Y, \rho) = \rho X^\alpha Y^\beta - 1 \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y, \rho]$$

and

$$\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^1(X, Y, \rho) = \rho Y^\beta - X^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y, \rho].$$

Writing

$$f(\mathbf{A}, X, Y) = \sum_{i=0}^d f_i(\mathbf{A}, X) Y^i, \quad f_i(\mathbf{A}, X) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{A}, X],$$

and

$$\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^\nu = \sum_{i=0}^b \Phi_{i,\alpha,\beta}^\nu(X, \rho) Y^i, \quad \Phi_{i,\alpha,\beta}^\nu(X, \rho) \in \mathbb{C}[X, \rho],$$

we consider the resultant  $\text{Res}_Y(f(\mathbf{A}, X, Y), \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^\nu(X, Y, \rho))$  of the polynomials  $f$  and  $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^\nu$  with respect to the variable  $Y$ . Expanding the Sylvester determinant, we see that

$$\text{Res}_Y(f(\mathbf{A}, X, Y), \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^\nu(X, Y, \rho)) = \sum_{r=0}^R \tilde{g}_{r,\alpha,\beta}^\nu X^r, \quad \tilde{g}_{r,\alpha,\beta}^\nu \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{A}, \rho],$$

for some integer  $R$ . Let  $\tilde{V}_{\alpha,\beta}^\nu$  be the variety defined by the equations

$$(11) \quad \tilde{V}_{\alpha,\beta}^\nu = V(\tilde{g}_{r,\alpha,\beta}^\nu, r = 1, \dots, R).$$

For  $p$  prime we let  $\tilde{V}_{\alpha,\beta,p}^\nu$  denote the variety over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  defined by the equations

$$\tilde{g}_{r,\alpha,\beta}^\nu = 0, \quad r = 1, \dots, R.$$

Let the polynomials  $(g_{s,\alpha,\beta}^\nu, s = 1, \dots, S)$  generate the elimination ideal of  $(\tilde{g}_{r,\alpha,\beta}^\nu, r = 1, \dots, R)$  with respect to the variable  $\rho$ , that is, we have the following relation between the corresponding ideals

$$(12) \quad (g_{s,\alpha,\beta}^\nu, s = 1, \dots, S) = (\tilde{g}_{r,\alpha,\beta}^\nu, r = 1, \dots, R) \cap \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{A}]$$

and let

$$V_{\alpha,\beta}^\nu = V(g_{s,\alpha,\beta}^\nu, s = 1, \dots, S).$$

Consider the projection

$$\pi : \mathbb{C}^{(d+1)(d+2)/2+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{(d+1)(d+2)/2}$$

$$(\mathbf{A}, \rho) \mapsto \mathbf{A}$$

so that from [10, Chapter 3.2, Lemma 1]

$$(13) \quad \pi(\tilde{V}_{\alpha,\beta}^\nu) \subseteq V_{\alpha,\beta}^\nu.$$

Let  $V_{\alpha,\beta,p}^\nu$  denote the variety over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  defined by the equations

$$g_{s,\alpha,\beta}^\nu = 0, \quad s = 1, \dots, S.$$

Let  $K = 11d^2 + 1$  and for  $K$  tuples

$$\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_K), \quad \mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_K)$$

with integer coordinates let  $W_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}$  be the variety defined by the equations

$$\sum_{i+j \leq d} A_{i,j} X_k^i Y_k^j = \Phi_{m_k}(Y_k) = \Phi_{n_k}(X_k) = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

in variables  $(\{A_{i,j}\}_{i+j \leq d}, (X_k, Y_k)_{1 \leq k \leq K})$  and  $\Phi_t$  is defined as in (2). Then we have

$$W_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} \subseteq U \cup \left( \bigcup_{\alpha,\beta \leq d} (V_{\alpha,\beta}^0 \cup V_{\alpha,\beta}^1) \right),$$



where

$$U = V \left( \prod_{k_1 \leq k_2 \leq K} (X_{k_1} - X_{k_2}) \right).$$

This may be seen by taking

$$P = (\{a_{i,j}\}_{i+j \leq d}, (x_k, y_k)_{1 \leq k \leq K}) \in W_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}$$

and considering the curve

$$f(X, Y) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} a_{i,j} X^i Y^j.$$

If  $f$  vanishes on a monomial curve, then by Lemma 7, for some integers  $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta} \leq d$  not both zero and some root of unity  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $f$  has a factor of the form

$$\Phi_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0(X, Y, \bar{\rho}) \quad \text{or} \quad \Phi_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^1(X, Y, \bar{\rho})$$

so that

$$\text{Res}_Y(f(X, Y), \Phi_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0(X, Y, \rho)) = 0$$

or

$$\text{Res}_Y(f(X, Y), \Phi_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^1(X, Y, \rho)) = 0.$$

Using (13) this gives

$$P \in \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta \leq d} (V_{\alpha, \beta}^0 \cup V_{\alpha, \beta}^1).$$

If  $f$  does not vanish on a monomial curve, then by Lemma 7  $f$  has at most  $11d^2 = K - 1$  solutions in roots of unity. Since the numbers  $(x_k, y_k)_{1 \leq k \leq K}$  satisfy

$$\sum_{i+j \leq d} a_{i,j} x_k^i y_k^j = \Phi_{m_k}(x_k) = \Phi_{n_k}(y_k) = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

we see that for some  $j_1 \neq j_2$  we have  $x_{j_1} = x_{j_2}$ , so that

$$P \in U.$$

We may choose integer  $H$  bounded in terms of  $d$  and polynomials  $(G_h, h = 1, \dots, H)$  with degree and height bounded in terms of  $d$  such that

$$(14) \quad \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta \leq d} (V_{\alpha, \beta}^0 \cup V_{\alpha, \beta}^1) = V(G_h, h = 1, \dots, H).$$

Let

$$\bar{G}_h = G_h \prod_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq K} (X_{k_1} - X_{k_2}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{A}, (X_k, Y_k)_{1 \leq k \leq K}]$$

so that for each  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$  and  $h$ ,  $\bar{G}_h$  vanishes on  $W_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}$ . Since  $\bar{G}_h$  has degree and height bounded in terms of  $d$  and the polynomials defining the variety  $W_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}$  have degree and height bounded by  $O(T)$ , by

Lemma 5 there exist  $A_{h,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}, \gamma_{h,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and polynomials  $F_k, Q_k, R_k \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{A}, (X_k, Y_k)_{1 \leq k \leq K}]$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq K$ , such that

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} & A_{h,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} (\overline{G}_h)^{\gamma_{h,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \left( F_k \sum_{i+j \leq d} A_{i,j} X_k^i Y_k^j + Q_k \Phi_{m_k}(X_k) + R_k \Phi_{n_k}(Y_k) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(16) \quad \log A_{h,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} = O\left(T^{(45d^2+3d+10)/2}\right)$$

since the total number of variables  $(\mathbf{A}, (X_k, Y_k)_{1 \leq k \leq K})$  is

$$(d+1)(d+2)/2 + 2K = (45d^2 + 3d + 6)/2.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{A} = \prod_{h,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} A_{h,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}},$$

where the product is taken over all  $K$ -tuples  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$  with coordinates less than  $T$  and  $1 \leq h \leq H$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2, by (10) and (16) the number of prime factors of  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies the bound

$$O\left(\frac{T^{(89d^2+3d+14)/2}}{\log T}\right) = o\left(\frac{z}{\log z}\right)$$

since  $H$  is bounded in terms of  $d$ . Suppose  $\bar{\alpha} = \{a_{i,j}\}_{i+j \leq d}$  has integer coordinates and let

$$f(X, Y) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} a_{i,j} X^i Y^j \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y].$$

Take a prime  $p$  not dividing  $\mathfrak{A}$  and assume  $f$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_p[X, Y]$ . Suppose  $f$  has at least  $dK$  solutions

$$(x_k, y_k) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \quad 1 \leq k \leq dK,$$

such that for each  $k$

$$\text{ord } x_k = m_k \leq T, \quad \text{ord } y_k = n_k \leq T.$$

Since for each  $x_k$  the polynomial  $f(x_k, Y) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[Y]$  has at most  $d$  roots in  $Y$ , we may suppose that  $x_{k_1} \neq x_{k_2}$  for  $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq K$ . Considering (15), since for  $1 \leq k \leq K$  the  $x_k$  are distinct and  $p$  does not divide  $\mathfrak{A}$ , we have for each  $1 \leq h \leq H$

$$G_h(\{a_{i,j}\}_{i+j \leq d}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Hence by (14) for some integers  $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}$  and some  $\nu = 0, 1$  we have

$$\{a_{i,j}\}_{i+j \leq d} \in V_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, p}^\nu.$$

Considering (11) and writing

$$\tilde{g}_{r, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^\nu = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \tilde{g}_{r, \ell}^\nu \rho^\ell, \quad \tilde{g}_{r, \ell}^\nu \in \mathbb{Z}[\{A_{i,j}\}_{i+j \leq d}],$$

by the Extension Theorem from Elimination Theory (see [10, Chapter 3.1, Theorem 3]), if

$$(17) \quad \tilde{g}_{r, L}^\nu(\{a_{i,j}\}_{i+j \leq d}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for at least one  $1 \leq r \leq R$ , then there exists  $\bar{\rho} \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$  such that

$$(\{a_{i,j}\}_{i+j \leq d}, \bar{\rho}) \in \tilde{V}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, p}^\nu$$

which implies  $f$  vanishes on the curve  $\Phi_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^\nu(X, Y, \bar{\rho})$ . To complete the proof we need to show that if

$$f(X, Y) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} a_{i,j} X^i Y^j \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$$

is irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_p[X, Y]$ , then we have (17) for some  $r$ . Since

$$f(X, Y) = \sum_{j=0}^d \left( \sum_{i \leq d-j} a_{i,j} X^i \right) Y^j$$

we see that there exists some  $i_0 > 0$  such that  $a_{i_0, j} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Since otherwise  $Y$  would be a factor of  $f(X, Y)$  contradicting the assumption that  $f$  is irreducible.

Consider first when  $\nu = 0$ , then supposing  $i_0$  is the largest integer such that  $a_{i_0, 0} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Let

$$(18) \quad f_0(X) = \sum_{i \leq i_0} a_{i, 0} X^i.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_Y(f(X, Y), \Phi_{\alpha, \bar{\beta}}^0(X, Y, \rho)) \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} f_0(X) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & f_0(X) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & f_0(X) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \rho X^{\bar{\alpha}} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \rho X^{\bar{\alpha}} & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \rho X^{\bar{\alpha}} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

so that the highest power of  $\rho$  occurring in the above expression is  $\rho^d$ . Inductively expanding the determinant along successive bottom rows, we see that the only term involving  $\rho^d$  is

$$(19) \quad \rho^d X^{d\bar{\alpha}} \left( \sum_{i \leq i_0} a_{i,0} X^i \right)^{\bar{\beta}}.$$

Considering the highest power of  $X$  in (19), if we assume that (17) is not satisfied for each  $1 \leq r \leq R$  then we must have  $a_{i_0,0} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , contradicting the choice of  $a_{i_0,0}$ .

For the case  $\nu = 1$ , with  $f_0(X)$  defined as in (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_Y(f(X, Y), \Phi_{\alpha, \bar{\beta}}^1(X, Y, \rho)) \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} f_0(X) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & f_0(X) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & f_0(X) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ X^{\bar{\alpha}} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \rho & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & X^{\bar{\alpha}} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \rho & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & X^{\bar{\alpha}} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \rho \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and the rest of the argument is similar to the case  $\nu = 0$ .

## 5. COMMENTS

We note that the argument of the proof of Theorem 2 shows that there is a constant  $c(\mathcal{V})$ , depending only on  $\mathcal{V}$  such that if a prime  $p \geq \exp(c(\mathcal{V})T^n)$  then for any positive integers  $t_1, \dots, t_n \leq T$  we have  $p \nmid b(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , where  $b(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is given by (3).

This implies that for any prime we have

$$(20) \quad \max\{\text{ord } x_1, \dots, \text{ord } x_n\} > (\log p)^{1/n}$$

for every point  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{V}_p$ .

We note that for  $m = 1$  and  $n = 2$ , that is, for plain curves, the exponents in Theorem 2 and in (20) become  $1/4$  and  $1/2$ , respectively, which are the same exponents as the ones obtained in [8] via resultants.

Finally, we remark that if we restrict ourselves to the points on  $\mathcal{V}_p$  that are defined over the ground field then using a result of Erdős and Murty [11, Theorem 2] one can show that for any function  $\varepsilon(z)$  with  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(z) = 0$ , there is a set of primes  $p$  of relative density 1 such that for all but at most  $C(\mathcal{V})$  points  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{V}_p$  with components from  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we have

$$\max\{\text{ord } x_1, \dots, \text{ord } x_n\} > p^{1/2n + \varepsilon(p)}.$$

Finally, we note that our results is related to the problem of construction so called *variety evasive sets* considered by Dvir, Kollár and Lovett [12]. In particular, Theorem 2 shows that for a given variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , that does not contain a monomial curve, for almost all primes  $p$ , Cartesian products of small order subgroups of  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$  gives explicit examples of such sets.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Research partially supported by the National Science Foundation, USA, and by the Australian Research Council.

M.-C. Chang is very grateful to the Department of Mathematics of the University of California at Berkeley for hospitality

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. Ahmadi, I. E. Shparlinski and J. F. Voloch, ‘Multiplicative order of Gauss periods’, *Intern. J. Number Theory*, **6** (2010), 877–882.
- [2] I. Aliev and C. J. Smyth, ‘Solving algebraic equations in roots of unity’, *Forum Math.*, **24** (2012), 641–665.
- [3] F. Beukers and C. J. Smyth, ‘Cyclotomic points on curves’, *Number theory for the millenium (Urbana, Illinois, 2000)*, I, A K Peters, 2002, 67–85.
- [4] E. Bombieri and W. Gubler, *Heights in Diophantine geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [5] J. Bourgain, M. Z. Garaev, S. V. Konyagin and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘On the hidden shifted power problem’, *SIAM J. Comp.*, **41** (2012), 1524–1557.
- [6] J. F. Burkhart, N. J. Calkin, S. Gao, J. C. Hyde-Volpe, K. James, H. Maharaj, S. Manber, J. Ruiz and E. Smith, ‘Finite field elements of high order arising from modular curve’, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, **51** (2009), 301–314.

- [7] M.-C. Chang, ‘Order of Gauss periods in large characteristic’, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **17** (2013), 621–628.
- [8] M.-C. Chang, ‘Elements of large order in prime finite fields’, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **88** (2013), 169–176.
- [9] Q. Cheng, S. Gao and D. Wan, ‘Constructing high order elements through subspace polynomials’ *Proc. 23rd ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, SIAM Press, 2012, 1457–1463.
- [10] D. A. Cox, J. Little and D. O’Shea, *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms*, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [11] P. Erdős and R. Murty, ‘On the order of  $a \pmod{p}$ ’, *Proc. 5th Canadian Number Theory Association Conf.*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, 87–97.
- [12] Z. Dvir, J. Kollár and S. Lovett, ‘Variety evasive sets’, *Comp. Complex.*, (to appear).
- [13] K. Ford, ‘The distribution of integers with a divisor in a given interval’, *Annals Math.*, **168** (2008), 367–433.
- [14] J. von zur Gathen and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Gauss periods in finite fields’, *Proc. 5th Conference of Finite Fields and their Applications, Augsburg, 1999*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, 162–177.
- [15] T. Krick, L. M. Pardo and M. Sombra, ‘Sharp estimates for the arithmetic Nullstellensatz’, *Duke Math. J.*, **109** (2001), 521–598.
- [16] L. Leroux, ‘Computing the torsion points of a variety defined by lacunary polynomials’, *Math. Comp.* **81** (2012), 1587–1607.
- [17] R. Popovych, ‘Elements of high order in finite fields of the form  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\Phi_r(x)$ ’, *Finite Fields Appl.*, **18** (2012), 700–710.
- [18] R. Popovych, ‘Elements of high order in finite fields of the form  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^m - a)$ ’, *Finite Fields Appl.*, **19** (2013), 86–92.
- [19] V. Shoup, ‘Searching for primitive roots in finite fields’, *Math. Comp.* **58** (1992), 369–380.
- [20] I. E. Shparlinski, ‘On primitive elements in finite fields and on elliptic curves’, *Matem. Sbornik*, **181** (1990), 1196–1206 (in Russian).
- [21] I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Approximate constructions in finite fields’, *Proc. 3rd Conf. on Finite Fields and Appl., Glasgow, 1995*, London Math. Soc., Lect. Note Series, 1996, v.233, 313–332.
- [22] I. Shparlinski, ‘On the multiplicative orders of  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma + \gamma^{-1}$  over finite fields’, *Finite Fields Appl.*, **7** (2001), 327–331.
- [23] J. F. Voloch, ‘On the order of points on curves over finite fields’, *Integers*, **7** (2007), Article A49, 4 pp.
- [24] J. F. Voloch, ‘Elements of high order on finite fields from elliptic curves’, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **81** (2010), 425–429.
- [25] U. Zannier, *Lecture notes on Diophantine analysis*, Publ. Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 2009.
- [26] U. Zannier, *Some problems of unlikely intersections in arithmetic and geometry*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 2012.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE,  
CA 92521, USA

*E-mail address:* `mcc@math.ucr.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTING, MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY, SYDNEY, NSW 2109,  
AUSTRALIA

*E-mail address:* `bryce.kerr@mq.edu.au`

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTING, MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY, SYDNEY, NSW 2109,  
AUSTRALIA

*E-mail address:* `igor.shparlinski@mq.edu.au`

SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PIAZZA DEI CAVALIERI, 7, 56126 PISA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* `u.zannier@sns.it`