

# ON A PAPER OF ERDÖS AND SZEKERES

J. BOURGAIN AND M.-C. CHANG

ABSTRACT. Propositions 1.1 – 1.3 stated below contribute to results and certain problems considered in [E-S], on the behavior of products  $\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - z^{a_j})$ ,  $1 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$  integers. In the discussion below,  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  will be either a proportional subset of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  or a set of large arithmetic diameter.

## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to revisit some of the questions put forward in the paper [E-S] of Erdos and Szekeres.

Following [E-S], define

$$M(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \max_{|z|=1} \prod_{i=1}^n |1 - z^{a_i}| \quad (1.1)$$

where we assume  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$  positive integers (in this paper, we restrict ourselves to distinct integers  $a_1 < \dots < a_n$ ).

Denote

$$f(n) = \min_{a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n} M(a_1, \dots, a_n) \quad \text{and} \quad f_*(n) = \min_{a_1 < \dots < a_n} M(a_1, \dots, a_n). \quad (1.2)$$

It was proven in [E-S] that

$$f(n) \geq \sqrt{2n}. \quad (1.3)$$

This lower bound remains presently still unimproved.

In the other direction, [E-S] establish an upper bound

$$f(n) < \exp(n^{1-c}) \text{ for some } c > 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Subsequent improvements were given by Atkinson [A]

$$f(n) = \exp\{O(n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log n)\} \quad (1.5)$$

and Odlyzko [O]

$$f(n) = \exp\{O(n^{\frac{1}{3}}(\log n)^{4/3})\}. \quad (1.6)$$

Also to be mentioned is a construction due to Kolountzakis ([Kol2], [Kol4]) of a sequence  $1 < a_1 < \dots < a_n < 2n + O(\sqrt{n})$  for which

$$f_*(n) \leq M(a_1, \dots, a_n) < \exp\{O(n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log n)\} \quad (1.7)$$

(Note that Odlyzko's construction does not come with distinct frequencies).

As shown by Atkinson [A], there is a relation between the [E-S] problem and the *cosine-minimum problem*.

Define

$$M_2(n) = \inf \left\{ - \min_{\theta} \sum_{j=1}^n \cos a_j \theta \right\} \quad (1.8)$$

with infimum taken over integer sets  $a_1 < \dots < a_n$ .

Then

$$\log f_*(n) < O(M_2(n) \log n). \quad (1.9)$$

The problem of determining  $M_2(n)$  was put forward by Ankeny and Chowla [C1] motivated by questions on zeta functions.

It is known that  $M_2(n) = O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$  and conjectured by Chowla that in fact  $M_2(n) \sim n^{\frac{1}{2}}$  [C2]. The current best lower bound is due to Ruzsa [R]

$$M_2(n) > \exp(c\sqrt{\log n}) \quad (1.10)$$

for some  $c > 0$ .

As pointed out in [O], polynomials of the form (1.1) are also of interest in connection to Schinzel's problem [S] of bounding the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial on the unit circle in terms of its degree and  $L^2$ -norm.

Propositions 1.1 and 1.2 in this paper establish new results for 'dense' sets  $S = \{a_1 < \dots < a_n\}$ . The former improves upon (1.7).

**Proposition 1.1.** *There is a subset  $\{a_1 < \dots < a_n\} \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $n \asymp \frac{N}{2}$ , such that*

$$M(a_1, \dots, a_n) < \exp(c\sqrt{n}\sqrt{\log n} \log \log n). \quad (1.11)$$

On the other hand, the following holds

**Proposition 1.2.** *There is a constant  $\tau > 0$  such that if  $\{a_1 < \dots < a_n\} \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$  and  $n > (1 - \tau)N$ , then*

$$M(a_1, \dots, a_n) > \exp \tau n. \quad (1.12)$$

The latter result generalizes the comment made in [E-S] that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [M(1, 2, \dots, n)]^{1/n} \quad (1.13)$$

exists and is between 1 and 2.

In converse direction, one may prove new lower bounds on  $M(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  assuming that the set  $\{a_1 < \dots < a_n\}$  has a sufficiently large arithmetic diameter.

First, we are recalling the notion of a ‘*dissociated set*’ of integers. We say that  $D = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$  is dissociated provided  $D$  does not admit non-trivial  $0, 1, -1$  relations. Thus

$$\varepsilon_1 \nu_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_m \nu_m = 0 \quad \text{with } \varepsilon_1 = 0, 1, -1 \quad (1.14)$$

implies

$$\varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_m = 0.$$

A more detailed discussion of this notion and its relation to lacunarity appears in §5 of the paper.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Assume  $\{a_1 < \dots < a_n\}$  contains a dissociated set of size  $m$ . Then*

$$\log M(a_1, \dots, a_n) \gg \frac{m^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}{(\log n)^{1/2}}. \quad (1.15)$$

Hence (1.15) improves upon (1.3) as soon as

$$m \gg (\log n)^{3+\varepsilon}. \quad (1.16)$$

## 2. Preliminary estimates

Let

$$z = e(\theta) = e^{2\pi i\theta}.$$

By taking the real part of  $\text{Log}(1 - e^{2\pi i\theta}) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} e^{2\pi i k\theta}$ , we have

$$\log |1 - z| = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2\pi k\theta}{k}.$$

Therefore, we have

**Fact 1.**

$$\prod_{j=1}^n |1 - z^{a_j}| = e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2\pi k a_j \theta}{k}}.$$

We first establish some preliminary inequalities for later use.

Since the function  $e^x$  is convex, we obtain for any probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  that

$$\prod_{j=1}^n |1 - e(a_j\theta)| * \mu \geq e^{-(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2\pi k a_j \cdot}{k}) * \mu(\theta)}$$

and therefore we have

**Fact 2.**

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^n |1 - e(a_j\theta)| \right\|_{\infty} \geq e^{-\min_{\theta} \{ \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2\pi k a_j \cdot}{k} * \mu \}(\theta)}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.**

$$\log |1 - e^{2\pi i\theta}| \leq -\sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\rho^j}{j} \cos 2\pi j\theta + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{J}}\right) \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\rho = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}}$  and (2.1) is valid for all  $\theta$ .

*Proof.* We rely on a calculation that appears in [O], Proposition 1.

Use the inequality ([O], (2.4))

$$\left| \frac{1 - e^{i\theta}}{1 - \rho e^{i\theta}} \right| \leq \frac{2}{1 + \rho} \text{ for } \theta \in [0, 2\pi], 0 < \rho < 1. \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
\log |1 - e^{i\theta}| &\leq \log |1 - \rho e^{i\theta}| + \log \frac{2}{1 + \rho} \\
&= - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^j}{j} \cos j\theta + \log \frac{2}{1 + \rho} \\
&\leq - \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\rho^j}{j} \cos j\theta + \frac{\rho^J}{J(1 - \rho)} + C(1 - \rho)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

by partial summation and since

$$\log \frac{2}{1 + \rho} = - \log \left(1 - \frac{1 - \rho}{2}\right).$$

Thus (2.1) follows from (2.3) with  $\rho$  as above.

□

**Proposition 2.2.** *There is a subset  $\{a_1 \dots a_m\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  of size*

$$m \asymp \frac{n}{2}$$

and

$$\left\| \prod_{k=1}^m |1 - z^{a_k}| \right\|_{L^\infty(|z|=1)} \leq e^{c\sqrt{n}\sqrt{\log n}(\log \log n)}. \tag{2.4}$$

**Remark.** (2.4) is a slight improvement of the estimate

$$\left\| \prod_{k=1}^m |1 - z^{a_k}| \right\|_{L^\infty(|z|=1)} \leq e^{c\sqrt{n} \log n}$$

resulting from a construction in [Koll], p. 162 of a set  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$  as above and such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \cos 2\pi a_k \theta \geq -c\sqrt{m}$$

and Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \prod_{k=1}^m |(1 - 2a_k)| &\leq - \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\rho^j}{j} \sum_{k=1}^m \cos 2\pi a_k(j\theta) + O\left(\frac{m}{\sqrt{J}}\right) \\
&\leq C(\log J)\sqrt{m} + O\left(\frac{m}{\sqrt{J}}\right) \\
&< C \log n \sqrt{n},
\end{aligned}$$

taking  $J = m^2$ .

**Proof of Proposition 2.2.** Take independent selectors  $(\xi_j)_{1 \leq j < n}$  with values 0, 1 and mean  $\mathbb{E}[\xi_j] = 1 - \frac{j}{n}$ . Let  $F_n(\theta) = 2 \sum_{0 < j < n} (1 - \frac{j}{n}) \cos 2\pi j\theta + 1$  be the Fejer kernel

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \cos a_k \theta = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \xi_\ell \cos \ell \theta = \frac{1}{2} F_n(\theta) - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{\ell=1}^n (\xi_\ell - \mathbb{E}[\xi_\ell]) \cos \ell \theta. \quad (2.5)$$

By Lemma 2.1 (applies with  $J = n^{10}$ )

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \log |1 - e^{2\pi i a_k \theta}| \leq - \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\rho^j}{j} \cos 2\pi j a_k \theta + O\left(\frac{m}{\sqrt{J}}\right) \quad (2.6)$$

and we take  $J$  at least  $n$  to bound the last term in the right hand side of (2.5) by  $\sqrt{n}$ . We analyze the first term. Inserting (2.5) gives the sum of the following two expressions ((2.7) and (2.8))

$$- \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\rho^j}{j} \left( \frac{1}{2} F_n(j\theta) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (2.7)$$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\rho^j}{j} (\xi_\ell - \mathbb{E}[\xi_\ell]) \cos 2\pi j \ell \theta. \quad (2.8)$$

Since  $F_n(j\theta) \geq 0$ , (2.7)  $\leq \log J$ .

Rewrite

$$(2.8) = - \sum_{\ell=1}^n (\xi_\ell - \mathbb{E}[\xi_\ell]) \left[ \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\rho^j}{j} \cos 2\pi j \ell \theta \right]. \quad (2.9)$$

Note that all frequencies in (2.9) are bounded by  $nJ$ .

Applying the probabilistic Salem-Zygmund inequality [Kol3] shows that with large probability

$$(2.9) \lesssim \sqrt{\log nJ} \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\rho^j}{j} \cos 2\pi j \ell \theta \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Our next task is to evaluate the expression  $\sum_{\ell=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\rho^j}{j} \cos 2\pi j \ell \theta \right|^2$ .

A first observation is that we can assume

$$\|\theta\| > \frac{1}{10n} \quad (2.11)$$

since otherwise

$$|1 - e^{2\pi i a_k \theta}| \leq 2\pi a_k \|\theta\| < \frac{2\pi}{10} < 1$$

for all  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , and also the left hand side of (2.4) is bounded by 1.

Next, we note that (since  $\rho = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\rho^j}{j} \cos 2\pi j \ell \theta \right| &\leq |\log |1 - \rho e(\ell \theta)|| + \frac{\rho^J}{J(1 - \rho)} \\ &< |\log |1 - \rho e(\ell \theta)|| + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\rho^j}{j} \cos 2\pi j \ell \theta \right|^2 \lesssim \sum_{\ell=1}^n |\log |1 - \rho e(\ell \theta)||^2 + n. \quad (2.12)$$

Fix  $\theta$  and for  $1 < R \lesssim \log J$  define the dyadic set

$$S_R = \{1 \leq \ell \leq n : |\log |1 - \rho e(\ell \theta)|| \sim R\}.$$

Thus for  $\ell \in S_R$

$$\|\ell \theta\| < |1 - \rho e(\ell \theta)| < e^{-cR} =: \varepsilon.$$

Let  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  be the smallest integer with  $\|q\theta\| < 2\varepsilon$ . It follows that  $|S_R| \lesssim \frac{n}{q} + 1$ . Assuming  $q > R^3$ , one obtains

$$\sum_{\ell \in S_R} |\log |1 - \rho e(\ell \theta)||^2 \lesssim \left( \frac{n}{R^3} + 1 \right) R^2$$

with collected contribution (summing over dyadic  $R$ )

$$\sim n + (\log J)^2. \quad (2.13)$$

It remains to consider  $\theta$ 's with the property that for some large  $R$  and  $q < R^3$ ,

$$\|q\theta\| < e^{-cR}.$$

Hence either  $\theta$  admits a rational approximation

$$\left| \theta - \frac{a}{q} \right| < \frac{e^{-cR}}{q} < e^{-cR}, \quad q < R^3 \quad \text{and} \quad (a, q) = 1 \quad (2.14)$$

or (in (2.14) when  $a = 0$ ), by (2.11)

$$\frac{1}{n} \lesssim \|\theta\| < e^{-cR}. \quad (2.15)$$

Consider first the case (2.15). Then

$$|S_R| \leq |\{\ell = 1, \dots, n : \|\ell\theta\| < e^{-cR}\}| \lesssim ne^{-cR}$$

and the above estimate still holds.

Assume next that  $\theta$  satisfies (2.14). Write

$$\theta = \frac{a}{q} + \psi \quad \text{with } \beta = |\psi| < e^{-cR}. \quad (2.16)$$

First, we consider the case  $\beta \gtrsim \frac{1}{nq}$ .

Let  $V \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  be an interval of size  $\sim \frac{1}{q\beta}$  so that  $\{\ell\theta : \ell \in V\}$  consists of  $q\beta$ -separated points filling a fraction of  $[0, 1] \pmod{1}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell \in V} |\log |1 - \rho e(\ell\theta)||^2 &\lesssim \frac{1}{\beta q} \int_0^1 |\log |1 - \rho e(t)||^2 dt + \log^2(1 - \rho) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\beta q} + \log^2 J \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^n |\log |1 - \rho e(\ell\theta)||^2 \lesssim n + nq\beta \log^2 n \lesssim n$$

unless

$$q\beta \log^2 n > 1, \text{ i.e. } \log n > e^{cR} \text{ or } R \lesssim \log \log n$$

where we used (2.14). Thus if  $\beta \gtrsim \frac{1}{nq}$ , (2.12)  $\lesssim n(\log \log n)^2$ .

The next case is  $\beta < \frac{1}{100nq}$ .

It follows that for  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$

$$\left| \ell\theta - \frac{\ell a}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{100q}. \quad (2.17)$$

We obtain

$$\sum_{q|\ell} |\log |1 - \rho e(\ell\theta)||^2 \lesssim n \int_0^1 |\log |1 - \rho e(t)||^2 dt \lesssim n$$



and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{q|\ell} |\log |1 - \rho e(\ell\theta)||^2 &\sim \frac{1}{q\beta} \int_0^{n\beta} |\log |1 - \rho e(t)||^2 dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{q\beta} \int_0^{n\beta} \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^2 dt \\
&\lesssim \frac{n}{q} (\log n\beta)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

We obtain again a bound  $O(n)$  unless

$$|\log n\beta| > \sqrt{q}$$

i.e.

$$\beta < \frac{e^{-\sqrt{q}}}{n}. \tag{2.19}$$

Thus (2.17) may be replaced by

$$\left| \ell\theta - \ell \frac{a}{q} \right| < e^{-\sqrt{q}} \text{ for } 1 \leq \ell \leq n. \tag{2.20}$$

For  $\theta$  satisfying (2.20) we proceed in a different way. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod |1 - e(a_k\theta)| &= \prod_{j=1}^n |1 - e(j\theta)|^{\xi_j} \\
&\lesssim \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \left| 1 - e\left(j \frac{a}{q}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{q^{10}} \right)^{\xi_j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

We replace  $\xi_j$  by its expectation  $\mathbb{E}[\xi_j] = 1 - \frac{j}{n}$  using again a random argument. Thus if

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \left( \left| 1 - e\left(j \frac{a}{q}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{q^{10}} \right)^{1 - \frac{j}{n}} \tag{2.22}$$

we have

$$|\log(2.21) - \log(2.22)| \leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \xi_j - \mathbb{E}[\xi_j] \right) \log \left( \left| 1 - e\left(j \frac{a}{q}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{q^{10}} \right) \right|. \tag{2.23}$$

Recall that  $q < R^3 \lesssim (\log J)^3 \sim (\log n)^3$ . Thus with high probability we may bound (2.23) by  $c\sqrt{n} \sqrt{\log \log n} \log q < c\sqrt{n} (\log \log n)^3$ .

Hence

$$(2.21) \leq e^{c\sqrt{n}(\log \log n)^3} (2.22).$$

Partition  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  in intervals  $I = [rq, (r+1)q - 1]$  and estimate for each such interval

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{j \in I} \left( \left| 1 - e\left(j \frac{a}{q}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{q^{10}} \right)^{1 - \frac{j}{n}} \\
& \leq q^{c \frac{q^2}{n}} \left[ \frac{1}{q^{10}} \prod_{s=1}^{q-1} \left( \left| 1 - e\left(s \frac{a}{q}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{q^{10}} \right) \right]^{1 - \frac{rq}{n}} \\
& \leq q^{c \frac{q^2}{n}} \left[ \frac{1}{q^{10}} \prod_{s=1}^{q-1} \left| 1 - e\left(\frac{s}{q}\right) \right| \right]^{1 - \frac{rq}{n}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

The product  $\prod_{s=1}^{q-1} \left| 1 - e\left(\frac{s}{q}\right) \right|$  may be evaluated using Lemma 2.1 taking  $J = q^2$ ,  $\rho = 1 - \frac{1}{q}$ . Thus clearly

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{s=1}^{q-1} \log \left| 1 - e\left(\frac{s}{q}\right) \right| & \leq - \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\rho^j}{j} \sum_{s=1}^{q-1} \cos 2\pi j \frac{s}{q} + O(1) \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq J \\ q \nmid j}} \frac{\rho^j}{j} + q \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq J \\ q \mid j}} \frac{\rho^j}{j} + O(1) \\
& < \log q + C
\end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$(2.24) < q^{c \frac{q^2}{n}} \left( \frac{1}{q^{10}} e^{\log q + c} \right)^{1 - \frac{rq}{n}} < q^{c \frac{q^2}{n}}. \tag{2.25}$$

Since (2.22) is obtained as product of (2.24), (2.25) over the intervals  $I$ , we showed that

$$(2.22) < q^{c \frac{q^2}{n}} n 2^q < e^{(\log n)^3}.$$

Thus the preceding shows that if  $\theta$  satisfies (2.20), then

$$\prod |1 - e(a_k \theta)| < e^{c \sqrt{n} (\log \log n)^3}. \tag{2.26}$$

Going back to (2.10), omitting the case (2.20) estimated by (2.26), we obtained the bound  $cn(\log \log n)^2$  on (2.12) which permits to majorize (2.8) by  $c\sqrt{n} \log n (\log \log n)$  and  $\prod |1 - e(a_k \theta)|$  by  $e^{c\sqrt{n} \log n \log \log n}$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 2.2.

### 3. Almost full proportion

It was observed in [E-S] that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(1, \dots, n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (3.1)$$

exists and lies strictly between 1 and 2.

This fact is in contrast with Proposition 2.2 which gives a subset  $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $|S| \asymp \frac{n}{2}$  s.t.

$$\log M(S) \lesssim \sqrt{n}(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log \log n. \quad (3.2)$$

However

**Proposition 3.1.** *There is a constant  $\tau > 0$  such that if  $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  satisfies  $|S| > (1 - \tau)n$ , then*

$$\log M(S) > cn \quad (3.3)$$

for some  $c > 0$ .

Thus (3.3) generalizes (3.1) in some sense, but in view of (3.2), it fails dramatically if we do not assume  $1 - \frac{|S|}{n}$  small enough.

#### Proof of Proposition 3.1.

It will be convenient to use Fact 2 for an appropriate  $\mu$ -convolution, which allow us to estimate the tail contribution in the  $k$ -summation.

Thus consider

$$\begin{aligned} & - \min_{\theta} \left\{ \sum_{j \in S} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2\pi k j \cdot}{k} * \mu \right\}(\theta) \\ &= - \min_{\theta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in S} \frac{\hat{\mu}(jk)}{k} \cos 2\pi k j \theta \\ &\geq - \min_{\theta} \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\hat{\mu}(jk)}{k} \cos 2\pi k j \theta \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - (\log k_0) \pi n \\ & - \sum_{k > k_0} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|\hat{\mu}(jk)|}{k} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

since we assumed  $|S| > (1 - \tau)n$ .

Separating in (3.4) the cases  $k = 1$ , and  $2 \leq k \leq k_0$ , we write

$$(3.4) \geq - \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \cos 2\pi j\theta \right) - \sum_{j=1}^n |1 - \hat{\mu}(j)| \\ - \sum_{k=2}^{k_0} \frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{\mu}(jk) \cos 2\pi kj\theta \right|. \quad (3.6)$$

Take  $\mu = F_{nR}(\theta)$ ,  $R > 1$  an appropriate constant and  $F_{nR}(\theta)$  the Féjer kernel.

Thus

$$\widehat{F}_{nR}(s) = 1 - \frac{|s|}{nR} \quad \text{for } |s| \leq nR \\ = 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Take  $\theta = \frac{3}{4n}$ . The first term in (3.6) becomes, since

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \cos jx = \frac{1}{2} D_n(x) - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{where } D_n(x) = \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})x}{\sin \frac{x}{2}}$$

is the Dirichlet kernel,

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sin \frac{3\pi}{2n}(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\sin \frac{3\pi}{4n}} \sim + \frac{1}{2 \sin \frac{3\pi}{4n}}.$$

The second term is

$$- \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{j}{nR} = - \frac{n+1}{2R}.$$

The third term becomes

$$- \sum_{k=2}^{k_0} \frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{jk}{nR}\right)_+ \cos \pi \frac{3kj}{2n} \right|. \quad (3.7)$$

By partial summation, the inner sum is bounded by

$$\max_{j_1 \leq \min(n, \frac{nR}{k})} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{j_1} \cos \pi \frac{3kj}{2n} \right| \\ = \max_{j_1 \leq \min(n, \frac{nR}{k})} \left| \frac{1}{2} D_{j_1} \left( \frac{3}{2} \pi \frac{k}{n} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2 \left| \sin \frac{3}{4} \pi \frac{k}{n} \right|} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

For  $k < k_0 = o(n)$ , the first term

$$\sim \frac{1}{2k \sin \frac{3\pi}{4n}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) &\geq - \sum_{k=2}^{k_0} \frac{1}{2k^2} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{3\pi}{4n}} - \log k_0 \\ &\geq - \frac{1}{2 \sin \frac{3\pi}{4n}} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1 \right) - \log k_0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the preceding that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) &\geq + \frac{1}{2 \sin \frac{3\pi}{4n}} \left( 2 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right) - \log k_0 - \frac{n+1}{2R} \\ &= cn - \log k_0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $R$  a sufficiently large constant.

We bound (3.5) by

$$(3.5) \geq - \sum_{k \geq k_0} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j \leq \frac{nR}{k}} 1 \geq - \sum_{k \geq k_0} \frac{nR}{k^2} \geq - \frac{R}{k_0} n.$$

In summary, we proved that

$$- \sum_{j \in S} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\mu}(jk)}{k} \cos 2\pi jk \frac{3}{4n} \geq cn - \log k_0 - \tau(\log k_0)n - \frac{C'n}{k_0} > \frac{c}{2}n$$

by choosing first  $k_0$  large enough and then assuming  $\tau$  sufficiently small.

This proves Proposition 3.1.

#### 4. Sets with large arithmetical Diameter

As we pointed out the general lower bound  $M(a_1, \dots, a_n) > \sqrt{n}$  remains unimproved. However Proposition 4.1 stated below shows that in certain cases one can do better.

First, we give the following definition.

**Definition.**  $D = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$  is called dissociated provided the relation

$$\varepsilon_1 v_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_m v_m = 0 \quad \text{with } \varepsilon_i = 0, 1, -1$$

implies that  $\varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_m = 0$ .

We note that Hadamard lacunary sets are dissociated.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Assume  $S = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  contains a dissociated set  $D$  of size  $m$ . Then*

$$\log M(a_1, \dots, a_n) \gg \frac{m^{\frac{1}{2}-o(1)}}{(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Thus (4.1) improves the general lower bound from [E-S] provided  $m > (\log n)^{3+\varepsilon}$ .

**Remark.** By a result of Pisier [P], our assumption is equivalent to  $S$  containing a Sidon set  $\Lambda$  of size  $|\Lambda| \sim m$ . Here ‘Sidon set’ is in the harmonic analysis sense i.e.

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in \Lambda} \lambda_n e(n\theta) \right\|_{\infty} \geq c \sum |\lambda_n| \text{ for all scalars } \{\lambda_n\}$$

with  $c = c(\Lambda)$  to be considered as a constant. (This concept is different from the Sidon sets in combinatorics!).

Dissociated sets are Sidon and conversely, Pisier proved that if  $\Lambda$  is a finite Sidon set, then  $\Lambda$  contains a proportional dissociated set.

**Proof of Proposition 4.1.**

We derive (4.1) from the equivalent statement

$$\max_{\theta} (\log |1 - e(a_1\theta)| + \dots + \log |1 - e(a_n\theta)|) \gg \frac{m^{\frac{1}{2}-o(1)}}{(\log n)^{1/2}} \quad (4.2)$$

which, since  $\int \log |1 - e(a\theta)| = 0$  for  $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , is a consequence of the stronger claim that

$$\|F\|_1 \gg \frac{m^{\frac{1}{2}-o(1)}}{(\log n)^{1/2}} \quad (4.3)$$

denoting

$$F(\theta) = \log |1 - e(a_1\theta)| + \dots + \log |1 - e(a_n\theta)|.$$

Recall that by Fact 1

$$F(\theta) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} f(k\theta) \quad (4.4)$$

with

$$f(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^n \cos(2\pi a_j \theta).$$

We first perform a finite Mobius inversion on (4.4). Recall that

$$\sum_{\substack{d|k, d \leq r \\ d \text{ square free}}} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 < k \leq r \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d < r \\ \text{square free}}} F(d\theta) \frac{\mu(d)}{d} &= - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{d < r \\ \text{square free}}} \cos(2\pi a_j dk\theta) \frac{\mu(d)}{dk} \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2\pi a_j \ell\theta)}{\ell} \left[ \sum_{\substack{d|\ell, d < r \\ \text{square free}}} \mu(d) \right] \\ &= -f(\theta) - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\ell > r} \frac{\cos(2\pi a_j \ell\theta)}{\ell} \left[ \sum_{\substack{d|\ell, d < r \\ \text{square free}}} \mu(d) \right] \\ &= -f(\theta) + G(\theta), \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$G(\theta) = - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\ell > r} \frac{\cos(2\pi a_j \ell\theta)}{\ell} \left[ \sum_{\substack{d|\ell, d < r \\ \text{square free}}} \mu(d) \right].$$

Note also that

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{d|\ell, d < r \\ \text{square free}}} \mu(d) \right| \leq 2^{\omega(\ell)}, \tag{4.6}$$

where  $\omega(\ell)$  is the number of distinct prime factors of  $\ell$ .

Denote  $m$  the size of the largest dissociated set contained in  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . Our first task will be to bound the Fourier transform  $\|\hat{G}\|_{\infty}$  of  $G$ .

Thus given  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$|\hat{G}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j}{t} 2^{\omega(\frac{t}{a_j})}. \tag{4.7}$$

We will bound (4.7) by considering dyadic ranges, letting for  $K > r$  dyadic

$$J = J_K = \{j \in [1, n] : a_j | t \text{ and } \frac{t}{a_j} \sim K\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in J} \frac{a_j}{t} 2^{\omega(\frac{t}{a_j})} &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{j \in J} \left(\frac{a_j}{t}\right)^2} \left(\sum_{k \leq K} 4^{\omega(k)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim |J|^{\frac{1}{2}} K^{-1} K^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log K)^2 = \left(\frac{|J|}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log K)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Assume

$$|J| > \frac{K}{(\log K)^8}. \quad (4.9)$$

Our aim is to get a contradiction for appropriate choice of  $r$ .

At this point, we invoke the following result from [H-T] (see *Fq* (1.14)).

Denote

$$\psi(x, y) = |\{n \leq x : \text{if } p|n, \text{ then } p \leq y\}|.$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *For any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we have*

$$\psi(x, (\log x)^{1/\alpha}) < x^{1-\alpha+o(1)} \text{ for } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.10)$$

It follows from (4.9) that for any fixed  $1 > \alpha > 0$ , we have

$$|J| > 2\psi(K, (\log K)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}). \quad (4.11)$$

We make the following construction.

By (4.11), there is  $j_1 \in J$  such that  $\frac{t}{a_{j_1}}$  has a prime divisor  $p_1 > (\log K)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$  and we write  $\frac{t}{a_{j_1}} = p_1 b_1$ .

Next, let  $J_1 = \{j \in J : p_1 | \frac{t}{a_j}\}$ . Hence  $|J_1| < \frac{K}{p_1} + 1 < \frac{K}{(\log K)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} < \frac{|J|}{(\log K)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-8}}$  where we assume  $\alpha$  taken much smaller than  $\frac{1}{8}$ .

It follows that also

$$|J \setminus J_1| > \left(2 - \frac{1}{(\log K)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-8}}\right) \psi(K, (\log K)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})$$

which permits to introduce  $j_2 \in J \setminus J_1$  and a prime  $p_2 > (\log K)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$  such that  $p_2 | \frac{t}{a_{j_2}}$ . Write  $\frac{t}{a_{j_2}} = p_2 b_2$ . Clearly  $p_2 \neq p_1$  and  $p_1 \nmid b_2$ .

The contribution of the process is clear. We may introduce elements

$$j_1, \dots, j_s \in J \text{ with } s \gtrsim (\log K)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-8}$$



and prime divisors  $p_{s'} | \frac{t}{a_{j_{s'}}$ . Write  $\frac{t}{a_{j_{s'}}} = p_{s'} b_{s'}$  such that  $p_{s'} \nmid \frac{t}{a_{j_{s''}}}$  for  $s' < s''$ . Hence  $p_{s''} \neq p_{s'}$  for  $s' \neq s''$  and

$$p_{s'} \nmid b_{s''} \text{ for } s' < s''. \quad (4.12)$$

We claim that the set  $\{a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_s}\}$  is dissociated. Otherwise, there is a non-trivial relation

$$\varepsilon_1 a_{j_1} + \dots + \varepsilon_s a_{j_s} = 0 \quad \text{with } \varepsilon_{s'} = 0, 1, -1$$

which by the preceding translates in

$$\varepsilon_1 \frac{1}{p_1 b_1} + \dots + \varepsilon_s \frac{1}{p_s b_s} = 0$$

or

$$\sum_{s'=1}^s \varepsilon_{s'} \prod_{s'' \neq s'} p_{s''} b_{s''} = 0.$$

Let  $s_1$  be the smallest  $s'$  with  $\varepsilon_{s'} \neq 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{s'=s_1}^s \varepsilon_{s'} \prod_{\substack{s'' \neq s' \\ s'' \geq s_1}} p_{s''} b_{s''} = 0. \quad (4.13)$$

Since

$$p_{s_1} \left| \prod_{\substack{s'' \neq s' \\ s'' \geq s_1}} p_{s''} b_{s''} \text{ for } s' > s_1,$$

identity (4.13) implies

$$p_{s_1} \left| \prod_{s'' > s_1} b_{s''},$$

contradicting (4.12).

Hence  $\{a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_s}\}$  is dissociated and by definition of  $m$ ,

$$s \leq m$$

implying

$$m \geq (\log K)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-8} \quad \text{and} \quad \log r \leq m^{\frac{\alpha}{1-8\alpha}}.$$

Thus, by taking

$$\log r \sim m^{2\alpha} \quad (\alpha \text{ small enough})$$

we obtain a contradiction under assumption (4.9).

Hence

$$|J_K| < \frac{K}{(\log K)^8} \quad \text{for } K > r$$

and summing (4.8) over dyadic ranges of  $K > r$  gives the bound

$$|\hat{G}(t)| < \sum_{\substack{K > r \\ \text{dyadic}}} \frac{1}{(\log K)^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\log r}. \quad (4.14)$$

Consequently

$$\widehat{(4.5)}(t) = -\hat{f}(t) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log r}\right) = -\hat{f}(t) + o(1) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.15)$$

Since

$$\hat{f}(j) = \frac{1}{2},$$

we have

$$\widehat{(4.5)}(j) = -\frac{1}{2} + o(1). \quad (4.16)$$

Next, let  $D$  be a size  $m$  dissociated set in  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . Define

$$\varphi(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j \in D} e(j\theta).$$

Also, let  $\Phi, \Psi$  be the dual Orlicz functions

$$\Phi(x) = x\sqrt{\log(2+x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(x) = e^{x^2}.$$

It is well known (e.g. Theorem 3.1 in [Rud].) that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\Psi} = O(1).$$

By (4.16)

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - o(1)\right)\sqrt{m} \leq \left| \int_0^1 (4.5)\varphi(\theta) d\theta \right| \leq C\|(4.5)\|_{L^\Phi} \quad (4.17)$$

It remains to bound  $\|(4.5)\|_{L^\Phi}$ .

Estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int |(4.5)|\sqrt{\log(|(4.5)|+2)} d\theta \\ & \leq \sum_{j>0} 2^{j/2} \int_{2^{2^{j-1}} \leq \lambda \leq 2^{2^j}} \mu(M) d\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Where  $M = \{\theta : (4.5)(\theta) > \lambda\}$  and  $\mu$  is the measure. Using the left hand side of (4.5), the  $j$ -summands is bounded by

$$2^{j/2} \|(4.5)\|_1 \lesssim 2^{j/2} \log r \|F\|_1. \quad (4.19)$$

Also, let  $\Psi_1(u) = e^u$ . Then

$$\left\| \sum_{d \leq r} \frac{|F(d\theta)|}{d} \right\|_{L^{\Psi_1}} \leq (\log r) \|F\|_{L^{\Psi_1}} \lesssim n \log r,$$

since  $\|\log |1 - e^{i\theta}|\|_{L^{\Psi_1}} < \infty$ .

Thus also the bound

$$\mu(M) \leq e^{-c \frac{\lambda}{n \log r}}$$

implying the following bound for the  $j$ -summands

$$2^{j/2} 2^{2^j} e^{-c \frac{2^{2^j-1}}{n \log r}}. \quad (4.20)$$

Hence

$$(4.18) < \sum_j 2^{j/2} \min \left( (\log r) \|F\|_1, 2^{2^j} e^{-c \frac{2^{2^j-1}}{n \log r}} \right).$$

For  $2^{2^j-2} < n \log r$ , we get the contribution

$$(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log r \|F\|_1.$$

For  $2^{2^j-2} \geq n \log r$ , we bound by

$$(n \log r)^{4+\epsilon} e^{-cn \log r} + (n \log r)^{4+2+\epsilon} e^{-c(n \log r)^3} + \dots + (n \log r)^{4 \cdot 2^{u-1} + \epsilon} e^{-c(n \log r)^{2^u-1}} + \dots < O(1).$$

Hence

$$\|(4.5)\|_{L^\Phi} \lesssim (4.18) < (\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} m^{2\alpha} \|F\|_1 \quad (4.21)$$

recalling above choice for  $\log r$ .

Returning to (4.17), we proved that

$$\left( \frac{1}{2} - o(1) \right) m^{\frac{1}{2}-2\alpha} \lesssim (\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F\|_1$$

hence

$$\|F\|_1 \gtrsim m^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} (\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This proves (4.3) and hence Proposition 4.1.

### Acknowledgment

During the preparation of this paper, the first author was partially supported by the NSF Grant DMS 1301619 and the second author by the NSF Grant DMS 1301608.

### REFERENCES

- [A] F.V. Atkinson, *On a problem of Erdos and Szekeres*, Canad. Math. Bull., 4 (1961), 7–12.
- [C1] S. Chowla, *The Riemann zeta and allied functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc, 58 (1952), 287–305.
- [C2] S. Chowla, *Some applications of a method of A. Selberg*, J. reine angew. Math., 217 (1965), 128–132.
- [E-S] P. Erdos, G. Szekeres, *On the product  $\prod_{k=1}^n (1 - z^{a_k})$* , Publ. de l’Institut mathematique, 1950.
- [K-O] Y. Katznelson, D. Ornstein, *The differentiability of the conjugation of certain diffeomorphisms to the circle*, ETDA 9 (1989), no 4, 643–680.
- [Kol1] M.N. Kolountzakis, *On nonnegative cosine polynomials with nonnegative integral coefficients*, Proc. AMS 120 (1994), 157–163.
- [Kol2] M.N. Kolountzakis, *A construction related to the cosine problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1994), vol. 4, 1115–1119.
- [Kol3] M.N. Kolountzakis, *Some applications of probability to additive number theory and harmonic analysis*, Number theory (New York Seminar, 1991-1995), Springer, New York, (1996), 229–251.
- [Kol4] M.N. Kolountzakis, *The density of  $B_h[g]$  sets and the minimum of dense cosine sums*, J. Number Theory 56 (1996), 1, 4-11.
- [H-T] A. Hildebrand, G. Tenenbaum, *Integers without large prime factors*, J. Theorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, 5 (1993), no 2, 411–484.
- [O] A.M. Odlyzko, *Minima of cosine sums and maxima of polynomials on the unit circle*, J. London Math. Soc (2), 26 (1982), no 3, 412–420.
- [P] G. Pisier, *Arithmetic Characterization of Sidon Sets*, 8 (1983), Bull. AMS, 87-89.
- [Rud] W. Rudin, *Trigonometric series with gaps*, J. Math. Mech., 9 (1960), 203–227.
- [R] I.Z. Rusza, *Negative values of cosine sums*, Acta Arith. 111 (2004), 179-186.
- [S] A. Schinzel, *On the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial*, Topics in number theory (ed. P. Turan, North Holland, Amsterdam, (1976), 305–314.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, NJ 08540

*E-mail address:* bourgain@math.ias.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, CA 92507

*E-mail address:* mcc@math.ucr.edu