

# AMP-Algebra Cosets & Normal Subgroups

## Day 7

A new day, a new definition: Suppose you have a subgroup  $H < G$ . Take some  $x \in G$ .

The left coset of  $H$  containing  $x$  is the set

$$xH = \{xh : h \in H\}$$

You can think of this as taking the subgroup  $H$  and "translating" it by  $x$ . Some orienting

facts:  $eH = H$ . But also if  $x \in H$  already,

then  $xH = H$  (why?). Let's go over one easy

example and one hard example:

Consider the group  $\mathbb{Z}_6 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  and

its subgroup  $H = \{0, 3\} \leq \mathbb{Z}_6$ . The coset

$1H$  (often denoted  $1+H$  since our operation is "+")

will be  $1H = \{1+0, 1+3\} = \{1, 4\}$ . See, we just took  $H$  and translated it over by 1.

Similarly  $2H = \{2+0, 2+3\} = \{2, 5\}$ . Notice

something here: since the identity is in any subgroup,  $x \in xH$  always. What if we translated

by a different number though? Well, notice

that  $4H = \{4+0, 4+3\} = \{4, 1\}$  again, and

$5H = \{5+0, 5+3\} = \{5, 2\}$ .

This suggests something that we should formalize as a theorem. ... But first I said I'd do another example.

Consider the group  $G$  I gave you in an exercise once that, as a set, could be written as  $\{e, a, b, ab, ba, aba\}$  and the group operation satisfied  $aa=e$ ,  $bb=ee$ , and  $aba=baab$ . This group is actually isomorphic to  $S_3$  via the bijection  $G \leftrightarrow S_3$

$$e \leftrightarrow e \quad a \leftrightarrow (12) \quad b \leftrightarrow (23)$$

$$ab \leftrightarrow (123) \quad ba \leftrightarrow (132) \quad aba \leftrightarrow (13)$$

But that isomorphism is incidental. Look at the subgroup  $\{e, a\} = H < G$ . If we translate by  $b$  on the left we get the coset  $bH = \{be, ba\} = \{b, ba\}$ , and if we translate by  $ab$  on the left we get  $(ab)H = \{abe, aba\} = \{ab, aba\}$ . But notice too

$$(ba)H = \{bae, baa\} = \{ba, b\} = bH$$

$$(aba)H = \{abae, abaa\} = \{aba, ab\} = (ab)H$$

Now we've gotta write that theorem! ... nah, not yet

Do some exercises first, then right-cosets.

Everything we've done so far deals with left cosets, but now what about right cosets? Let's define

$$\text{Hx} = \{hx : h \in H\}$$

for some  $H < G$  and  $x \in G$ . How do these compare to the left cosets from before?

Do exercises #2 and #3

Notice that for  $\{0,3\} < \mathbb{Z}_6$ , the left and right cosets coincide whereas for  $\{e,a\} < S_3$  they don't.

This property is important, and will be key to what we discuss tomorrow, so let's name ~~it~~ it.

We'll say a subgroup  $N < G$  is normal in  $G$  if the left cosets and right cosets of  $N$  coincide. In equations, this means that  ~~$xN = Nx$~~   $xN = Nx$  for all  $x \in G$ .

Sometimes you'll see this condition written as  $xNx^{-1} = N$ .

And it's customary to write  $N \triangleleft G$  for a normal subgroup.

**THEOREM** - If  $y \in xH$ , then  $x \in yH$ , and furthermore we get that  $yH = xH$ .

Proof If  $y \in xH$ , this means there exists an  $h \in H$  such that  $y = xh$ . Multiplying by  $h^{-1}$  on the right we get  $yh^{-1} = x$ , so  $yH \ni x$ . Since we can write  $x$  as  $y$  times  $h^{-1} \in H$ . Then for any  $h'$  in  $H$  we have that  $y = xh \Rightarrow yh' = xhh' \in xH$ . Since  $yh' \in xH$  for ANY  $h' \in H$ ,  $yH \subset xH$ .

Now in this entire previous paragraph,  $x$  and  $y$  were both general elements of  $G$ , so the previous proof is still valid if we swap  $x$  and  $y$ . Therefore  $xH \subset yH$  too, and so  $xH = yH$ .  $\square$

This theorem strongly suggests another theorem, but I don't want to prove this one so will call it a proposition instead.

PROPOSITION — For any  $H < G$ , and any  $x, y \in G$  we have  $xH = yH$  or we have  $xH \cap yH = \emptyset$ .

I.e. the cosets of a subgroup  $H$  partition  $G$  into disjoint cosets.

Nah, this is easy to prove, so let's do it. All we must show is that ~~if~~  $xH \cap yH \neq \emptyset$ , then  $xH = yH$ .

Proof Take  $z \in xH \cap yH$ . By the previous theorem  $zH = xH$  and  $zH = yH$ , so  $xH = yH$ .  $\square$

And from this we get tons of lovely facts, including Lagrange's theorem (see the exercises). One more tidbit of knowledge: If you have  $H < G$  the index of  $H$  in  $G$  is the number of cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . The index is usually denoted  $[G:H]$ , or some slight variation of that.