## SOLUTIONS TO ADDITIONAL EXERCISES FOR II.1 AND II.2

Here are the solutions to the additional exercises in triangle-exercises.pdf. Illustrations to accompany these solutions are given in the online file

## trianglefigures.pdf

in the course directory.

C1. Suppose first that X is one of the vertices A, B, C. In these cases the conclusion follows because  $A \in AB \cap AC$  and each of these lines contains an infinite number of points on the triangle (namely, all points of [AB] and [AC] respectively),  $B \in AB \cap BC$  and each of these lines contains an infinite number of points on the triangle (namely, all points of [AB] and [AC] respectively),  $B \in AB \cap BC$  and each of these lines contains an infinite number of points on the triangle (namely, all points of [AB] and [BC] respectively), and finally  $C \in BC \cap AC$  and each of these lines contains an infinite number of points on the triangle (namely, all points of [AB] and [BC] respectively).

Suppose now that X is not a vertex. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $X \in (AB)$ , for the remaining cases where  $X \in (BC)$  or  $X \in (AC)$  follow by interchanging the roles of A, B, C in the argument we shall give.

If  $X \in (AB)$  and L = AB, then clearly  $X \in L$  and L contains infinitely many points of the triangle because it contains [AB]. From now on, suppose that  $X \neq AB$ .

If L = XC, then X and C lie on both L and the triangle; we claim that no other point of L satisfies these conditions. Suppose to the contrary that there is such a third point Y; there are three cases depending upon whether Y lies on AB, BC or AC. If  $Y \in AB$ , then both L and AB contain the distinct points X and Y, so that L = XY; but we are assuming that X, Y, C are collinear, and this contradicts our even more basic assumption that A, B, C are noncollinear (this is implicit in asserting the existence of  $\Delta ABC$ ). Therefore the line XC only meets the triangle in two points.

Now suppose that  $C \notin L$  and  $L \neq AB$ ; we need to show that L and  $\Delta ABC$  have at most one other point in common besides X. By Pasch's Theorem there is a second point Y on L which lies on either (BC) or (AC); in either case there we claim that there is no third point in  $L \cap \Delta ABC$ . Since  $X \in AB$  is not one of the vertices and the lines BC and AC meet AB in B and A respectively, it follows that X lies on neither of these lines. Therefore the line L = XY meets  $\Delta ABC$  in two sides and cannot contain any of the vertices. If there were a third point, it would lie on one of (AB), (BC) or (AC). By Exercises II.2.8 we know that L cannot contain points of all three sides,, and if the third point were in (AB) it would follow that L = AB. On the other hand, the line L cannot contain X and two points from either (AC) or (BC), for in that case L would be equal to AC or BC and also contain  $X \in (AB)$ , so that L would also be equal to AB. Thus the existence of a third point leads to a contradiction if  $L \neq AB, XC$ , and hence no such point can exist, so that all lines through X except AB meet the triangle in two points.

C2. The most difficult parts of this proof were done in the preceding exercise. Let **T** be equal to  $\Delta ABC = \Delta DEF$ . By the preceding exercise, since **T** =  $\Delta ABC$  we know that  $\{A, B, C\}$  is the set **V** of all points X in **T** such that two lines through X contain at least three points of **T**, and likewise  $\{D, E, F\}$  is the set **V** of all points X in **T** such that two lines through X contain at least three points of **T**. Therefore we have  $\{A, B, C\} = \mathbf{V} = \{D, E, F\}$ .

C3. Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  denote the two half-planes associated to L. Then each of the points A, B, C lies on exactly one of the subsets  $L, H_1, H_2$ .

Before we split the argument into cases using the previous sentence, we make a general observation. Since  $X \in L$  lies in the interior of  $\Delta ABC$ , by the Crossbar Theorem we know that (BX and (AC) have a point Y in common. This point cannot be X because a point cannot lie in both the interior of  $\Delta ABC$  and one of the three sides AB, BC, AC (look at the definition of interior). Since  $Y \in (BC)$ , it follows that either B \* X \* Y or B \* Y \* X is true; however, if the latter were true, then B and X would lie on opposite sides of the line AY = AC, contradicting the assumption on X. Therefore we must have B \* X \* Y.

We claim that all three vertices cannot lie in either  $H_1$  or  $H_2$ . If they did, then by convexity the point Y in the preceding paragraph would also lie in the given half-plane, and similarly the point X would lie in this half-plane. Since  $X \in L$  by assumption, this is impossible, and thus the three vertices cannot all lie on one side of L.

Next, we claim that at most one vertex lies on L. If, say,  $A \in L$ , then L = AX, and if either B or C were also on L we would have that L = AB or AC, which in turn would imply that  $X \in AB$  or AC, contradicting the condition that X lies in the interior of the triangle. The cases where  $B \in L$  and  $C \in L$  can be established by interchanging the roles of the three vertices in the preceding argument.

Suppose now that one vertex lies on L; we claim that the other two vertices must lie on opposite sides of L. Once again, it is enough to consider the case where  $A \in L$ , for the other cases will follow by interchanging the roles of the vertices. But if  $A \in L$ , then the Crossbar Theorem implies that L and (BC) have a point W in common (in fact (BC) and (AX do), and therefore it follows that B and C lie on opposite sides of L. Furthermore, it follows that the line L meets the triangle in the distinct points A and W.

The only possibility left to consider is the case where no vertex lies on L; by the preceding discussion, we know that neither half-plane contains all three vertices, and thus two of the vertices are on one half-plane and one is on the other. As before, without loss of generality we may assume that A is on one side and B, C are on the other. But in this situation we know that the line L meets both (BC) and (AC). This completes the examination of all possible cases.

C4. We shall follow the hint and solve for  $\mathbf{x}$  in terms of  $\mathbf{y}$ . Since  $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$  and A is invertible, it follows that  $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b})$ . If we substitute this into the defining equation for the plane, we see that

 $d = C\mathbf{x} = CA^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b})$  or equivalently  $CA^{-1}\mathbf{y} = d + CA^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ 

which shows that **y** is defined by an equation of the form P**y** = q, where  $P = CA^{-1}$  and  $q = d + CA^{-1}$ **b**.