

Some items from the lectures on Colley, Section 3.4

INTERPRETING ∇ -OPERATIONS ON VECTOR FIELDS. Information on these topics can be found in the files `gradient.pdf` and `weblinks3.pdf`; as usual, these are in the course directory.

Answers to selected exercises from Colley, Section 3.4

1. The divergence is given by

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} y^2 = 2x + 2y .$$

2. The divergence is given by

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} y^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} x^2 = 0 + 0 = 0 .$$

3. The divergence is given by

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x + y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (y + z) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (z + x) = 1 + 1 + 1 = 3 .$$

4. The divergence is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (z \cos(e^{y^2})) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (x\sqrt{z^2+1}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (e^{2y} \sin 3x) = \\ &0 + 0 + 0 = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

6. The divergence is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} x_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} 2x_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} 3x_3 \cdots = \\ &1 + 0 + 0 + \cdots = 1 . \end{aligned}$$

7. We shall use the symbols D_x , D_y and D_z to denote partial differentiation with respect to x , y and z in order to save space. The curl of the vector field is given by

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ D_x & D_y & D_z \\ x^2 & -xe^y & 2xyz \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$(D_y(2xyz) - D_z(xe^y), D_z(x^2) - D_x(2xyz), D_x(-xe^y) - D_y(x^2)) = (2xz, -2yz, -e^y) .$$

8*. (= 8 modified) The curl of the modified vector field $\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = (z, x, y)$ is given by

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ D_x & D_y & D_z \\ z & x & y \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$(D_y y - D_z z, D_z z - D_x x, D_x x - D_y y) = (1, 1, 1).$$

9. The curl of the vector field is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \mathbf{F} &= \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ D_x & D_y & D_z \\ x + yz & y + xz & z + xy \end{vmatrix} = \\ &(x - x, y - y, z - z) = (0, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

10. The curl of the vector field is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \mathbf{F} &= \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ D_x & D_y & D_z \\ (\cos yz - x) & (\cos xz - y) & (\cos xy - z) \end{vmatrix} = \\ &(D_y(\cos xy - z) - D_z(\cos xz - y), D_z(\cos yz - x) - D_x(\cos xy - z), D_x(\cos xz - y) - D_y(\cos yz - x)) = \\ &(x(\sin xz - \sin xy), y(\sin xy - \sin yz), z(\sin yz - \sin xz)). \end{aligned}$$

17. In order to minimize confusion we shall use ρ to denote $|\mathbf{r}| = r$.

By construction we have $\rho = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots}$ and therefore we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho^n}{\partial x_i} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots)^{n/2} = \\ &\frac{n}{2} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots)^{(n/2)-1} \cdot 2x_i \end{aligned}$$

and since $(n/2) - 1 = \frac{1}{2}(n - 2)$ we may rewrite the right hand side as

$$n \cdot (\rho^2)^{(n-2)/2} \cdot x_i = n \cdot \rho^{n-2} \cdot x_i.$$

Since this is the i^{th} coordinate of the gradient and x_i is the i^{th} coordinate of \mathbf{r} , it follows that $\nabla \rho^n = n\rho^{n-2} \mathbf{r}$.

18. In this case we may apply the preceding exercise to obtain

$$\nabla \rho = \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \rho = \frac{1}{\rho} \rho^{-1} \mathbf{r} = \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\rho^2}.$$

19. We shall use the identity

$$\nabla \cdot (f \mathbf{F}) = f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} + (\nabla f) \cdot \mathbf{F}$$

from Exercise 23 (see below) together with the conclusion of Exercise 17. Since the divergence of \mathbf{r} is equal to 3, it follows that

$$\nabla \cdot \rho^n \mathbf{r} = \rho^n \nabla \cdot \mathbf{r} + (\nabla \rho) \cdot \mathbf{r} = \rho^n \cdot 3 + (n\rho^{n-2}) \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$$

and since $\rho^2 = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ it follows that the right hand side is $3\rho^n + n\rho^{n-2}\rho^2 = (n+3)\rho^n$.

20. By Theorem 4.3 on page 218, we know that $\nabla \times (\nabla f) = \mathbf{0}$ for all functions f with continuous partial derivatives. Thus it is enough to show that $\rho^n \mathbf{r}$ is the gradient of some function. The easiest way to do this is to use Exercise 18; if we set $m = n + 2$ in that result we see that $(n + 2)\rho^n \mathbf{r} = \nabla \rho^{n+2}$, and the latter means that

$$\rho^n \mathbf{r} = \nabla \frac{\rho^{n+2}}{n+2}.$$

Therefore we must have $\nabla \times (\rho^n \mathbf{r}) = 0$.

23. Let \mathbf{F}_i be the i^{th} coordinate of \mathbf{F} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (f \cdot \mathbf{F}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f \cdot F_i}{\partial x_i} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n f \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} F_i \end{aligned}$$

and by the definitions the latter is equal to

$$f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} + (\nabla f) \cdot \mathbf{F}.$$

24. Students are not responsible for knowing how to work this problem..

28.(a) If we think of ∇ formally as (D_x, D_y, D_z) , then formally $\nabla \cdot \nabla$ looks like $D_x^2 + D_y^2 + D_z^2$.

(b) If D represents partial differentiation with respect to one of x, y, z , then repeated application of the Leibniz rule implies that

$$D^2(fg) = (D^2 f)g + 2(Df)(Dg) + f(D^2 g).$$

if we sum the appropriate terms for $D = D_x, D_y, D_z$, we see that the right hand side is

$$(D_x^2 f + D_y^2 f + D_z^2 f)g + 2(D_x f D_x g + D_y f D_y g + D_z f D_z g) + f(D_x^2 g + D_y^2 g + D_z^2 g)$$

which is a rewriting of the expression appearing in the statement of the exercise.

(c) We shall use the preceding together with Exercise 23. For any two functions u and v we have

$$\nabla \cdot (u \nabla v) = u \nabla^2 v + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v$$

and therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (f \nabla g) - \nabla \cdot (g \nabla f) &= f \nabla^2 g + \nabla f \cdot \nabla g - (g \nabla^2 f + \nabla g \cdot \nabla f) = \\ &= f \nabla^2 g + \nabla f \cdot \nabla g - g \nabla^2 f - \nabla g \cdot \nabla f. \end{aligned}$$

The second and fourth terms cancel each other, and what remains is just $f \nabla^2 g - g \nabla^2 f$.