

7A. Linear transformations and inner products

DEFAULT HYPOTHESIS. All vector spaces are finite dimensional.

Theorem ("Riesz Representation" in Axler; actually well known much earlier in the 19th century.)

Notation V is a vector space, a linear functional f is a linear transformation $V \rightarrow F$ (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}).

→ If V is equipped with an inner product \langle , \rangle and $f: V \rightarrow F$ is a linear functional, then there is a unique $x_f \in V$ such that for all $y \in V$ we have $f(y) = \cancel{\langle y, x_f \rangle}$.

Derivation Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V .

Existence. Suppose that $f(u_j) = c_j$. Let

$$x_f = \sum \bar{c}_j u_j. \text{ note the conjugation.} \quad \text{Then } f(u_j) = \langle u_j, x_f \rangle$$

all j , and if $y = \sum a_k u_k$, then

$$f(y) = \sum a_k f(u_k) = \sum a_k c_k =$$

$$\left\langle \sum a_k w_k, \sum c_j w_j \right\rangle = \langle y, x_f \rangle. \blacksquare$$

Uniqueness. Suppose $\langle y, x \rangle = \langle y, x' \rangle$ for all $y \in V$. Then $\langle y, x - x' \rangle = 0$ for all y , so $x - x' \in V^\perp = \{0\}$. \blacksquare

Adjoint transformations

Theorem. Let $T: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transf. of inner product spaces. Then there is a unique linear transformation $T^*: \cancel{W \rightarrow V} \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\langle T v, w \rangle_W = \langle v, T^* w \rangle_V.$$

This map T^* is called the adjoint transformation.

Proof. Existence Notice that if $w \in W$ then $f(v) = \langle T v, w \rangle$ is a linear functional on V . Therefore $\langle T v, w \rangle = \langle v, z \rangle$ for some unique $z \in V$; set $T^* w = v$.

Need to show T^* is linear: $\langle v, T^*(w_1 + w_2) \rangle = \langle T v, w_1 + w_2 \rangle = \langle T v, w_1 \rangle + \langle T v, w_2 \rangle =$

$$\langle v, T^* w_1 \rangle + \langle v, T^* w_2 \rangle = \langle v, T^* w_1 + T^* w_2 \rangle$$

for all $v \in V$. By the previous result,

$$T^*(w_1 + w_2) = T^* w_1 + T^* w_2. \text{ Also}$$

$$\langle v, T^* cw \rangle = \cancel{\langle cv, T w \rangle} \quad \langle cv, T w \rangle =$$

$$\bar{c} \langle cv, w \rangle = \bar{c} \langle v, T^* w \rangle = \langle v, c T^* w \rangle,$$

$$\text{and as before } T^*(cw) = c T^* w. \blacksquare$$

Formal properties $(S+T)^* = S^* + T^*$

$$(cT)^* = \bar{c} T^*$$

$$T^{**} = T \quad I^* = I$$

$$(ST)^* = T^* S^*.$$

Derivations are on Axler, p. 206

Matrix representation Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$

and $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ be orthonormal bases for

V & W respectively, and let $T: V \rightarrow W$ be linear.

CLAIM If $Tv_j = \sum a_{ij} w_i$, then

$$\langle Tv_j, w_i \rangle = a_{ij}.$$

Then $T^* w_j = \sum b_{ij} v_i \Rightarrow$

$$b_{ij} = \underbrace{\langle T^* w_j, v_i \rangle}_{\langle T v_i, w_j \rangle} = \overline{\langle v_i, T^* w_j \rangle} = \overline{a_{ji}}$$

conjugate transpose.

(ordinary transpose over \mathbb{R})

More identities

$$\text{Kernel } T^* = (\text{Image } T)^\perp$$

$$\text{Image } T^* = (\text{Kernel } T)^\perp$$

$$\text{Kernel } T = (\text{Image } T^*)^\perp$$

$$\text{Image } T = (\text{Kernel } T^*)^\perp$$

Note that the first two and $T^{**} = T$ imply the last two.

See Axler, p. 207, for derivations.

Adjoints and diagonalization

Goal: Show that if T and $T^* : V \rightarrow V$ are closely related (for example, $T = T^*$), then T has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.

Def. $T : V \rightarrow V$ is self-adjoint if $T = T^*$.

In terms of matrices, if T is rep. by A , then T^* is rep. by the conjugate transpose ${}^T A^* = A^*$. (${}^T A = A$ transposed).

If A is a matrix with real entries, $A^* = A$ means ${}^T A = A$, or A is symmetric.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{typical example.}$$

Over \mathbb{C} , we say A is Hermitian if $A^* = A$ (her-MEE-shen)
(after C. Hermite, 1822-1901)

typical example $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

PROPOSITION. If $T^* = T$, then all eigenvalues are real.

Proof Say $\bar{c}v = cv$, $v \neq 0$. Then

$$\text{also } c \cdot |v|^2 = \bar{c}\langle v, v \rangle = \langle cv, v \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle$$

$$= \langle v, T^*v \rangle = \langle v, cv \rangle = \bar{c}\langle v, v \rangle = \bar{c}|v|^2.$$

Since $|v|^2 > 0$, we can cancel it and conclude that $c = \bar{c}$, so that c is real. ■

THEOREM (Principal Axis Theorem) If

A is real symmetric, then A has a real eigenvalue.

Proof If A is invertible over \mathbb{R} , then

A is invertible over \mathbb{C} . Taking contrapositives, if A is not invertible over \mathbb{C} and A is real, then A is not invertible over \mathbb{R} .

$A^T = A$ and the previous result imply $A - cI$ is not invertible for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, so it also isn't \mathbb{R} invertible.

Therefore A must have a real eigenvector for $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

What follows is out of order from Axler.

Theorem (Diagonalization) If $A^* = A$ over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , then A has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . → suffices to find an orthogonal basis.

In particular, real-symmetric matrices are diagonalizable.

Proof Assume $T^t = T$ throughout this proof, which works over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Prove the result for $T: V \rightarrow V$ (inner product space) with $T^* = T$.

dim $V=1$ True since $0 \neq v \Rightarrow Tv=cv$.

Assume if $\dim V=k-1$, where $k > 1$.

Inductive step Know $Tv=cv$ some $v \neq 0$, scalar c . Claim: If $W = \text{Span}(\{v\})^\perp$, then

$T[W] \subseteq W$ (and $T^*[W] \subseteq W$).

Since $T = T^*$, we know $T^*v = cv$ also holds.
Let $x \in W$, so that $\langle v, x \rangle = 0$. But
then $\langle v, Tx \rangle = \langle Tv, x \rangle = \langle cv, x \rangle =$
 $c\langle v, x \rangle = c \cdot 0 = 0$. If we let $S: W \rightarrow W$
be the associated linear transformation, then
 $S^* = S$, so by induction S has an orthonormal basis of eigen vectors v_2, \dots, v_k . If $v = v_1$,
then $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ yields an orthogonal basis of eigen vectors for T . ■

We shall discuss some fundamentally important mathematical consequences of this theorem (over \mathbb{R}) at the end of this chapter (probably in lieu of Section 7D in Axler).