8 A. Primary becomposition All vectorspaces finite dimbs over C We want to describe Standard (or canonical) forms for describing a linear transformation T:V-V which does not have a bais of sigenvectors. Let's begin by assuming T:V->V is in triangular form for some ordered basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\} \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \quad \overline{Tu} \stackrel{\circ}{} = \sum_{i \leq j} a_{ij} u_i$ Lemma 1 Let f(t) = TI(t-ani). Then f(T) is strictly upper triangular; i.e., all diagonal entrès avezero. Proof. Notice first that each This in triangular form. Also, the (i,i) diagonal entry of f(T) is f(ani), which is zero.

-2-Lemma 2 Suppose that \$: V -> V is strictly upper triangular. Then \$=0, where u=dim V. Proof. Let Ve = Span {up, ..., up}, 15ken Then T[V\_k] = V\_{k-1} if k > 0 and T[V1] = 203. By induction this means that  $T_{V=V_{n}} \leq V_{n-j} \approx T_{v_{n}} \leq \delta_{0}$ Lemma 3 Let N1, ..., Nr be the eigenvalues of T. Then State of there is some M>0 Such that  $\prod (T-2,T)^M = O onV.$ Proof By the first two lemmas we know that if  $g(t) = f(t)^n$ , then g(T) = 0. Now the constants in the linear factors (t-aii) are the eigenvalues of T (this was an exercise). Suppose now that we

-3form h(t) from f(t) by adding a product of linear factors (t-Zj), say Sz(t), so that  $h(t) = f_1(t)f(t) = TT(t-\lambda_j)^{M_0} Then$  $h(t)^{n} = f_1(t)^{n} f(t)^{n} =>$  $h(T)^{n} = f_{1}(T)^{n} f(T)^{n} = f_{1}(T)^{n} O = O$ TT (T- ZjI)n Mo Def.  $T: V \rightarrow V$  as before. Let  $V_{1} = all$   $v \in V$  s.t. for some  $m \ge 1$ ,  $(T - \lambda I)^{m} = O$ . Lemma 4 V2 is a vector subspace of V, and I maps Vy into it self.  $\frac{P_{roof}}{V_{1}} = 0 = (T - \overline{AT}) \frac{m(2)}{V_{2}} = 0$ =)  $(T - \lambda T)^{m(4)+m(2)} = 0, (T - \lambda T)^{m(4)} ev=0,$ Alco, if (T-AI) = 0, claim

-4 (I - NI)<sup>m</sup> TV = O. This Sollows be ange the left hand side equals T (T-7,T) W= TO=O.PRIMARY DECOMPOSITION THEOREM. Let My .... Ik be the eigen values of T. Then every VEV has a unique decomposition as Zivj where vj EV2. Manipulations with polynomials have played a significant role thus far in this section. The proof of the theorem will require still more use of polynomials. Lemma 5. There is some g>O such that (T- ) I sends V2 to 203. Proof. Let X1,..., Xp be a tasis for V2, so forech i, (I-NI) = O for some qi. Therefore g=max Eqil implies (T-NI) x:=0, alli Since Exil ita basis, (T-NI) =0 on V2.

Note We might as well take the exponent M in Lemma 3 to be so large that (T- 7, I) is zero in N; for each j.

- 4A-

The principal ideal property implies that Ann(T) = all polynomials p such that p(T) = 0 is the set of all multiples of some polynomial of minimal degree. Over the complex numbers this means that it is a product of powers of linear polynomials (t -  $\lambda$ ) where  $\lambda$  runs through some of the e'vals of T. In fact, it runs through ALL the eigenvalues. To see this, given a vector v let Ann(v) be the set of all polys h(t) such that h(T)v = 0. Then as before Ann(v) is the set of all multiples of some least degree polynomial and Ann(T) is contained in Ann(v). Therefore the minimal polynomial in Ann(T) is a multiple of the minimal polynomial in Ann(v). If we choose v to be an eigenvector for  $\lambda$  then Ann(v) is all multiples of (t -  $\lambda$ ). Therefore the minimal polynomial in Ann(T) has a linear factor of this form (in fact, a higher power of the linear polynomial might divide the minimal polynomial in Ann(T), but at least we know that some power does so).

-5-Digression on polynomials PRINCIPAL IDEAL PROPERTY Let J be nonampty a set of polynomials over a field IF such that f, g e J => f+g e J, feJ, he IF[t] => h.feJ. polymominus Then a ther J= 203 or J is the set of all polynamials which are multiples of a fixed polynomial of least degree. This is a concequence of the long division of polynomials discussed in Chaptar A of Axler. CONSEQUENCE. Suppose pro., pe E [I] and no polynomial (t-c) divides every pj. Then there are polynomials S' such that  $\sum S_j p_j = 1$ 

-6-EXAMPLE. Take (t-a), (t-b) where  $a \neq b$ . Then  $1 = \frac{(t-a)-(t-b)}{b-a}$ . PROOF OF THE PRIMARY DECOMPOSITION THEOREM Let 2, ..., In be the eigenvalues of T, and choose N such that  $p(T) = \prod (T - \lambda_j) = O on V.$  j=1Let  $q_j(t) = TT(t - \lambda_k)^N$  Then  $k \neq j$ the polynomials q; have no common linear factors, so the Principal Ideal Property implies that 1 = Z Sj(t) qj(t) for suitable polynomials sig(t). It follows that V= 2 si(T) gi(T) V. for all VEV. Do Vi = Si (T) qi (T) V, then

-7- $(T - \lambda_j I)^N v = s_j(T) q_j(T)(T - \lambda_j I)^N v$  $= s_{j}(T)_{p}(T)_{v} = s_{j}(T)_{0} = 0,$ so that ye Vn . Hence V = 2Vn; To complete the proof, we must show XNote also that (T-ZyI)N  $V_{2j} \cap \left( \sum_{k=1}^{r} V_{2k} \right) = \{0\}.$ = 0 Assume we have X. EVA. & X. EVAL (all k) such that  $X_j = \sum_{k=j}^{j} X_k$ Apply the identity I = Z Sm(T)qm(T) to both ordes. Now qm (T) x; = O if m = j So that  $\sum_{k \neq j} x_k = x_j = s_j(T)q_j(T)x_j =$ Sj (T) qj(T) Z. X.k. Now (t-2) is a factor of q; (t) if k = j; So

- 8qj(T) XE = O (recall that (T-JeI) × = 0 by the choice of N on page 4A). It follows that  $S_{j}(T)_{q_{j}}(T) \sum x_{k} = 0$ , which in turn implies that x = 0 , so that  $V_{\lambda_j} \cap \left( \sum_{k\neq j} V_{\lambda_k} \right) = \bigoplus \{ 0 \}.$ Finally to prove the decomposition V= ZV; is unique, Suppole we also have Z v'= v. Then Zvi = Zvi => for each i, Vi-Vi'= Ve'-Ve, and the preceding argument chows that O=Vi-Vi, or equivalently Vi=Vie .