

§B. Rational decomposition of nilpotent transformations

Review of §A.

Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation, where V is a finite dimensional complex vector space. Then V has a direct sum decomposition $\bigoplus_{\lambda} V_{\lambda}$ (where λ runs through the eigenvalues)

such that T maps each V_{λ} into itself and on V_{λ} we have $(T - \lambda I)^{n(\lambda)} = 0$ for some positive integer $n(\lambda)$.

The preceding allows us to find a "block diagonal" matrix representing T .

Let B_{λ} be an ordered basis for V_{λ} and order the eigenvalues as $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$.

Then on each V_{λ_j} we have $T = \lambda_j I + N_j$ where $N_j^{m(\lambda_j)} = 0$. We can then assemble these into the following matrix for T :

$$\begin{array}{c}
 B_{\lambda_1} \\
 B_{\lambda_2} \\
 \vdots \\
 B_{\lambda_k}
 \end{array}
 \begin{pmatrix}
 \overset{B_{\lambda_1}}{\lambda_1 I + B_1} & \overset{B_{\lambda_2}}{0} & \dots & \overset{B_{\lambda_k}}{0} \\
 0 & \lambda_2 I + B_2 & & 0 \\
 \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
 0 & \dots & & \lambda_k I + B_k
 \end{pmatrix}$$

Each of the matrices B_j is nilpotent.*

To complete the picture, we want matrices of the B_j for the subspaces $\mathbb{B}V_{\lambda_j}$ ~~which~~ ^{which} have as many zero entries as possible. We can do this individually for each j .

Historical note The term "nilpotent" (accent on the second syllable), like much of linear algebra, is due to J. J. Sylvester (1814-1897). Biographical information about Sylvester is available online, either through Wikipedia or the University of St. Andrews history of mathematics site:

* B is nilpotent if $B^q = 0$ for some q . The least q is called the index of nilpotency.

[www-groups.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/history/biography/](http://www-groups.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/history/biography/Joseph_Sylvester.html)

~~Joseph Sylvester~~
Sylvester.html

Iterations of linear transformations

$$\text{Since } T^m v = 0 \Rightarrow T^{m+1} v = 0$$

($T: V \rightarrow V$ a linear transformation)

we have

$$\text{Kernel } T \subseteq \text{Kernel } T^2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V.$$

Suppose that $\dim V = n$, and let $d_j = \dim$

($\text{Ker } T^j$). Then we have

$$d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq n = \dim V. \text{ Since the } d_j\text{'s}$$

are integers, this sequence is eventually constant.

In fact, if two consecutive terms are equal, then all ~~remain~~ further terms take the same value.

Lemma 1 If $\text{Ker } T^m = \text{Ker } T^{m+1}$,
then $\text{Ker } T^{m+j} = \text{Ker } T^m$ for all $j \geq 0$.

PROOF: Will show the conclusion by

induction on j . The result is known if
 $j = 0$ or 1 . If it is true for $j = p$, then

we need to show $\text{Ker } T^{p+m} = \text{Ker } T^{p+m+1}$.

We know (\subseteq) is true. To check (\supseteq) ,

Suppose $T^{p+m+1}x = 0$. Then

$T^p x \in \text{Ker } T^{m+1}$. But the latter is

$\text{Ker } T^m$, and hence $T^m T^p x = 0$,

so that $\text{Ker } T^{p+m+1} = \text{Ker } T^{p+m}$, and

we know the latter is just $\text{Ker } T^m$. \blacksquare

Specialize to the nilpotent case.

Lemma 2 (i) If T is nilpotent, then

$$T^n = 0.$$

(ii) If T is nilpotent and $k > 0$ is minimal so that
 $T^k = 0$, then $0 < d_1 < \dots < d_k = n$.

PROOF. The second conclusion follows because of Lemma 1. To see the second note that if $0 \leq d_1 < \dots < d_h = n$, then

$h \leq n$, so it suffices to prove that

$d_1 > 0$; i.e., $\text{Ker } T \neq \{0\}$. However,

if $\text{Ker } T \neq \{0\}$ then T is invertible and

each power of T has $\text{Ker } T^k = \{0\}$.

This is impossible if T is nilpotent, for then $\text{Ker } T^s = V$ for some large s . \blacksquare

Corollary 3 T nilpotent $\Rightarrow T$ is not invertible. \blacksquare

Let's analyze the special case of a nilpotent $N: V \rightarrow V$ such that $N^2 = 0$.

Note first that $\text{Image } N \subseteq \text{Kernel } N$

in such cases. Let x_1, \dots, x_p be a basis for $\text{Im}(N)$ and expand it to a basis for $\text{Ker}(N)$ by

adjoining y_1, \dots, y_q . Finally let z_1, \dots, z_p be such that $Tz_i = x_i$. Then we can represent N by the following matrix:

	x_1	z_1	x_2	z_2	\dots	x_p	z_p	y_1	\dots	y_q
x_1	0	1								
z_1	0	0								
x_2			0	1						
z_2			0	0						
\dots					\dots					
x_p						0	1			
z_p						0	0			
y_1										
\dots										
y_q										

This is a minimally sparse matrix for a linear transformation with rank p . (At least p columns must have ~~non~~ zero entries!)

Strategy for the case where $N^3 = 0$. ($N^2 \neq 0$).

Let $V_0 = \text{Image } N$. Then N sends V_0 to itself. If $N_0: V_0 \rightarrow V_0$ is given by the same formula as N , then $N_0^2 = 0$

$$(N^2(Ny) = N^3y = 0y = 0).$$

Construct a basis for V_0 with respect to N_0 :

$$\begin{aligned} z_1, \dots, z_p, y_1, \dots, y_q \\ Nz_1, \dots, Nz_p \end{aligned}$$

Now choose $z'_i, y'_j \in V$ such that

$$Nz'_i = z_i, \quad Ny'_j = y_j$$

obtaining a new (linearly independent) set in V :

$$X = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} z'_1, \dots, z'_p & y'_1, \dots, y'_q \\ Nz'_1, \dots, Nz'_p & Ny'_1, \dots, Ny'_q \\ N^2z'_1, \dots, N^2z'_p & \end{array} \right\}$$

We need to verify this set is linearly independent.

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum a_j z_j' + \sum b_j N z_j' + \sum c_j N^2 z_j' \\ + \sum d_j y_j' + \sum e_j N y_j' = 0 \end{aligned}$$

If we apply N , we get

$$\sum a_j z_j + \sum b_j N z_j + \sum d_j y_j = 0$$

and by construction the a_j 's, b_j 's and d_j 's are all 0. Substituting this into the top equation, we get

$$\sum c_j N z_j + \sum e_j y_j = 0$$

and again by construction this yields $c_j = 0, e_j = 0$ for all j .

NOW expand X to a basis for V by adjoining $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$. The final step is to modify these slightly, obtaining $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$ with $Nw_j = 0$ for all j .

Specifically, we know

$$Nw_j = Nv_j \text{ for some } v_j \in \text{Span}(X)$$

If $w_j = w_j - v_j$ then $X \cup \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ is also a basis for V , but now $Nw_j = 0$ all j .

Thus there is a basis for V of the form.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} N^i z_j' & N^i y_j' & w_j \\ i=0, 1, 2 & i=0, 1 & \dots \end{array} \right\} \cdot \blacksquare$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} N^3 z_j' = 0 & N^2 y_j' = 0 & Nw_j = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

NOTE. Either the second or third column (or both) might be empty!

RECURSIVE REFORMULATION

Induction on the index of nilpotency (= least $p > 0$ such that $N^p = 0$).

Examine the proof when $p = 3$, then generalize the conclusion.

We have a basis $\{ N^i y_{k,j} \}$ for V

each piece of which has the form

$$y_{k,j} = N^0 y_{k,j}, N^1 y_{k,j}, \dots, N^{m(k)-1} y_{k,j}$$

such that $N^{m(k)} y_{k,j} = 0$, where $1 \leq j \leq q(k)$,

and $p = m(1) \geq m(2) \geq \dots (\geq 1)$.

Assume this is known if $N^p = 0$, and [$p = \text{index of nil } p$]
 now suppose we have N with $N^{p+1} = 0$ but $N^p \neq 0$.

Let $V_0 = \text{Image } N$, so that $N[V_0] \subseteq V_0$,
 and let $N_0: V_0 \rightarrow V_0$ be defined by $N_0(x) = Nx$.
 Then $y \in V_0 \Rightarrow y = Nx \Rightarrow N^p y = N^{p+1} x = 0$,
 so that $N_0^p = 0$. Also, if $z \in V$ is such
 that $N^p z \neq 0$, then $N_0^{p-1}(Nz) \neq 0$, so the
 index of nilpotency for N_0 is equal to p .

Now apply the induction hypothesis

Let $\{N^i y_{k,j} \mid i, j, k\}$ be the basis for V_0 as described on the preceding page, and write $y_{k,j} = N x_{k,j}$ (can do since $V_0 = N[V]$). Consider the set with

$$x_{k,j} = N^0 x_{k,j}, \quad N^1 x_{k,j}, \quad \dots, \quad N^{m(k)} x_{k,j}$$

\parallel
 $y_{k,j}$
 \parallel
 $N^{m(k)-1} y_{k,j}$

As in the proof when $p+1=3$, this set is linearly independent. Now expand it to a basis for V by adjoining z'_1, \dots, z'_r . Then each z'_l has the property $Nz'_l = w'_l$ where the latter is a linear combination of the given basis for V_0 , and $w'_l = Nw_l$ where w_l is a linear comb. of the $N^i x_{k,j}$'s. The new set, still spans V (hence is also a basis),

$z_l = z'_l - w_l$ but now $N(z_l) = 0$, all l .

sub-script
"ell"

This completes the proof that there is a basis for V of the form appearing on p. 10, and hence completes the proof of the inductive step. ■

Conclusion, stated in terms of matrices

If N is nilpotent, then N can be represented by a block sum of matrices which are zero off the diagonal and have diagonal pieces like the following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Formally, $a_{ij} = 1$ if $j = i + 1$ and 0 otherwise. ■