# Mathematics 144, Fall 2017, Examination 2

~

## Answer Key

(This incorporates the changes given in exam2af17.pdf)

1. [20 points] Let  $\mathbb{R}[t]$  denote the set of polynomials in one ideterminate (namely, t). If we define a binary relation  $\leq$  on  $\mathbb{R}[t]$  by the condition  $f \leq g$  if and only if  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , show that this defines a partial ordering of  $\mathbb{R}[t]$  which is not a linear ordering.

### SOLUTION

The relation is reflexive, for  $f \leq f$  because  $f(x) \leq f(x)$  for all x.

The relation is antisymmetric, for  $f \leq g$  and  $g \leq f$  imply  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  and  $g(x) \leq f(x)$  for all x. Therefore f(x) = g(x) for all x because  $\mathbb{R}$  is partially ordered.

The relation is transitive, for  $f \leq g$  and  $g \leq h$  imply  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  and  $g(x) \leq h(x)$ , so that  $f(x) \leq h(x)$  for all x and hence  $f \leq h$ . Combining the first three paragraphs, we see that the relation on polynomials is a partial ordering.

To see it is not a linear ordering, we need to find f and g so that neither  $f \leq g$  nor  $g \leq f$ . We can do this if we find f and g such that f(a) < g(a) but g(b) < f(b) for some  $a \neq b$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . One simple example is f(x) = x and g(x) = 1 - x for a = 0 and b = 1.

2. [30 points] (a) Suppose that  $f : A \to B$  and  $g : B \to A$  are functions such that the composite  $g \circ f$  is the identity function on A. Prove that f is 1–1 and g is onto, and give examples f, g such that f is not onto and g is not 1–1. [*Hint:* For the second part, let  $A \neq \emptyset$  be as small as possible.]

(b) Suppose that A is a finite set with n > 0 elements. Prove that there are  $2^{n^2}$  distinct binary relations on A (*i.e.*, relating A to itself).

### SOLUTION

(a) f is 1–1, for f(a) = f(a') implies a = g(f(a)) = g(f(a')) = a', and g is onto because  $a \in A$  implies a = g(b) where b = f(a). The easiest negative examples are  $A = \{0\}$  and  $B = \{0, 1\}$  with f(0) = 0 (hence 1 is not in the image of f, so f is not onto) and g(b) = 0 for b = 0, 1 (hence g is not 1–1).

(b) A binary relation is given by a subset of  $A \times A$ . If A has n elements, then  $A \times A$  has  $n^2$  elements and hence there are  $2^{n^2}$  binary relations corresponding to the subsets in  $A \times A$ .

3. [30 points] (a) Let n! denote the product of the first n positive integers. Prove that  $2^n < n!$  for all  $n \ge 4$ . [Note: The inequality fails for  $n \le 3$  but there is no need to prove this.]

(b) Suppose that  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence defined recursively by  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_k = 2a_{[k/2]}$  for all  $k \ge 2$ , where [x] denotes the greatest integer  $\le x$ . Prove that  $a_n \le n$  for all integers  $n \ge 1$ .

#### SOLUTION

(a) If n = 4 this is true because  $2^4 = 16 < 24 = 4!$ . Suppose the result is valid for  $n = m \ge 4$ , and consider the stated inequality when n = m + 1. We then have  $2^{m+1} = 2^m \cdot 2 < m! \cdot (m+1) = (m+1)!$ , so the inequality for n = m + 1 is also valid, completing a proof by the Weak Principle of Finite Induction.

(b) It is straightforward to verify the inequality for n = 1 and n = 2. Suppose that the inequality is valid for all n < m, where  $m \ge 3$ , so that  $m > [m/2] \ge 1$ . We then have  $a_{[m/2]} \le [m/2] \le m/2$ , so that  $2 \cdot a_{[m/2]} \le m$ , which is the inequality for n = m. Therefore the validity of the conjecture for  $1 \le n < m$  implies its validity for n = m, proving the result by the Strong Principle of Finite Induction.

4. [20 points] Let  $\mathcal{F}_2$  denote the family of all subsets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which contain exactly TWO elements. Show that  $\mathcal{F}_2$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  have the same cardinality. [*Hint:* If  $n \geq 2$  is an integer, how are the cardinalities of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  related?]

### SOLUTION

Given a subset  $\{a, b\}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , write  $a = (a_1, a_2)$  and  $b = (b_1, b_2)$  and choose a linear ordering of  $\{a, b\}$ ; reversing the roles of a and b if necessary, we might as well assume that a comes first. Using this ordering, define a 1–1 mapping  $\mathcal{F}_2 \to \mathbb{R}^4$  sending  $\{a, b\}$  to  $(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2)$ . We then have  $|\mathcal{F}_2| \leq |\mathbb{R}^4| = |\mathbb{R}|$ .

To prove the reverse inequality, given  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  send it to the two point set  $\{(t, 0), (0, 1)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . This construction defines a 1–1 mapping from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , so that  $|\mathbb{R}| \leq |\mathcal{F}_2|$ . We can now apply the Schröder-Bernstein Theorem to conclude that  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  have the same cardinality.