

**SOLUTIONS FOR WEEK 06 EXERCISES**

*Cunningham, Exercises 5.1*

**8.** The map is 1–1 by the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, which states that the factorization of a positive integer into a product of primes is unique up to rearrangement of factors. Suppose now that  $i \leq m$  and  $j \leq n$  and either  $i < m$  or  $j < n$  (this is stronger than the hypotheses in Cunningham). Then  $2^i \leq 2^m$  and  $3^j \leq 3^n$ , with either  $2^i < 2^m$  and  $3^j < 3^n$ . In both of the latter cases we have  $2^i 3^j < 2^m 3^n$ . ■

**12.** Suppose that  $A - B$  is finite. Then  $A = B \cup (A - B)$  where both  $B$  and  $A - B$  are finite. It follows that  $A$  is also finite, contradicting our assumption that  $A$  is infinite. The source of the problem is our assumption that  $A - B$  is finite, and therefore  $A - B$  must be infinite. ■

**16.** If  $f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow A$  is onto, then by the Cross Section Property we know that  $|A| \leq |\{1, \dots, n\}| = n$ . ■

*Cunningham, Exercises 5.2*

**7.** Since  $A$  and  $B$  are countable sets, there are 1–1 maps  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $f \times g : A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  by  $f \times g(a, b) = (f(a), g(b))$ . This map is 1–1, for  $f \times g(a, b) = f \times g(a', b')$  implies  $f(a) = f(a')$  and  $g(b) = g(b')$ . Since  $f$  and  $g$  are both 1–1, we have  $a = a'$  and  $b = b'$ , so that  $(a, b) = (a', b')$ .

By definition we have  $|A \times B| \leq |\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}|$ , and since  $|\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N}|$  it follows that  $|A \times B| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$ . ■

**14.** Follow the hints. **Note:** This exercise uses material from Lecture 13 in Week 07 and it might have been better to place in the `exercises07.pdf` file.

**Step 1.** — A countable union of countable sets is countable. — **PROOF:** Let  $A_0, A_1, \dots$  be the countable family of countable sets, and suppose that  $A_i = \{a_{i,0}, a_{i,1}, \dots\}$ . Let  $D \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  be the set of all  $(i, j)$  such that  $a_{i,j}$  is defined (note that the collection of sets might be finite or there might be finite sets in the collection, in which case  $a_{i,j}$  might not be definable). Let  $\alpha : D \rightarrow U = \bigcup_i A_i$  be the map  $\alpha(i, j) = a_{i,j}$ . By construction this map is onto.

Since  $D \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  and the latter is countable, we know that  $D$  is countable. By the Cross Section Property we have  $|U| \leq |D|$ , which means that  $U$  must also be countable. ■

**Step 2.** — The set  $\Delta_n$  of polynomials of degree  $n$  is countable if  $n > 0$ , and likewise for the set  $\Delta_0$  of constant polynomials (there are reasons for and against taking the degree of the zero polynomial to be 0, and this avoids such arguments). — **PROOF:** The polynomials of degree  $n$  are in 1–1 correspondence with a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ , where we define the latter recursively by  $\mathbb{Z}^2 = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^{k+1} = \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{Z}$ ; it turns out that  $|\mathbb{Z}^n| = |\mathbb{N}|$  (see [lecture13.pdf](#) for more on this; one can derive this result in a manner similar to the proof that  $|\mathbb{R}^n| = |\mathbb{R}|$ ). More precisely, if the polynomial is  $a_n t^n + \dots + a_1 t + a_0$ , map it to the coefficient sequence  $(a_n, \dots, a_0)$ . We then have  $|\Delta_n| \leq |\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}| = |\mathbb{N}|$ . On the other hand, there are infinitely many choices for the leading term of the polynomial, so we also have  $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |\Delta_n|$ . We can now apply the Schröder-Bernstein Theorem to conclude that  $\Delta_n$  is countable.■

**Final Step.** We have decomposed the algebra of polynomials  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$  into a countable union  $\bigcup_n \Delta_n$ , and in Step 2 we showed that each of the sets  $\Delta_n$  is countable. We can now apply Step 1 to conclude that their union, which is the entire polynomial algebra, is also countable.■

### *Cunningham, Exercises 5.3*

1. Since  $B$  is nonempty there is some  $b_0 \in B$ . If we define  $\beta_0 : A \rightarrow A \times B$  to be the slice embedding  $\beta_0(a) = (a, b_0)$ , then  $\beta_0$  is 1–1. Therefore we have  $|A| \leq |A \times B|$ . Since we also have  $|\mathbb{N}| < |A|$ , this implies  $|\mathbb{N}| < |A \times B|$ .■
2. Suppose that  $A - B$  is countable. We know that  $A = (A \cap B) \cup (A - B)$ . Since  $B$  is countable and  $A \cap B \subset B$ , it follows that  $A \cap B$  is also countable, and therefore the first two sentences imply that  $A$  is a union of two countable sets and hence is countable. This contradicts the assumption that  $A$  is not countable; the source of the contradiction is our assuming that  $A - B$  was countable, so this must be false and  $A - B$  must be uncountable.■
3. This is a special case of the preceding exercise with  $A = \mathbb{R}$  and  $B = \mathbb{Q}$ .■
4. If  $B$  were countable, then  $A \subset B$  would imply  $|A| \leq |B| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$ , so that  $A$  would also be countable. Since  $A$  is not countable by assumption, it follows that  $B$  must also be uncountable.■
7. For each  $b \in B$  let  $C_b : A \rightarrow B$  be the constant function whose value is always  $b$ . Then  $x \neq y$  implies that the images of  $C_x$  and  $C_y$  are nonempty and disjoint, and therefore  $C_x \neq C_y$ . This means that  $|B| \leq |\mathbf{F}(A, B)|$ . Since  $B$  is uncountable, it follows that  $\mathbf{F}(A, B)$  is also uncountable.■

### *Cunningham, Exercises 5.4*

1. Let  $f(x) = 2 + 3x$ ; we need only verify that  $f$  is 1–1 and onto, and we can do this by finding an inverse function. If we solve  $y = 2 + 3x$  for  $x$ , we obtain the formula

$$f^{-1}(y) = x = \frac{y - 2}{3}$$

and direct calculation yields  $f^{-1} \circ f(x) = x$  and  $f \circ f^{-1}(y) = y$  for all  $x$  and  $y$  in the respective open intervals. Therefore we have a 1–1 correspondence from the open interval  $A = (0, 1)$  to the open interval  $B = (2, 5)$  and consequently we have  $|A| = |B|$ .■

**12.** Previously we showed that the function

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{1 + |x|}$$

defines a 1–1 correspondence from  $\mathbb{R}$  to the open interval  $(-1, 1)$ . If we compose this with the inclusion  $(-1, 1) \rightarrow [-\pi, \pi)$  we see that  $|\mathbb{R}| \leq$  the cardinality of  $[-\pi, \pi)$ . On the other hand, if we consider the inclusion  $[-\pi, \pi) \subset \mathbb{R}$  we obtain the reverse inequality of cardinal numbers. Therefore we can apply the Schröder-Bernstein Theorem to conclude that  $[-\pi, \pi)$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  have the same cardinal number.■

**16.** The hypotheses imply that  $|A| \leq |B| \leq |C| = |A|$ , so that  $|A| \leq |B|$  and vice versa. Apply the Schröder-Bernstein Theorem to conclude that  $|A| = |B|$ .■

**19.** The mapping  $h$  is 1–1, for  $h(a, b) = h(a', b')$  implies  $f(a) = f(a')$  and  $g(b) = g(b')$ , which in turn imply  $a = a'$  and  $b = b'$ , so that  $(a, b) = (a', b')$ . Given  $(c, d) \in K \times L$  we also know that  $c = f(a)$  and  $d = g(b)$  for suitable  $a$  and  $b$ , and all this translates into the equation  $(c, d) = h(a, b)$ , showing that  $h$  is also onto.■

**34.** If we can show that  $\mathbb{R}$  and the interval  $(0, 1)$  have the same cardinality, then by the preceding Exercise 19 and  $|\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}| = |\mathbb{R}|$  we can conclude that  $(0, 1)^2$  and  $(0, 1)$  have the same cardinal number. As noted before, we have constructed a specific 1–1 correspondence from  $\mathbb{R}$  to the interval  $(-1, 1)$ , so it is enough to construct a 1–1 correspondence from the latter to the interval  $(0, 1)$ . Once again we can do this by means of a linear function which sends  $-1$  to  $0$  and  $1$  to itself (see the first exercise from this section). The explicit formula is  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x + 1)$ .■

*The remaining exercises in exercises06.pdf*

**1.** Follow the hint and define  $C$  to be all integers of the form  $n + b$  where  $b \in B$ . Then  $C$  is a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and as such it has a least element  $c_0$ . We claim that  $b_0 = c_0 - n$  is a (actually, the) least element of  $B$ . By construction we have  $c_0 - n \in B$  and  $n + b_0 \in C$ . Given an arbitrary element  $b \in B$  we have  $n + b \in C$ , and since  $c_0$  is minimal in  $C$  it follows that  $c_0 = n + b_0 \leq n + b$ . Subtracting  $n$  from each side we have  $b_0 \leq b$  and hence  $b_0$  is a minimal element of  $B$ .■

**2.** Suppose that  $1 \leq k \leq 10$ . How many pairs of the form  $(k, m)$  lie in the set? Regardless of whether  $k$  is even or odd, there are 5 choices (odd numbers if  $k$  is even, and even numbers if  $k$  is odd). Now the number of first choices is 10, so the total number of choices is  $10 \times 5 = 50$ .■

**3.** This is similar to the proof for Step 1 in Exercise 5.3.14 from Cunningham given previously. Let  $A_0, A_1, \dots$  be the countable family of sets such that  $|A_k| = |\mathbb{R}|$  for all  $k$ , and let  $g_k : A_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a 1-1 correspondence. We shall denote the union of the  $A_k$  by  $A$ . Define a mapping  $h : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A$  by  $h(n, r) = g_n^{-1}(r)$ . This map is onto by construction, and therefore we have  $|A| \leq |\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}|$ . Since  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  we must have  $|\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}| \leq |\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}| = |\mathbb{R}|$  and therefore  $|A| \leq |\mathbb{R}|$ . Going the other direction, we have  $|\mathbb{R}| = |A_0| \leq |A|$ . The result now follows from the Schröder-Bernstein Theorem. ■

**4.** Define  $f : X \sqcup Y \rightarrow X \cup Y$  by the formulas  $f(x, 1) = x$  and  $f(y, 2) = y$ . By construction  $f$  is onto, and its restriction to either  $X \times \{1\}$  or  $Y \times \{2\}$  is also 1-1. Therefore the only way that two elements of  $X \sqcup Y$  can go to the same thing in  $X \cup Y$  is if an element in  $X \times \{1\}$  and an element in  $Y \times \{2\}$  have the same image in  $X \cup Y$ . By definition this can only happen if the image element is in  $X \cap Y$ . Since the latter is empty, it follows that no such pair of elements can exist. Therefore the mapping  $f$  is both 1-1 and onto. ■

**5.** If  $b \in f[A]$  choose  $g(b) = a$  such that  $f(a) = b$ . If  $b \notin f[A]$  choose  $g(b)$  to be some arbitrary element  $a_0 \in A$ . Then  $f \circ g \circ f(a) = f(a')$  where  $a' \in A$  is some element such that  $f(a') = b$ . Therefore we have  $f(a) = b = f \circ g \circ f(a)$ . ■