## Transfinite cardinal arithmetic III

This lecture describes some additional results and behavior patterns for transfinite cardinal numbers.

Theorem (Absorption law for exponents). If $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is a transfinite cardinal number then $\alpha^{\alpha}=2^{\alpha}$.

PROOF. Choose $\boldsymbol{A}$ such that $|\boldsymbol{A}|=\alpha$. Then a function from $\boldsymbol{A}$ to itself is completely determined by its graph, which is a subset of $\boldsymbol{A} \times \boldsymbol{A}$. This immediately yields an upper estimate $\alpha^{\alpha} \leq 2^{\alpha \times \alpha}=2^{\alpha}$ (in Lecture 17A we showed that $\alpha \times \alpha=\alpha$ for every transfinite cardinal number $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ). On the other hand, by Theorem 5.4.16(3) in Cunningham, we also know that $2^{\alpha} \leq \alpha^{\alpha}$. Finally, by the Schröder Bernstein Theorem these inequalities imply that $\alpha^{\alpha}=2^{\alpha}$.■
Of course, if $n>2$ then $2^{n}$ is considerably smaller than $n^{n}$, so once again we see that the arithmetic of cardinal numbers is much different than ordinary arithmetic with nonnegative integers in some ways. We shall give one more example.

Definition. If $\boldsymbol{X}$ is a set then the symmetric group on $\boldsymbol{X}$, denoted by $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{X})$, is the set of all 1-1 and onto mappings from $\boldsymbol{X}$ to itself. Note that if $\boldsymbol{X}$ is finite and has $\boldsymbol{n}$ elements then it follows that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{X})$ has $\boldsymbol{n}$ ! elements. The next result shows that the cardinality of $\Sigma(\boldsymbol{X})$ also depends only on the cardinality of $\Sigma(\boldsymbol{X})$ in the transfinite case.

Proposition. If $|X|=|Y|$, then $|\Sigma(X)|=|\Sigma(Y)|$.
PROOF. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $\mathbf{1 - 1}$ correspondence and consider the "conjugation" map $\boldsymbol{C}(\varphi): \Sigma(\boldsymbol{X}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{Y})$ which sends the $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1}$ onto map $\boldsymbol{f}: \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{X}$ to the composite $\varphi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$. This mapping is also $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1}$ onto because it is a composite of $\mathbf{1 - 1}$ onto maps. Furthermore, one can show that $\boldsymbol{C}(\varphi)$ is $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 1}$ onto by verifying directly that an inverse is given by $C\left(\varphi^{-1}\right): \Sigma(\boldsymbol{Y}) \rightarrow \Sigma(X)$.

The cardinality of $\Sigma(\boldsymbol{X})$ js given explicitly by the next result.
Theorem (Cardinality of infinite symmetric groups). If $X$ is infinite and $|X|=\alpha$, then $|\Sigma(X)|=\alpha^{\alpha}=2^{\alpha}$.

If $X$ is finite and has $n$ elements, so that $\Sigma(\boldsymbol{X})$ has $n$ ! elements, it seems worth noting that $2^{\boldsymbol{n}}<\boldsymbol{n}!<\boldsymbol{n}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ for $\boldsymbol{n}>2$, and in fact the differences between consecutive terms in this inequality to to infinity as $n$ tends to infinity.

PROOF. By definition $\Sigma(X)$ is contained in the function set $F(X, X)$, and this yields the inequality $|\Sigma(X)| \leq \alpha^{\alpha}$. By the first theorem and the Schröder - Bernstein Theorem, it will suffice to show that $2^{\alpha} \leq|\Sigma(X)|$, and we shall do this by constructing a 1-1 map from $\mathcal{P}(X)$ to $\Sigma(X)$.

Since $\alpha+\alpha=\alpha$ we can split $X$ into two subsets $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ such that there are 1-1 onto maps $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ from $X$ to $X_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{X}_{2}$. Let $B$ be a subset of $X$, and define $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{B}}: X \rightarrow X$ as follows:

1. If $\boldsymbol{x}$ is in $\boldsymbol{B}$ then $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ interchanges $f_{1}(x)$ and $f_{2}(x)$.
2. If $\boldsymbol{x}$ is not in $\boldsymbol{B}$ then $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ sends $\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{2}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ to themselves.

One can check directly that $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{B}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq \boldsymbol{x}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{x}$ lies in $f_{1}[B] \cup f_{2}[B]$ and that $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ is $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1}$ onto; in fact, $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ is equal to its own inverse. Furthermore, if $\boldsymbol{B}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}$ are different subsets of $\boldsymbol{X}$ then $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ and $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{D}}$ are unequal. Therefore the construction sending $\boldsymbol{B}$ to $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ yields the desired $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1}$ map from $\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{X})$ to $\Sigma(\boldsymbol{X})$, and as noted in the first paragraph this suffices to complete the proof of the theorem.

## Subsequent material from this lecture will not be covered on the second in - class examination.

