

Addendum to III.3 — Unions and intersections over subfamilies

This is a written version of some in-class remarks that are not in the notes.

The following result describes what happens to unions and intersections of families of sets if one passes from a family \mathbf{F} to a subfamily \mathbf{G} such that $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathbf{F}$.

Theorem III.3.1A. *Let \mathbf{F} be a family of sets, and let \mathbf{G} be a subfamily of \mathbf{F} . Then we have*

$$\bigcup \{B \mid B \in \mathbf{G}\} \subset \bigcup \{B \mid B \in \mathbf{F}\} .$$

Furthermore, if \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} are nonempty then we also have

$$\bigcap \{B \mid B \in \mathbf{F}\} \subset \bigcap \{B \mid B \in \mathbf{G}\} .$$

PROOF. Suppose that $x \in B_0$ for some $B_0 \in \mathbf{G}$. Then we also know that $B_0 \in \mathbf{F}$, and therefore x must also belong to $\bigcup \{B \mid B \in \mathbf{F}\}$. Suppose now that \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} are nonempty and that $x \in \bigcap \{B \mid B \in \mathbf{F}\}$. If $C \in \mathbf{G}$, then $C \in \mathbf{F}$, and therefore if $x \in B$ for every $B \in \mathbf{F}$ then certainly $x \in C$ for every $C \in \mathbf{G}$. But this means that $\bigcap \{B \mid B \in \mathbf{F}\}$ is contained in $\bigcap \{B \mid B \in \mathbf{G}\}$. ■