

CLOSED BOUNDED SUBSETS OF NORMED VECTOR SPACES

The goal is to give an example as follows:

EXAMPLE. There is a closed bounded subset A in a normed vector space V such that

(i) A satisfies a strong form of closure called completeness,

(ii) There is a continuous function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is unbounded.

FROM ABOVE OR BELOW

In contrast, if $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ with any of the norms $\|v\|_p$ ($p = 1, 2, \infty$) and $A \subseteq V$ is closed & bounded, then every continuous function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ attains a maximum and a minimum value (see [math145A notes 13.pdf](#) for more on the latter).

In fact, A is isometric to \mathbb{N} with the usual discrete metric.

Preliminary facts (i) Every discrete metric space is complete; i.e., every Cauchy sequence (Sutherland, p. 68) converges.

(ii) If X is a metric space and $A \subseteq X$ is complete, then A is closed in X (see Sutherland, Prop. 17.7).

Proof of (i) By the definition of a Cauchy sequence, there is some N such that $m, n \geq N \Rightarrow d(a_m, a_n) < 1$ (assuming $\{a_k\}$ is Cauchy).

CLAIM If $k \geq N$, then $a_k = a_N$.

This is true since if $d(p, q) < 1$ in a discrete metric space, then $p = q$.

It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a_N$. (Justify this!!)

Construction of an example:

$\mathbb{R}^\infty =$ all sequences (x_1, x_2, \dots) such that $x_i = 0$ for all but finitely many i ,

$$\|v\|_\infty \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^\infty = \max |v_i| \text{ where } v = (v_1, \dots)$$

(the maximum exists because only finitely many v_i 's are nonzero).

Check this is a norm (left as an exercise).

$$\text{Let } e_j = (0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$$

$\star \rightarrow$ 1 in j th position, zeros elsewhere.

$$\text{Then } i \neq j \Rightarrow d_\infty(e_i, e_j) = 1.$$

Let $A =$ set of vectors $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$.

Since every function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

usual topology

is continuous, if we let

$$f(e_j) = (-1)^j j e_j$$

then f is continuous.

Then f has neither a maximum nor a minimum. In fact, there are no (finite) constants L, K such that $f(x) \geq L$ or $f(x) \leq K$. \square

Note If we compose f with the map $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ sending t to $\frac{t}{1+|t|}$, then

the composite $g = \varphi \circ f$ is bounded, but it

does not attain either a maximum or a minimum value.

(Note that $\text{l.u.b } g(t) = +1$ and $\text{g.l.b } g(t) = -1$).