

SOLUTIONS TO EXERCISES FOR

MATHEMATICS 145B — Part 2

Spring 2015

II. Constructing and deconstructing spaces

II.1 : Disjoint unions

Additional exercises

1. (i) Suppose first that X and Y are discrete. Then every subset of X is open and likewise for Y . Every subset of $X \amalg Y$ is given by a disjoint union $A \amalg B$ where $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$. Since X and Y are discrete, A and B are open subsets; by the definition of disjoint union topology this means that $A \amalg B$ is open in $X \amalg Y$. By the second sentence of this paragraph, this means that every subset of $X \amalg Y$ is open, and this in turn means that $X \amalg Y$ must be discrete.

Conversely, suppose that $X \amalg Y$ is discrete. Then for each pair of subsets $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$ we know that $A \amalg B$ is open. By the definition of disjoint union topology this means that A and B are open in X and Y respectively. Since these subsets are arbitrary, it follows that every subset of X is open and likewise for Y . This means that both X and Y are discrete spaces. ■

(ii) Since a subspace of a Hausdorff space is Hausdorff and $X \amalg Y$ contains subspaces homeomorphic to X and Y , it follows that each of the latter is Hausdorff if $X \amalg Y$ is.

Conversely, suppose that X and Y are Hausdorff, and let p and q be distinct points in $X \amalg Y$. Then there are three cases to consider: The two points p and q could both lie in X , they could both lie in Y , or one could lie in X and the other in Y .

Suppose first that both points lie in X . Since X is Hausdorff there are disjoint open neighborhoods of U and V of p and q in X ; the corresponding subsets $U \amalg \emptyset$ and $V \amalg \emptyset$ are then disjoint open neighborhoods of p and q in the disjoint union. Similarly, if both points lie in Y then disjoint neighborhoods in Y yield disjoint neighborhoods in $X \amalg Y$ (replace X with Y in the argument, and also replace $W \amalg \emptyset$ with $\emptyset \amalg W$).

Suppose now that one point lies in X and the other in Y . Without loss of generality we might as well assume that $p \in X$ and $q \in Y$ (switch the roles of p and q to get the other case). In this case the disjoint neighborhoods are merely $X \amalg \emptyset$ and $\emptyset \amalg Y$. ■

(iv) A finite union of compact subsets is compact, so if X and Y are compact then so is $X \amalg Y$. Conversely, if $X \amalg Y$ is compact, then X and Y are compact because they are homeomorphic to closed subspaces of $X \amalg Y$. ■

2. Follow the hint. The restrictions of the “identity” to $[0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ are continuous because these are merely inclusion mappings. Therefore Proposition II.1.1 implies that the “identity” map is continuous. However, if the reverse “identity” map

$$[0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, \frac{1}{2}) \amalg [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$$

were continuous, then the codomain would be compact and connected because it is the continuous image of the compact connected space $[0, 1]$. Since the two pieces of the disjoint union are nonempty, the codomain is not connected; similarly, by (iv) in the preceding exercise it is not compact. Therefore the reverse “identity” map is not continuous and the mapping

$$\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \amalg \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$$

is not a homeomorphism.■

3. (i) We shall first verify that $f \amalg g$ is continuous using Proposition II.1.1; in other words, we shall show that the restrictions to $X \amalg \emptyset \cong X$ and $\emptyset \amalg Y \cong Y$ are continuous. By definition the restriction to X is the composite of $f : X \rightarrow X'$ with the inclusion $X' \cong X \amalg \emptyset \subset X' \amalg Y'$, so it is a composite of continuous mappings and hence it is continuous. Likewise, the restriction to $\emptyset \amalg Y$ is the composite of $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$ with the inclusion $\emptyset \amalg Y' \cong Y' \subset X' \amalg Y'$, and hence this composite is also continuous. Therefore $f \amalg g$ is continuous by Proposition II.1.1.

Since f and g are homeomorphisms, they have continuous inverses, and by the reasoning of the preceding paragraph we know that $f^{-1} \amalg g^{-1}$ is continuous. We claim that

$$(f \amalg g)^{-1} = f^{-1} \amalg g^{-1} .$$

In other words, we need to check that the composites

$$(f \amalg g) \circ (f^{-1} \amalg g^{-1}) , \quad (f^{-1} \amalg g^{-1}) \circ (f \amalg g)$$

are the identity mappings on $X' \amalg Y'$ and $X \amalg Y$ respectively. Direct calculation shows that the first composite evaluated at $(x', 1)$ or $(y', 2)$ is equal to $(x', 1)$ or $(y', 2)$ respectively, and similarly for the second composite, and by the preceding sentence this implies that the continuous mappings are inverses of each other.■

(ii) Once again follow the hint. More precisely, we have

$$T_{Y,X} \circ T_{X,Y}(x, y) = T_{Y,X}(y, x) = (x, y) , \quad T_{X,Y} \circ T_{Y,X}(y, x) = T_{X,Y}(x, y) = (y, x)$$

so that $T_{Y,X} \circ T_{X,Y}$ is the identity on $X \times Y$ and $T_{X,Y} \circ T_{Y,X}$ is the identity on $Y \times X$. Therefore the two mappings are inverse to each other.■

4. An open set in $X_1 \amalg X_2$ has the form $W_1 \amalg W_2$ where W_1 is open in X_1 and W_2 is open in X_2 . Since \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 are bases for the respective topologies, we have $W_1 = \cup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ where $U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \{\emptyset\}$, and we also have $W_2 = \cup_{\beta} V_{\beta}$ where $V_{\beta} \in \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \{\emptyset\}$. These mean that

$$W_1 \amalg W_2 = (\cup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \amalg \emptyset) \cup (\cup_{\beta} \emptyset \amalg V_{\beta})$$

as asserted in the exercise.■

5. An explicit map from $(X \amalg Y) \times Z$ to $(X \times Z) \amalg (Y \times Z)$ is given by $\alpha(x, 1; z) = (x, z; 1)$ and $\alpha(y, 2; z) = (y, z; 2)$, and it is straightforward to verify that this map has an inverse sending $(x, z; 1)$ to $(x, 1; z)$ and $(y, z; 2)$ to $(y, 2; z)$. We need to show that α is continuous and open. It will suffice to show that images and inverse images of basic open subsets (under α) are open.

The basic open subsets of $(X \amalg Y) \times Z$ have the form $(U \amalg V) \times W$ where U, V, W are open in X, Y, Z respectively. The image of such an open set under α is given by $(U \times W) \amalg (V \times W)$, which is a basic open set in $(X \times Z) \amalg (Y \times Z)$. Therefore α sends open sets to open sets.

The preceding discussion also implies that the inverse image of the basic open set $(U \times W) \cup (V \times W)$ under α is equal to the basic open set $(U \cup V) \times W$, and hence the inverse image of a basic open subset under α is a basic open set.

Combining these, we see that the map α is a homeomorphism from $(X \cup Y) \times Z$ to $(X \times Z) \cup (Y \times Z)$. ■

II.2 : Quotient spaces

Problem from Munkres, § 22, pp. 144 – 145

4. (a) The hint describes a well-defined continuous map from the quotient space W to the real numbers. The equivalence classes are simply the curves $g(x, y) = C$ for various values of C , and they are parabolas that open to the left and whose axes of symmetry are the x -axis. It follows that there is a 1–1 onto continuous map from W to \mathbb{R} . How do we show it has a continuous inverse? The trick is to find a continuous map in the other direction. Specifically, this can be done by composing the inclusion of \mathbb{R} in \mathbb{R}^2 as the x -axis with the quotient projection from \mathbb{R}^2 to W . This gives the set-theoretic inverse to $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow W$ and by construction it is continuous. Therefore the quotient space is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} with the usual topology. ■

(b) Here we define $g(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ and the equivalence classes are the circles $g(x, y) = C$ for $C > 0$ along with the origin. In this case we have a continuous 1–1 onto map from the quotient space V to the nonnegative real numbers, which we denote by $[0, \infty)$ as usual. To verify that this map is a homeomorphism, consider the map from $[0, \infty)$ to V given by composing the standard inclusion of the former as part of the x -axis with the quotient map $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow V$. This is a set-theoretic inverse to the map from V to $[0, \infty)$ and by construction it is continuous. ■

Additional exercises

1. We claim that every subset of X/\mathcal{R} is both open and closed. But a subset of the quotient is open and closed if and only if the inverse image has these properties, and every subset of a discrete space has these properties. ■

2. Let $\alpha : A \rightarrow A/\mathcal{R}_0$ and $\xi : X \rightarrow X/\mathcal{R}$ denote the quotient projections. Then $j : A/\mathcal{R}_0 \rightarrow X/\mathcal{R}$ is the unique mapping such that $j \circ \alpha = \xi|_A$, and therefore it is continuous by Theorem II.2.1. The hypotheses imply that j is 1–1 and onto. Therefore it is only necessary to verify that j has a continuous inverse.

The hypotheses on q imply that if $u \mathcal{R} v$ then $q(u) \mathcal{R}_0 q(v)$, and by the definition of α this means that $\alpha \circ q(u) = \alpha \circ q(v)$. Therefore by Theorem II.2.1 there is a unique continuous mapping $r : X/\mathcal{R} \rightarrow A/\mathcal{R}_0$ such that $r \circ \xi = \alpha \circ q$. We then have

$$r \circ j \circ \alpha = r \circ \xi|_A = \alpha \circ q|_A = \alpha \circ \text{id}_A = \alpha$$

which implies that $r \circ j$ and the identity on A/\mathcal{R}_0 agree on the image of α . Since α is onto, it follows that $r \circ j$ is the identity map for A/\mathcal{R}_0 .

Since the mapping r is continuous, it will suffice to prove that r is an inverse function to j ; by the preceding discussion, it only remains to prove that $j \circ r$ is the identity on X/\mathcal{R} . By the last sentence of the preceding paragraph, we know that $j \circ r \circ j = j = \text{id}_{X/\mathcal{R}} \circ j$, which means that $j \circ r$

and the identity on X/\mathcal{R} agree on the image of j . But we know that j is onto, and therefore it follows that $j \circ r$ is the identity map for X/\mathcal{R} . As noted previously, this completes the proof that A/\mathcal{R}_0 is homeomorphic to X/\mathcal{R} .■

3. (a) The relation is reflexive because $x = 1 \cdot x$, and it is reflexive because $y = \alpha x$ for some $\alpha \neq 0$ implies $x = \alpha^{-1}y$. The relation is transitive because $y = \alpha x$ for $\alpha \neq 0$ and $z = \beta y$ for $\beta \neq 0$ implies $z = \beta\alpha x$, and $\beta\alpha \neq 0$ because the product of nonzero real numbers is nonzero.■

(b) Use the hint to define q ; we may apply the preceding exercise if we can show that for each $a \in S^2$ the set $q^{-1}(\{a\})$ is contained in an \mathcal{R} -equivalence class. By construction $q(v) = |v|^{-1}v$, so $q(x) = a$ if and only if x is a positive multiple of a (if $x = \rho a$ then $|x| = \rho$ and $q(x) = a$, while if $a = q(x)$ then by definition a and x are positive multiples of each other). Therefore if $x\mathcal{R}y$ then $q(x) = \pm q(y)$, so that $r(x)\mathcal{R}_0 r(y)$ and the map

$$S^2/[x \equiv \pm x] \longrightarrow \mathbb{RP}^2$$

is a homeomorphism.■

4. Needless to say we shall follow the hints in a step by step manner.

Let $h : D^2 \rightarrow S^2$ be defined by

$$h(x, y) = (x, y, \sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}).$$

Verify that h preserves equivalence classes and therefore induces a continuous map \bar{h} on quotient spaces.

To show that \bar{h} is well-defined it is only necessary to show that its values on the \mathcal{R}' -equivalence classes with two elements are the same for both representatives. If $\pi : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^2$ is the quotient projection, this means that we need $\pi \circ h(u) = \pi \circ h(v)$ if $|u| = |v| = 1$ and $u = -v$. This is immediate from the definition of the equivalence relation on S^2 and the fact that $h(w) = w$ if $|w| = 1$.

Why is \bar{h} a 1-1 and onto mapping?

By construction h maps the equivalence classes of points on the unit circle onto the points of S^2 with $z = 0$ in a 1-1 onto fashion. On the other hand, if u and v are distinct points that are not on the unit circle, then $h(u)$ cannot be equal to $\pm h(v)$. The inequality $h(u) \neq -h(v)$ follows because the first point has a positive z -coordinate while the second has a negative z -coordinate. The other inequality $h(u) \neq h(v)$ follows because the projections of these points onto the first two coordinates are u and v respectively. This shows that \bar{h} is 1-1. To see that it is onto, recall that we already know this if the third coordinate is zero. But every point on S^2 with nonzero third coordinate is equivalent to one with positive third coordinate, and if $(x, y, z) \in S^2$ with $z > 0$ then simple algebra shows that the point is equal to $h(x, y)$.

Finally, prove that \mathbb{RP}^2 is Hausdorff and \bar{h} is a closed mapping.

If the first statement is true, then the second one follows because the domain of \bar{h} is a quotient space of a compact space and continuous maps from compact spaces to Hausdorff spaces are always closed. Since \bar{h} is already known to be continuous, 1-1 and onto, this will prove that it is a homeomorphism.

So how do we prove that \mathbb{RP}^2 is Hausdorff? Let v and w be points of S^2 whose images in \mathbb{RP}^2 are distinct, and let P_v and P_w be their orthogonal complements in \mathbb{R}^3 (hence each is a 2-dimensional vector subspace and a closed subset). Since Euclidean spaces are Hausdorff, we can find

an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $N_\varepsilon(v) \cap P_v = \emptyset$, $N_\varepsilon(w) \cap P_w = \emptyset$, $N_\varepsilon(v) \cap N_\varepsilon(w) = \emptyset$, and $N_\varepsilon(-v) \cap N_\varepsilon(w) = \emptyset$. If T denotes multiplication by -1 on \mathbb{R}^3 , then these conditions imply that the four open sets

$$N_\varepsilon(v), \quad N_\varepsilon(w), \quad N_\varepsilon(-v) = T(N_\varepsilon(v)), \quad N_\varepsilon(-w) = T(N_\varepsilon(w))$$

are pairwise disjoint. This implies that the images of the distinct points $\pi(v)$ and $\pi(w)$ in \mathbb{RP}^2 lie in the disjoint subsets $\pi[N_\varepsilon(v)]$ and $\pi[N_\varepsilon(w)]$ respectively. These are open subsets in \mathbb{RP}^2 because their inverse images are given by the open sets $N_\varepsilon(v) \cup N_\varepsilon(-v)$ and $N_\varepsilon(w) \cup N_\varepsilon(-w)$ respectively. ■

5. One physical model for the quotient space construction is to pinch the top edge of the solid square to the center point $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ (it might be helpful to draw a picture of this process). We can do this continuously by linearly shrinking each horizontal segment $[0, 1] \times \{y\}$ in the square to the segment centered at $(\frac{1}{2}, y)$ whose length is equal to $1 - y$; in other words, at level y the linear function sends 0 to $\frac{1}{2}y$ and 1 to $1 - \frac{1}{2}y$. Here is the explicit formula:

$$h(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{2}y + x(1 - y), y \right).$$

By construction, this function is continuous.

One can now check directly that h maps the solid square onto the solid triangle, it sends the top edge of the square to the top vertex of the triangle, and it is 1-1 on the set of all points satisfying $y < 1$.

The preceding discussion shows that h induces a continuous 1-1 onto map \bar{h} from X/\mathcal{R} to the closed triangular region. Since X is compact, the quotient is also compact, and since the triangular region is a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 , it is Hausdorff. Since a 1-1 onto continuous map from a compact space to a Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism, it follows that \bar{h} is a homeomorphism from the quotient space to the solid triangular region. ■

6. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\mathcal{E}$ be the quotient projection, and let U and V be open neighborhoods of the equivalence classes $[(0, 1)]$ and $[(0, 2)]$ in X/\mathcal{E} . We shall prove that $U \cap V$ is nonempty.

Since π is continuous, there are positive numbers $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that π maps $(-\delta_1, \delta_1) \times \{1\}$ into U and also maps $(-\delta_2, \delta_2) \times \{2\}$ into V . Let δ be the smaller of δ_1 and δ_2 . Then the images of $(-\delta, \delta) \times \{1\}$ and $(-\delta, \delta) \times \{2\}$ under the quotient map are the same; in particular, we have

$$\pi\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta, 1\right) = \pi\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta, 2\right).$$

Since the left hand side belongs to $\pi^{-1}[U]$ and the right hand side belongs to $\pi^{-1}[V]$, it follows that the given point lies in $U \cap V$ and therefore the latter must be nonempty. In fact, the intersection contains infinitely many points, for $\frac{1}{2}\delta$ can be replaced by any positive real number $\eta < \delta$. ■

Note. It might be useful to compare this with the more intuitive description of the example on page 52 of Crossley (see Example 4.37).

7. Let $p : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ be projection onto the first coordinate. Then $u\mathcal{R}v$ implies $p(u) = p(v)$ and therefore there is a unique continuous map $X \times Y/\mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$ sending the equivalence class of (x, y) to x . Set-theoretic considerations imply this map is 1-1 and onto, and it is a homeomorphism because p is an open mapping. ■