5.B. Sums and differences of cubes

In the main notes for this unit we mentioned a result in Diophantus' writings involving sums and differences of cubes. Specifically, the result states that

if a and b are positive rational numbers such that a > b (hence $a^3 > b^3$), then there are positive rational numbers c and d such that

$$a^3 - b^3 = c^3 + d^3$$
.

Since it is not particularly easy to find a proof of this result in undergraduate level texts, we shall give one here.

PRELIMINARY REDUCTION. The following is extremely useful for simplifying the algebra in the proof.

If the result is true when a = r > 1 and b = 1, then it is true for all a and b such that a/b = r.

If we set c = a/b, then c > 1. If we know that $c^3 - 1 = u^3 + v^3$ for suitable rational numbers u and v, it follows that

$$a^3 - b^3 = (bu)^3 + (bv)^3$$

and thus the special case implies the general case.

PROOF WHEN $a > \sqrt[3]{2}$ AND b = 1. We would like to find rational numbers t and n such that

$$(a-t)^3 + (nt-1)^3 = a^3 - 1$$

where both a-t and nt-1 are positive. If we expand the left hand side of the displayed equation we obtain

$$a^3 - 3a^2t + 3at^2 - t^3 + n^3t^3 - 3n^2t^2 + 3nt - 1$$

and if we subtract $a^3 - 1$ from both sides of the displayed equation we then obtain the following equation in n and t:

$$(n^3 - 1)t^3 + (3a - 3n^2)t^2 + (3n - 3a^2)t = 0$$

This is a polynomial in n and t; the trick to solving it here will be to look for solutions in which the coefficient of t is equal to zero; in other words, we are interested in solutions for which $n=a^2$. In this case the equation reduces to

$$(a^6 - 1)t^3 + (3a - 3a^4)t^2 = 0$$

and the roots of this polynomial are zero and

$$t = \frac{3a^4 - 3a}{a^6 - 1} = \frac{3a}{a^3 + 1} .$$

Note that the right hand side is nonzero because a > 1.

Conversely, direct substitution and retracing the algebra in the previous paragraph show that

$$(a-t)^3 + (a^2t-1)^3 = a^3 - 1$$

so the only remaining issue is to determine whether a-t and a^2t-1 are both positive.

By the preceding formula for t we have

$$a - t = \frac{a^4 - 2a}{a^3 + 1}$$

and the right hand side is clearly positive if $a > \sqrt[3]{2}$. On the other hand, we also have

$$a^2t - 1 = \frac{2a^3 - 1}{a^3 + 1}$$

and this is positive provided a > 1. Therefore we have proven Diophantus' result when $a > \sqrt[3]{2}$.

WHAT HAPPENS WHEN $1 < a < \sqrt[3]{2}$ AND b = 1. In this case we still have the equation

$$(a-t)^3 + (a^2t-1)^3 = a^3 - 1$$

but now (a-t) is negative while (a^2t-1) is positive. If we take

$$c = \frac{a^2t - 1}{t - a}$$

then the numerator and denominator of c are positive and it follows that

$$a^3 - 1 = (t - a)^3 (c^3 - 1)$$

so that $c^3 - 1$ is positive. In fact, if we somehow know that $c > \sqrt[3]{2}$, then by the previously settled case we may write $c^3 - 1 = p^3 + q^3$ for suitable positive rational numbers p and q, and it will then follow that

$$a^3 - 1 = [(t-a)p]^3 + [(t-a)q]^3$$

proving the result in such cases. We shall use this idea to set up an induction argument. The crucial question involves the behavior of the function

$$c(a) = \frac{a^2t - 1}{t - a} = \frac{2a^3 - 1}{a(2 - a^3)}$$

when $1 < a < \sqrt[3]{2}$. Specifically, here is the main step:

CLAIM. There is a strictly decreasing sequence of real numbers

$$\sqrt[3]{2} = x_0 > x_1 \cdots > 1$$

such that the limit of the sequence is equal to 1 and for all k > 0 we have

$$x_k \leq a < \sqrt[3]{2} \implies x_{k-1} < c(a)$$
.

Suppose that the claim is true. We have seen that Diophantus' result is true for $a \ge x_0$, and the preceding discussion shows that if the result is true for $a \ge x_0$ then it is also true for $a \ge x_1$. Proceeding similarly, we see that if the result is true for $a \ge x_{k-1}$ then it is true for $a \ge x_k$, and therefore by induction it will follow that the result is true when $a \ge x_n$ for some nonnegative integer n. Since the sequence is decreasing and its limit is equal to 1, we know that for each a > 1 there is some n such that $a > x_n$, and thus it will follow that Diophantus' result will be true for all a > 1.

PROOF OF THE CLAIM. We shall prove the claim by using results from calculus to study the behavior of the function c(a) on the half open interval $[0, \sqrt[3]{2})$. Note first that this function is positive valued on the given interval with a(1) = 1, and the line $x = \sqrt[3]{2}$ is a vertical asymptote at the right hand point of the interval under consideration. Standard formulas from calculus immediately yield the following formula for c'(a):

$$c'(a) = \frac{(2a - a^4)6a^3 - (2a^3 - 1)(2 - 4a^3)}{a^2(2 - a^3)^2} = \frac{6a^4}{a^2(2 - a^3)} - \frac{(2a^3 - 1)2}{a^2(2 - a^3)}$$

and since $a^4 > a^3$ when a > 1 the right hand side implies

$$c'(a) > \frac{6a^3}{a^2(2-a^3)} - \frac{4a^3 - 2}{a^2(2-a^3)} = \frac{2a^3}{a^2(2-a^3)} + \frac{2}{a^2(2-a^3)} = \frac{2a}{(2-a^3)} + \frac{2}{a^2(2-a^3)}.$$

Note that this expression is always positive if $1 \le a < \sqrt[3]{2}$. The first summand of the right hand side is increasing for these values of a because it is a product of two functions that are increasing over this interval. Furthermore, the second summand is also increasing because the derivative of the denominator is negative for $a \ge 1$ (hence the denominator is strictly decreasing, which means the function itself is strictly increasing). The value of the right hand side is equal to 2 if a = 1, and therefore we conclude that $c'(a) \ge 2$ for $1 \le a < \sqrt[3]{2}$. By the Mean Value Theorem, for these values of a we have $c(a) - 1 \ge 2 \cdot (a - 1)$. In particular, if we define x_n recursively by $x_0 = \sqrt[3]{2}$ and

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1+x_n}{2}$$

then it follows that $1 < x_{n+1} < x_n$ for all n and also

$$c(x_{n+1}) - 1 > 2 \cdot (x_{n+1} - 1) = x_n - 1$$

so that $c(x_{n+1}) \ge x_n$, and since c is strictly increasing it follows that $t > x_{n+1}$ implies $c(t) > x_n$.

It only remains to show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 1$. This will follow immediately if we can show that

$$x_n = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \cdot \left(\sqrt[3]{2} - 1\right) + 1$$

for all n. By definition this is true for n = 0. Suppose now that the formula is true for x_n ; we need to show that it is also true for x_{n+1} . Using the recursive definition we have

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (1+x_n) = \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+1} \cdot \left(\sqrt[3]{2} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{2} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+1} \cdot \left(\sqrt[3]{2} - 1\right) + 1$$

and hence the formula is valid for x_{n+1} . This completes the proof of the claim, and by previous comments it also completes the proof of Diophantus' result.