## **Correction to Section VI.2**

In the second paragraph of the proof of the first theorem, the assertion

$$N_{\varepsilon}(x_n) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i < n} N_{\varepsilon}(x_i)\right) = \emptyset$$

does not follow from the preceding discussion, but fortunately all we need is the weaker statement

$$x_n \notin \bigcup_{i < n} N_{\varepsilon}(x_i)$$
.

This assertion is enough to guarantee that that  $\mathbf{d}(x_p, x_q) \geq \varepsilon$  if p > q (and by symmetry if the inequality is reversed), and since the sequence consists of distinct points whose distances from each other are at least  $\varepsilon$ , it follows that  $\{x_n\}$  has no Cauchy (hence no convergent) subsequence.

No changes are needed in the remainder of the proof.