

UPDATED GENERAL INFORMATION — MARCH 18, 2018

*Solutions to practice problems from review2.pdf*

1. Follow the hint. The composite mapping  $j \circ g : U \rightarrow S^m$  is continuous and 1-1, so by Invariance of Domain it is also an open mapping. On the other hand, its image is contained in  $S^n \subset S^m$  (the standard embedding such that the last  $m-n$  coordinates are zero), and no nonempty subset  $A \subset S^n$  is open in  $S^m$ . There are several related ways of showing the latter. For example, if  $A$  were open with  $a \in A$ , then some open neighborhood  $N_\varepsilon(a)$  of radius  $\varepsilon$  is contained in  $A$ ; however, this cannot happen, for we can always find a sequence of points  $\{x_n\}$  in  $S^m - S^n \subset S^n - A$  whose limit is  $a$ . ■

2. Again, follow the hint and start by showing the intersection is starshaped. Since  $\mathbf{K}_0 \cap \mathbf{A}$  consists of all maximal faces except one, there is a unique vertex  $v$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  which is not a vertex of the excluded face. This vertex must then be a vertex of all the remaining maximal faces, and hence  $\mathbf{K}_0 \cap \mathbf{A}$  must be starshaped with respect to  $v$ . Therefore  $H_q(\mathbf{K}_0 \cap \mathbf{A}) = 0$  if  $q \neq 0$  and  $H_0(\mathbf{K}_0 \cap \mathbf{A}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . This implies that  $H_q(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{K}_0 \cap \mathbf{A}) = 0$  for all  $q$ . By Simplicial Excision we know that  $H_q(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{K}_0 \cap \mathbf{A}) \cong H_q(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}_0)$ , so the latter groups are also trivial. Finally, we can apply the long exact homology sequence of the larger pair to conclude that the map  $H_q(\mathbf{K}_0) \rightarrow H_q(\mathbf{K})$  is an isomorphism for all  $q$ . ■

3. In order to compute the homology groups of the threefold union, we must first compute the homology groups of the twofold unions  $U \cup V$ ,  $U \cup W$  and  $V \cup W$ . **At this point there should be an additional assumption that each of the twofold intersections is nonempty.**

We claim that the reduced homology groups of each of the twofold unions are zero in all dimensions. It will suffice to prove this for  $U \cup V$ , for the remaining cases will follow by changing the variables in the argument. The intersection of the two convex open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  is convex, and therefore in the Mayer-Vietoris sequence relating the reduced homologies of  $U \cap V$ ,  $U$ ,  $V$  and  $U \cup V$  the terms  $\widetilde{H}_q(U \cap V)$  and  $\widetilde{H}_q(U) \oplus \widetilde{H}_q(V)$  are all zero. Therefore the third terms in the exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence, which are the groups  $\widetilde{H}_q(U \cup V)$ , must also be zero.

The next step is to compute the homology of the threefold union  $U \cap V \cap W$ , viewing it as the union of  $U \cap V$  and  $W$ . By the preceding paragraph we know that the reduced homology groups of both  $U \cap V$  and  $W$  are trivial. The intersection of these sets is given by  $(U \cap W) \cup (V \cap W)$ , and this is a union of two convex sets. If the sets  $(U \cap W)$  and  $(V \cap W)$  are disjoint, then the reduced homology of their intersection is  $\mathbb{Z}$  in dimension zero and 0 otherwise, and by the preceding paragraph the reduced homology of their union is 0 in all dimensions if  $(U \cap W)$  and  $(V \cap W)$  are not disjoint. In either case we know that the homology of the intersection vanishes in positive dimensions. Now the exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence for  $\widetilde{H}_q(U \cup V \cup W)$  shows this group is isomorphic to  $\widetilde{H}_{q-1}(U \cap V \cap W)$ , and since the latter is 0 if  $q-1 > 0$  it follows that the former is 0 if  $q \geq 2$ . Since reduced homology agrees with homology in positive dimensions, it follows that  $H_q(U \cup V \cup W) = 0$  if  $q \geq 2$ .

Finally, we need an example where  $H_q(U \cup V \cup W) = \mathbb{Z}$ . The hint suggests thickening up the three edges of a triangular graph, and the file `review2fig1.pdf` illustrates how this can be done. ■

4. Since  $f_*$  is invertible, the integral  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $A$  is also invertible. By Cramer's Rule an integral matrix has an integral inverse if and only if  $\det A = \pm 1$ . ■

5. The mappings  $i_{1*}$  and  $i_{2*}$  are 1-1 because the slice inclusions  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  are retracts. Specifically, one-sided inverses are given by the projections onto the first and second coordinates respectively. We need to show that their intersection is the zero subgroup.

Suppose we are given  $w \in H_1(X \times Y)$  such that  $i_{1*}(u) = i_{2*}(v)$  for suitable  $u$  and  $v$ . The mapping  $\pi_Y \circ i_1$  sends every  $x \in X$  to the same  $q \in Y$ , so it is constant and the induced mapping in homology  $\pi_{Y*} \circ i_{1*}$  is also trivial. Therefore  $0 = \pi_{Y*} \circ i_{1*}(u) = \pi_{Y*} \circ i_{2*}(v) = v$ , which means that  $w = i_{2*}(v) = i_{2*}(0) = 0$ . ■

6. The homology groups of  $C_*$  and  $(D/C)_*$  are equal to the chain groups because the boundary (or differential) maps in the chain complexes are all zero. Suppose now that we are given a cycle in  $H_{q+1}(D/C) \cong (D/C)_{q+1} = A$ ; call it  $a$ . The first step in constructing  $\partial$  is to lift this cycle to an element of  $D_{q+1}$ , which is also  $A$ . The map from  $D_{q+1}$  to  $(D/C)_{q+1} = A$  is the identity, so  $a \in D_{q+1} = A$  is an obvious choice for a lifting. Now apply the differential in the chain complex  $D_*$ . This is given by  $d : A \rightarrow B$ , and therefore we obtain the class  $d(a) \in D_q = B$ . The latter has a unique lifting to  $C_q = B$ . Therefore the mapping  $\partial$  sends  $a$  to  $d(a)$  (there is an obvious misprint in `review2.pdf`, in which  $f$  should be replaced by  $d$ ). ■

7. For the algebraic part, let  $i : A \rightarrow B$  and  $j : B \rightarrow X$  be the inclusions. Then  $i$  and  $j \circ i$  are both homotopy equivalences and hence induce isomorphisms in homology. We need to show that  $j_*$  is also an isomorphism in homology.

By functoriality we know that  $(j \circ i)_* = j_* \circ i_*$ , and we know that this and  $i_*$  induce isomorphisms in homology. The mapping  $j_*$  must be onto because  $w \in H_q(X)$  implies  $w = (j \circ i)_*(u) = j_*(i_*(u))$  for some  $u \in H_q(A)$ . To see that  $j_*$  is 1-1, suppose that  $j_*(v) = 0$ . Since  $i_*$  is onto we have  $v = i_*(u)$  for some  $u \in H_q(A)$ , and clearly  $0 = j_*(v) = j_*(i_*(u)) = (j \circ i)_*(u)$ . But  $(j \circ i)_*$  is an isomorphism, so it follows that  $u = 0$  and hence also that  $0 = i_*(u) = v$ .

Now for the counterexample. Let  $X = D^n$ ,  $A = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $B = D^n - S^{n-1}$ . Then  $A$  is a strong deformation retract of both  $B$  and  $X$ . However,  $B$  is not a strong deformation retract of  $X$ . If so, there would be a continuous mapping  $\rho : X \rightarrow B$  such that  $\rho|_B$  is the identity. Consider the mapping  $i \circ \rho$ , where  $i : B \rightarrow X$  is inclusion. By construction, the restriction of this map to  $B$  is equal to the  $i$ , which is also the restriction of the  $\text{id}_X$  to  $B$ . Since  $X$  is Hausdorff, the set of points where  $\rho = \text{id}_X$  is closed in  $X$ . Now  $B$  is dense in  $X$ , so this means that  $\rho = \text{id}_X$ ; but the map on the left is not onto while the map on the right is onto, so we have a contradiction. The source of this contradiction is the assumption that a map like  $\rho$  exists, and therefore there is no such mapping; in other words,  $B$  is not a retract of  $X$ . ■

8. By construction  $X_1$  is a graph with six edges which all meet at a common vertex. This means that  $X_1$  has the homology of a point. Furthermore,  $X_0 \cap X_1$  consists of the "other" endpoints of the edges, and hence it consists of six points. We can then use the simplicial Mayer-Vietoris sequence to compute the homology of  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  as follows; since  $H_q(X) = 0$  if  $q \geq 3$  and  $\dim X \leq 2$ , we need only consider the tail end:

$$H_2(X_0 \cap X_1) \longrightarrow H_2(X_0) \oplus H_2(X_1) \longrightarrow H_2(X) \longrightarrow$$

$$H_1(X_0 \cap X_1) \longrightarrow H_1(X_0) \oplus H_1(X_1) \longrightarrow H_1(X) \longrightarrow$$

$$H_0(X_0 \cap X_1) \longrightarrow H_0(X_0) \oplus H_0(X_1) \longrightarrow H_0(X)$$

Since  $X$  is arcwise connected, the last group is  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In the remaining cases, substitution of known groups yields the following:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus 0 \rightarrow H_2 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \oplus 0 \rightarrow H_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

Furthermore, the last map in this sequence sends the 6-tuple  $(x_1, \dots, x_6)$  to  $(s, -s)$  where  $s = \sum x_i$ . This exact sequence implies that  $H_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^5$ . ■

**9.** The key property of  $S^2$  and  $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$  is that they are surfaces: Both are Hausdorff, and each point of the space has an open neighborhood homeomorphic to an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . One can then extend Invariance of Domain to a result about surfaces: *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are surfaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, then  $f$  is an open mapping.*

It suffices to prove this for all open sets in an open covering of  $X$ . Start with an open covering of  $Y$  by open subsets  $V_\alpha$  homeomorphic to open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and construct an open covering of  $X$  by open subsets  $U_\beta$  such that  $f$  maps each  $U_\beta$  into some  $V_\alpha$ . Then Invariance of Domain implies that each  $f|U_\beta$  is an open mapping, and from this it follows that  $f$  itself must be an open mapping.

Using this, proceed as follows: Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $S^2$  and  $T^2$  respectively or vice versa. Then by the preceding paragraph  $f$  is open and hence  $f[X]$  is open in  $Y$ . But  $X$  and  $Y$  are compact and connected. Therefore  $f[X]$  is also compact, and hence closed in  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is connected and  $f[X]$  is a nonempty open and closed subset, we must have  $f[X] = Y$  and  $f$  is a homeomorphism. Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are not homeomorphic, this is a contradiction and hence no such mapping  $f$  can exist. ■

**10.** Let  $p : (E, e) \rightarrow (B, b)$  be the associated basepoint preserving covering space projection. Then the induced map of fundamental groups  $p_* : \pi_1(E, e) \rightarrow \pi_1(B, b)$  is the trivial homomorphism by our hypothesis. Since  $p_*$  is always 1-1, it follows that  $\pi_1(E, e)$  must be the trivial group. Since  $E$  is assumed to be arcwise connected, it follows that  $E$  must also be simply connected. ■