CLARIFICATIONS TO COMMENTARIES

PROOF OF THE SEIFERT-VAN KAMPEN THEOREM. (pp. 48–49) Here are some additional details and modifications. We begin with material in the final two paragraphs of page 48:

We claim that E is arcwise connected ... by construction, if e_1 and e_2 are two points in F such that $g \cdot e_1 = e_2$ for some g in the image of the fundamental group of U, it follows that e_1 can be joined to e_2 by a continuous curve whose image lies in the inverse image of U in E; a similar conclusion holds if we replace U be V in the preceding statement.

It is easier to prove the connectedness of E if we modify the preceding assertion as follows: Suppose that e_0 is the base point of E and $g \in \Gamma$, and let $h \in \Gamma$ be an element which lies in the image of either $\pi_1(U)$ or $\pi_1(V)$. Then ge_0 and ghe_0 lie in the same component of E. — Given this, one can use the fact that the images of $\pi_1(U)$ and $\pi_1(V)$ generate Γ to conclude that every point in F lies in the same component as e_0 and hence E is connected. Specifically, if we write $g = h_1 \cdots h_k$ for h_i satisfying the given conditions and lets g_0 denote the product of the first i factors for $0 \le i \le k$ (with $g_0 = 1$), then by induction we have that each $g_i \cdot e_0$ lies in the same component of E as e_0 .

We shall only consider the case where h comes from the fundamental group of U; the other case follows by systematically replacing U with V throughout the discussion. It will help to have some notation. Let $k_U : \tilde{U} \to E$ be the inclusion map given by the construction of U, and let u_0 denote the base point of \tilde{U} , so that k_U maps u_0 to e_0 . Suppose that $h \in \Gamma$ lies in the image of $\pi_1(U)$, and let h' map to h. By construction we know that k_u sends $h'u_0$ to he_0 . Let η be the curve in \tilde{U} joining u_0 to $h'u_0$. Then it follows that $k_U \circ \eta$ joins e_0 to he_0 , proving the assertion when h comes from $\pi_1(U)$; as noted before, a similar argument applies if h comes from $\pi_1(V)$, and by the remarks in the preceding paragraph it follows that E is connected as required.

Next, we shall examine the following statements from page 49 more closely:

[We have] the diagram of morphisms displayed below, in which the square is commutative (all compositions of morphisms between two objects in this part of the diagram are equal).

The map Φ is the homomorphism given by the universal mapping property of the pushout group Γ (see the commentary to Section 70). If we can show that $\partial \circ \Phi$ is the identity, then it will follow that Φ is injective. Since we already know that Φ is surjective (see Section 70), it will follow that Φ is an isomorphism, and the proof will be complete.

In the subsequent discussion on page 49, the key point is to prove that the composites

$$\pi_1(U) \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow \pi_1(X) \longrightarrow \Gamma \qquad \pi_1(V) \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow \pi_1(X) \longrightarrow \Gamma$$

are just the standard maps J(U) and J(V) from $\pi_1(U)$ and $\pi_1(V)$ into the pushout Γ . It will be helpful to let i_{U*} and i_{V*} denote the maps of fundamental groups induced by the inclusions of Uand V in X; by construction we have $i_{U*} = \Phi \circ J(U)$ and $i_{V*} = \Phi \circ J(V)$. As before, it suffices to show that $\partial \circ \Phi \circ J(U) = J(U)$, for the argument in the other case will follow by systematic substitution of V for U throughout. — Let h' be an element in $\pi_1(U)$, and let h be its image in Γ . By construction, the covering space transformation determined by $\partial \circ \Phi(h) \in \Gamma$ sends the base point e_0 to $\Phi(h) \cdot e_0 = i_{U*}(h') \cdot e_0$. On the other hand, we also know that the covering space transformation of \widetilde{U} associated to h' sends u_0 to $h' \cdot u_0$, and if we apply the mapping k_U from the previous discussion, it follows that the covering space transformation of E associated to J(U)(h') sends $e_0 = k_U(u_0)$ to $i_{U*}(h') \cdot e_0$.

The preceding argument shows that $\partial \circ i_{U*} = J(U)$, and the identity in the first sentence of the preceding paragraph then follows because $i_{U*} = \Phi \circ J(U)$. As noted above, we have a similar identity involving V. Taken together, these imply that the restrictions of $\partial \circ \Phi$ to the images of J(U) and J(V) are the identity, and since these images generate Γ it follows that $\partial \circ \Phi$ must be the identity, as claimed.