## CLARIFICATIONS TO COMMENTARIES

PROOF OF THE SEIFERT-VAN KAMPEN THEOREM. (pp. 48-49) Here are some additional details and modifications. We begin with material in the final two paragraphs of page 48:

We claim that $E$ is arcwise connected ... by construction, if $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are two points in $F$ such that $g \cdot e_{1}=e_{2}$ for some $g$ in the image of the fundamental group of $U$, it follows that $e_{1}$ can be joined to $e_{2}$ by a continuous curve whose image lies in the inverse image of $U$ in $E$; a similar conclusion holds if we replace $U$ be $V$ in the preceding statement.

It is easier to prove the connectedness of $E$ if we modify the preceding assertion as follows: Suppose that $e_{0}$ is the base point of $E$ and $g \in \Gamma$, and let $h \in \Gamma$ be an element which lies in the image of either $\pi_{1}(U)$ or $\pi_{1}(V)$. Then $g e_{0}$ and $g h e_{0}$ lie in the same component of $E$. - Given this, one can use the fact that the images of $\pi_{1}(U)$ and $\pi_{1}(V)$ generate $\Gamma$ to conclude that every point in $F$ lies in the same component as $e_{0}$ and hence $E$ is connected. Specifically, if we write $g=h_{1} \cdots h_{k}$ for $h_{i}$ satisfying the given conditions and lets $g_{0}$ denote the product of the first $i$ factors for $0 \leq i \leq k$ (with $g_{0}=1$ ), then by induction we have that each $g_{i} \cdot e_{0}$ lies in the same component of $E$ as $e_{0}$.

We shall only consider the case where $h$ comes from the fundamental group of $U$; the other case follows by systematically replacing $U$ with $V$ throughout the discussion. It will help to have some notation. Let $k_{U}: \widetilde{U} \rightarrow E$ be the inclusion map given by the construction of $U$, and let $u_{0}$ denote the base point of $\widetilde{U}$, so that $k_{U}$ maps $u_{0}$ to $e_{0}$. Suppose that $h \in \Gamma$ lies in the image of $\pi_{1}(U)$, and let $h^{\prime}$ map to $h$. By construction we know that $k_{u}$ sends $h^{\prime} u_{0}$ to $h e_{0}$. Let $\eta$ be the curve in $\widetilde{U}$ joining $u_{0}$ to $h^{\prime} u_{0}$. Then it follows that $k_{U}{ }^{\circ} \eta$ joins $e_{0}$ to $h e_{0}$, proving the assertion when $h$ comes from $\pi_{1}(U)$; as noted before, a similar argument applies if $h$ comes from $\pi_{1}(V)$, and by the remarks in the preceding paragraph it follows that $E$ is connected as required.

Next, we shall examine the following statements from page 49 more closely:
[We have] the diagram of morphisms displayed below, in which the square is commutative (all compositions of morphisms between two objects in this part of the diagram are equal).


The map $\Phi$ is the homomorphism given by the universal mapping property of the pushout group $\Gamma$ (see the commentary to Section 70). If we can show that $\partial{ }^{\circ} \Phi$ is the identity, then it will follow that $\Phi$ is injective. Since we already know that $\Phi$ is surjective (see Section 70), it will follow that $\Phi$ is an isomorphism, and the proof will be complete.

In the subsequent discussion on page 49, the key point is to prove that the composites

$$
\pi_{1}(U) \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(X) \longrightarrow \Gamma \quad \pi_{1}(V) \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(X) \longrightarrow \Gamma
$$

are just the standard maps $J(U)$ and $J(V)$ from $\pi_{1}(U)$ and $\pi_{1}(V)$ into the pushout $\Gamma$. It will be helpful to let $i_{U *}$ and $i_{V *}$ denote the maps of fundamental groups induced by the inclusions of $U$ and $V$ in $X$; by construction we have $i_{U *}=\Phi^{\circ} J(U)$ and $i_{V *}=\Phi^{\circ} J(V)$.

As before, it suffices to show that $\partial^{\circ} \Phi^{\circ} J(U)=J(U)$, for the argument in the other case will follow by systematic substitution of $V$ for $U$ throughout. - Let $h^{\prime}$ be an element in $\pi_{1}(U)$, and let $h$ be its image in $\Gamma$. By construction, the covering space transformation determined by $\partial{ }^{\circ} \Phi(h) \in \Gamma$ sends the base point $e_{0}$ to $\Phi(h) \cdot e_{0}=i_{U *}\left(h^{\prime}\right) \cdot e_{0}$. On the other hand, we also know that the covering space transformation of $\widetilde{U}$ associated to $h^{\prime}$ sends $u_{0}$ to $h^{\prime} \cdot u_{0}$, and if we apply the mapping $k_{U}$ from the previous discussion, it follows that the covering space transformation of $E$ associated to $J(U)\left(h^{\prime}\right)$ sends $e_{0}=k_{U}\left(u_{0}\right)$ to $i_{U *}\left(h^{\prime}\right) \cdot e_{0}$.

The preceding argument shows that $\partial^{\circ} i_{U *}=J(U)$, and the identity in the first sentence of the preceding paragraph then follows because $i_{U *}=\Phi^{\circ} J(U)$. As noted above, we have a similar identity involving $V$. Taken together, these imply that the restrictions of $\partial{ }^{\circ} \Phi$ to the images of $J(U)$ and $J(V)$ are the identity, and since these images generate $\Gamma$ it follows that $\partial \circ \Phi$ must be the identity, as claimed.■

