

EXERCISES FOR MATHEMATICS 205C

SPRING 2016 — Part 3

The headings denote chapters of the text for the course:

J. Lee, *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds* (Second Edition), Springer-Verlag, 2012.

Exercises which appear throughout the text are numbered in the form $m.n$, and exercises at the end of the chapters are numbered in the form $m - n$. Except when explicitly noted otherwise, it will suffice to prove exercises for manifolds without boundary.

7. Lie groups

Lee, 7 - 1 — 7 - 5, 7 - 14, 7 - 17, 7 - 20, 7 - 21, 7 - 24

Additional exercises

1. We have defined the affine group $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to be the semidirect product of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and \mathbb{R}^n (as an additive abelian Lie group) with twisting homomorphism $GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by the action of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{R}^n by invertible linear transformations.

(a) Prove that the affine group is isomorphic to the subgroup of $GL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of all matrices having the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (hence the bottom blocks have only one row). Also, prove that this subgroup is a closed Lie subgroup of $GL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$. [*Hint:* Show that the matrix group in question is the zero set of some smooth function f into \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and that $Df(I_{n+1})$ has maximum rank, where I_k is the identity $k \times k$ matrix.]

(b) Prove that the Galilean group is the closed Lie subgroup of all $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrices as above such that A is an orthogonal matrix.

2. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. The *conformal linear group* Conf_n is the set of all matrices $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ such that A preserves the angles between nonzero vectors. Equivalently, this group is defined by the identity

$$\frac{\langle Ax, Ay \rangle}{|Ax| |Ay|} = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{|x| |y|}$$

for each pair of nonzero vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(a) Prove that $A \in \text{Conf}_n$ if and only if $A = rB$ where $r > 0$. [*Hint:* The (\Leftarrow) direction is very straightforward. To prove the (\Rightarrow) implication, consider the Gram matrix TAA , whose entries are the inner products of the columns of A . Why is this product a diagonal matrix with positive entries down the diagonal? Using the perpendicularity of the vectors $\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j$ and $\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j$ (for all $i \neq j$) and the fact that A is conformal, conclude that all the diagonal entries of TAA are equal to some positive number c . Why is $B = c^{-1/2}A$ an orthogonal matrix?]

(b) Prove that the map $h : \mathbb{R} \times O_n \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ sending (t, A) to $e^t A$ is a proper (hence closed) smooth embedding whose image is equal to Conf_n . In particular, this implies that the group Conf_n has a natural Lie group structure.

3. The purpose of this exercise is to determine the numbers of connected components for each of the groups $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, $O(n)$ [the group of orthogonal $n \times n$ matrices, and $U(n)$ [the group of unitary $n \times n$ matrices.

(a) Let A and P be matrices in any one of these groups. Prove that A lies in the arc component of the identity if and only if PAP^{-1} does. [Hint: Suppose that $A(t)$ is a curve joining A to I , and consider $PA(t)P^{-1}$.

(b) Prove that $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ lies in the (arc) component of the identity if and only if its determinant is positive. [Hints: Since \det is an onto continuous function into $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ and the latter has two components, a matrix with negative determinant cannot lie in the component of the identity. Suppose henceforth that the determinant of A is positive. Express A as a product of elementary matrices $P_1 \cdots P_k$ (for convenience, we expand the definition so that I is also an elementary matrix). If we are given a product B of elementary matrices $Q_1 \cdots Q_k$ such that, for each i , the matrices P_i and Q_i lie in the same arc component of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, explain why A and B lie in the same arc component of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. Now consider the various types of elementary matrices obtained from the identity by the operations (0) do nothing, (1) multiply a row by a positive constant, (2) multiply a row by -1 , (3) add a multiple of one row to another, (4) interchange two rows. Why do elementary matrices of types (0), (1), (3) lie in the arc component of the identity matrix. Next, consider the following 2×2 matrix identity:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The left hand side represents a counterclockwise rotation through 90° and hence lies in the arc component of the identity; in fact, this is true if we replace $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ by $O(n)$. Why does this imply that the two factors on the right lie in the same component of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$? Use the preceding observations to show that every invertible matrix lies in the same arc component as a product of elementary matrices of types (0) and (2)? Recall that if I_2 is the 2×2 identity matrix, then $-I_2$ is rotation through 180° and hence lies in the identity component of $O(2)$. Finally, if $\det A > 0$ then the preceding shows that A lies in the same arc component as a product of an even number of elementary matrices having type (2). Why does this imply that A lies in the same arc component as the identity?]

(c) An orthogonal matrix has determinant ± 1 . Prove that an orthogonal matrix A lies in the identity component of $O(n)$ if and only if $\det A = 1$. [Hints: By Appendix D in the document

<http://math.ucr.edu/~res/math205A-2014/gentop-notes.pdf>

every orthogonal matrix A is expressible as PBP^{-1} where P is an orthogonal matrix and B is a block sum of 1×1 and 2×2 orthogonal matrices, so by (a) it suffices to prove the result for such block sums. One can check directly from the description of orthogonal 2×2 matrices in the preceding document that $O(2)$ has precisely two components and the identity component is the subgroup with determinant 1; note that the latter consists of the rotation matrices. Now note that if B is a block sum of the small matrices B_i and C_i is a comparable set of small orthogonal matrices with the same sizes, then the block sum C lies in the same arc component as B if we have $\det B_i = \det C_i$ for all i . Why does this imply that B lies in the arc component of an orthogonal matrix which is diagonal with entries ± 1 ? Finally, if $\det A = 1$ then there must be an even number

of negative diagonal terms. Why does this imply that A lies in the same arc component as the identity?]

(d) Prove that $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is connected. [*Hints:* Why does it suffice to prove this for matrices in Jordan form? Recall that the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ is connected and the diagonal entries of an invertible matrix in Jordan form are all nonzero.]

(d) Prove that $U(n)$ is connected. [*Hints:* By the Spectral Theorem, if A is a unitary matrix then there is another unitary matrix P such that A is expressible as PBP^{-1} where B is a diagonal matrix whose nonzero entries all lie in S^1 .]

4. The following exercise uses the definition and elementary properties of the matrix exponential map \exp , which is defined by the usual power series for the ordinary exponential function e^x . Background information can be found in `expmatrix.pdf`. — Also, if G is a group and $g \in G$, then χ_g will denote the inner automorphism $x \rightarrow gxg^{-1}$. Note that this automorphism is a diffeomorphism if G is a Lie group.

If G is a Lie group and $\mathcal{L}(G)$ denotes its tangent space, then the *adjoint representation* is a homomorphism

$$\text{ad}_G : G \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{L}(G))$$

given by $\text{ad}_G(A) = T(\chi_g)(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{L}(G)$. Suppose that $A(t) = \exp(tA)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(G)$, and consider the smooth curve

$$\gamma(t) = \chi_{\exp(tA)}(B) = \exp(tA)B \exp(-tA).$$

Prove that $\gamma'(0)$ is the commutator $[A, B]$. — What this means is that the lack of commutativity of the general linear group is somehow measured by a lack of commutativity in the Lie algebra of that group. [*Hint:* Use the power series expansion of $\exp(tA)$ and its inverse, and notice that higher order terms will not affect the answer.]

5. This exercise also uses the matrix exponential map.

(a) Suppose that $H \subset G$ is an embedded connected Lie subgroup of G and we have the associated inclusion of tangent spaces at the identity from $\mathcal{L}(H)$ to $\mathcal{L}(G)$. Prove that $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(G)$.

(b) Suppose in addition that H is a normal subgroup. In this case prove that $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is a Lie ideal of $\mathcal{L}(G)$; *i.e.*, If $B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}(G)$, then $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}(H)$.

NOTE. Both of these inclusions hold more generally, and in fact there are important partial converses (these are special cases of Lie's results).

6. Let $SU(n) \subset U(n)$ denote the set of matrices whose determinant is 1.

(a) Prove that $SU(n) \subset U_n$ is a closed Lie subgroup. [*Hint:* Imitate the proof for $SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$.]

(b) Prove that $U(n) \cong S^1 \times SU(n)$ as smooth manifolds but not as Lie groups. [*Hint:* View S^1 as the diagonal matrices with variable entries in the upper left hand corner. To show that the map is not a group isomorphism, you may use the following fact: The center of $SU(n)$ is finite. There is a proof of this result in `centers.pdf`.]

7. Prove that the 2×2 diagonal matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

does not lie in the image of the exponential map. [*Hint:* Suppose it did, write $C = \exp(A)$, and consider $B = \exp(\frac{1}{2}A)$. Why do we then have $B^2 = C$ and $CB = BC$? Derive a contradiction by showing that there is no matrix with these properties.]

8. Let $\mathcal{H}(3)$ be the *Heisenberg group*, which consists of the upper triangular 3×3 matrices with ones down the diagonal. Show that $\mathcal{H}(3)$ is a nonabelian Lie group which is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 (where the group operation is matrix multiplication). More generally, if $\mathcal{H}(n)$ is the set of upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices whose diagonal entries are ones and whose remaining entries are zero except in the first row and last column, prove that $\mathcal{H}(n)$ is a nonabelian Lie group with respect to matrix multiplication and it is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{2n-3} as a smooth manifold.

NOTE. Finding examples of **compact** Lie groups which are diffeomorphic, but not isomorphic as Lie groups, is considerably more difficult. Examples of this sort are given in Theorem 9.4 from the following paper:

P. Baum and W. Browder, *The cohomology of quotients of classical groups*, *Topology* **3** (1965), 305–336.

9. Let \mathcal{L} be a Lie algebra over the real numbers with multiplication denoted by $[\dots, \dots]$, and let $a \in \mathcal{L}$. Prove that the map $D_a : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ defined by $D_a(x) = [a, x]$ is a derivation of \mathcal{L} . — These derivations are called *inner derivations* of the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} . [*Hint:* Use the Jacobi Identity.]

8. Vector fields

Lee, 8 – 1 — 8 – 3, 8 – 11, 8 – 16, 8 – 19

Additional exercises

1. Suppose that X and Y are smooth vector fields on an open set in some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^b , and let D_X and D_Y be the corresponding derivations on the ring of smooth functions $\mathbf{C}^\infty(M)$. Give an example to show that the composite $D_X D_Y$ is not necessarily a derivation.

2. Let X and Y be the vector fields in the plane defined by the vector-valued smooth functions (x, xy) and (y^2, xy) respectively. Compute the Lie bracket $[X, Y]$.

3. Find the Lie brackets of the following pairs of vector fields on \mathbb{R}^2 (we write ∂_u for $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ to save space):

(i) $x \partial_y + y \partial_x$ and $x \partial_x + y \partial_y$.

(ii) $-y \partial_x + x \partial_y$ and $y \partial_x + x \partial_y$.

4. In the notation of the preceding exercise, show that if $g(x, y)$ is a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^2 such that $[g(x, y)\partial_x, \partial_y]$ is the zero vector field (*i.e.*, the vector fields *commute*), then $g(x, y)$ is a function of x alone. [*Hint:* What conclusion can be drawn if the partial derivative with respect to one variable is identically zero?]

5. Find the Lie brackets of the following pairs of vector fields on \mathbb{R}^3 (as before, let $\partial_u = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$):

(i) $y \partial_z - 2xy^2 \partial_y$ and ∂_y .

(ii) $x \partial_x + y \partial_y$ and $x \partial_y + y \partial_z$.

6. Suppose that a smooth function f satisfies $[fX, Y] = f[X, Y]$ for all vector fields X and Y . What can one say about f ?

7. Let X be a vector field on M which is nowhere zero. Prove that the set of all products $g \cdot X$ (where g is a smooth function) is a Lie subalgebra of the space of all vector fields; in other words, it is a $C^\infty(M)$ -submodule and it is closed under taking Lie brackets.

8. Let φ be a diffeomorphism from one smooth manifold M to another smooth manifold N , and let $\mathbf{VF}(P)$ denote the vector space of smooth vector fields on a smooth manifold P . Then φ defines a map φ_* from $\mathbf{VF}(M)$ to $\mathbf{VF}(N)$ as follows:

$$\varphi_*(X) = T(\varphi) \circ X \circ \varphi^{-1}$$

In the lectures it was shown that φ_* defines an isomorphism of Lie algebras. Verify the following additional properties of this construction:

(a) If φ is an identity mapping, then so is φ_* .

(b) We have $(\varphi_*)^{-1} = (\varphi^{-1})_*$.

(c) If ψ is a diffeomorphism from N to Q , then we have $(\psi \circ \varphi)_* = \psi_* \circ \varphi_*$.

9. Suppose that $X : M \rightarrow T(M)$ is a smooth vector field. Explain why $T(X) : T(M) \rightarrow T(T(M))$ is usually not a smooth vector field. It will suffice to consider the case where M is an open subset U of some \mathbb{R}^n . [*Hint:* Consider the standard diffeomorphisms

$$T(T(U)) \cong T(U \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cong (U \times \mathbb{R}^n) \times (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{and}$$

$$T(U \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cong T(U) \times T(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong U \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

and explain why the two identifications of $T(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $U \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^3$ differ by a permutation of coordinates. Think about what would happen if U were open in \mathbb{R}^m where $m \neq n$.]

10. Suppose that U is open in \mathbb{R}^n and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth. The **gradient** of f , written as usual by ∇f , is the vector field $x \rightarrow (x, \mathbf{F}(x))$ where $\mathbf{F} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the smooth function whose coordinates are the partial derivatives of f with respect to the appropriate variables (just as in multivariable calculus).

(a) Suppose that 0 is a regular value of f and $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the inverse image of $\{0\}$. Show that the $\nabla f(x)$ is perpendicular to $T_x(H) \subset \{x\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ for all $x \in H$.

(b) In the setting above, suppose that f is never zero and X is a vector field. Prove that X is perpendicular to ∇f if and only if $Xf = 0$.

(c) Still in the setting above, suppose that X and Y are vector fields and both are perpendicular to ∇f . Prove that $[X, Y]$ is also perpendicular to ∇f .