

Sard's Theorem

Def. M smooth manifold, $E \subseteq M$.

Say E has measure zero if for every smooth chart $h_\alpha: W_\alpha \rightarrow U_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ the set $h_\alpha[W_\alpha \cap E]$ has measure zero in the usual sense.

One can define "reasonable" measures on M such that the definition above corresponds to sets of measure zero for such measures, but we do not need such extra structure.

THEOREM A. $E \subseteq M$ has measure 0 \Leftrightarrow for all smooth charts in some compatible countable smooth atlas the sets $h_\alpha[W_\alpha \cap E]$ all have measure zero in the usual sense.

This will be deduced from the following local result:

THEOREM B. Let U, V be open in \mathbb{R}^n and let $f: U \rightarrow V$ be smooth. If $E \subseteq U$ has Lebesgue measure equal to zero, then so does $f[E]$.

Proof of Theorem B. Take a countable open covering of U by open disks U_k such that the subdisks V_k with $\frac{1}{3}$ the radii still cover U . Let W_k be the subdisks with $\frac{2}{3}$ the radii. It will suffice to prove that each set $E \cap \overline{V_k}$ has measure zero (since a countable union of sets with measure zero also has measure zero).

CLAIM: $f|_{\overline{W_k}}$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition: There is a constant $C_k > 0$ such that $|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C_k |u - v|$ for all $u, v \in \overline{W_k}$.

PROOF OF CLAIM: By compactness of $\overline{W_k}$ and the smoothness of f , the coefficients (entries) of $Df(y)$ are bounded on $\overline{W_k}$. By a version of the fundamental thm. of calculus, we have

$$f(u) - f(v) = \int_0^1 Df(tu + (1-t)v) [u - v] dt, \text{ which}$$

$$\text{implies } |f(u) - f(v)| \leq \int_0^1 \text{Const.} |u - v| dt = \text{Const.} \cdot |u - v|. \blacksquare$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. 6B-3

Proof of Theorem B. resumed. Since $E \cap \bar{V}_k$ has measure zero, there is a countable union of disks D_1, D_2, \dots such that $D_i \subseteq W_k$ (all i), and $E \cap \bar{V}_k \subseteq \bigcup_i D_i$ and $\sum \text{meas}(D_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{C_k}$. By the Lipschitz condition, f maps each D_i into a disk D'_i with radius $\leq C_k \cdot \text{radius } D_i$. Therefore $f[E \cap \bar{V}_k] \subseteq \bigcup_i D'_i$, and $\sum \text{meas}(D'_i) < \varepsilon$. But this means that $E \cap \bar{V}_k$ has Lebesgue measure zero. As noted above, this suffices to prove that E has Lebesgue measure zero. ■

Proof of Theorem A. Let $\{h_j: W_j \rightarrow U_j\}$ be the ^{smooth} given atlas for M , and let $k: S_0 \rightarrow V$ be a compatible chart. We need to prove that $k[E \cap S_0]$ has Lebesgue measure zero.

Now $\Omega = \cup_j (\Omega \cap W_j)$, so $E \cap \Omega = \cup_j (E \cap \Omega \cap W_j)$, so it suffices to prove that each set $k [E \cap \Omega \cap W_j]$ has measure zero. Since E has measure zero, the same is true for each set $h_j^{-1} [E \cap \Omega \cap W_j]$ by the hypotheses and the fact that $E' \subseteq E$ & $\mu E = 0 \Rightarrow \mu E' = 0$, where $\mu = \text{Lebesgue meas.}$

By the assumptions the transition map $k h_j^{-1}$ is smooth, so by Theorem B we see that $\mu [h_j^{-1} [E \cap \Omega \cap W_j]] = 0 \Rightarrow \mu (k [E \cap \Omega \cap W_j]) = 0$ for all j . Therefore $\mu (k [E \cap \Omega]) = 0$ also. ■

EASY CASE OF SARD'S THEOREM.

Let $f: M^m \rightarrow N^n$ be smooth, where $m < n$. Then $f[M^m]$ has measure zero.

HARD CASE (in Lee) Say that $y \in N$ is a singular value of N w.r.t. $f: M \rightarrow N$ if there is some $x \in M$ such that $f(x) = y$ and $T(f)_x: T_x(M) \rightarrow T_y(N)$ is NOT onto. Then the set of singular values has measure zero.

Proof of the easy case of Sard's Theorem.

Theorem B generalizes immediately to the following result: If $f: N_1^n \rightarrow N_2^n$ is smooth and $E \subseteq N_1^n$ has measure zero, then so does $f[E] \subseteq N_2^n$.

Suppose now we are given $f: M^m \rightarrow N^n$ where $m < n$. Then $M \times \{0\} \subseteq M \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ has measure zero (verify this!). Consider the map $F: M \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} M \xrightarrow{f} N$. Then

$F[M \times \{0\}]$ has measure zero. Since $F[M \times \{0\}] = f[M]$, it follows that $f[M]$ has measure zero. ■