

**Take home assignment 1**

*Due Wednesday, May 4, 2005*

1. Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a smooth atlas for  $U$  containing the standard chart  $(U, J)$ , where  $J$  is the identity map on  $U$ , and let  $(U, h)$  be an arbitrary smooth chart in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

(i) How can one express the transition map " $J^{-1}h$ " in terms of  $h$ ?

SOLUTION.

The transition map is simply  $h$  because the value of " $J^{-1}h$ " on a typical point  $x$  is the unique  $y$  such that  $J(y) = h(x)$ . Since  $J$  is the identity map, this means that  $y = h(x)$ . ■

(ii) Why does this imply that  $h$  is smooth?

SOLUTION.

The transition maps in a smooth atlas are smooth, and since  $h$  equals the transition map " $J^{-1}h$ " it follows that  $h$  must be smooth. ■

2. Suppose that  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  and  $g : N \rightarrow N'$  are smooth maps. Prove that the map  $f \times g : M \times N \rightarrow M' \times N'$  defined by  $f \times g(x, y) = (f(x), g(y))$  is smooth. [*Hint* Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be the projections from  $M \times N$  to  $M$  and  $N$  respectively, and similarly let  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  be the projections from  $M' \times N'$  to  $M'$  and  $N'$  respectively. Consider the composites of  $f \times g$  with  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .]

SOLUTION.

Let  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  denote the projections of  $N \times N'$  onto  $N$  and  $N'$  respectively, and let  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  denote the projections of  $M \times M'$  onto  $M$  and  $M'$  respectively. Then by construction we have  $\pi_1 \circ (f \times g) = f \circ \phi_1$  and  $\pi_2 \circ (f \times g) = g \circ \phi_2$  so that the coordinate projections of  $f \times g$  are smooth and hence  $f \times g$  itself is smooth. ■

3. Suppose that  $M$  is a topological 2-manifold. Prove that for each point  $x \in M$  there is a neighborhood base  $\{U_\alpha\}$  such that for each  $\alpha$  we have

(i) The set  $U_\alpha - \{x\}$  is connected, and the fundamental group of  $U_\alpha - \{x\}$  with respect to some (in fact any) basepoint is nontrivial.

(ii) If  $U_\beta \subset U_\alpha$  and  $y \in U_\beta$  is different from  $x$ , then the inclusion of  $U_\beta - \{x\}$  in  $U_\alpha - \{x\}$  gives rise to an isomorphism of fundamental groups.

SOLUTION.

It suffices to show this for an open disk  $N_r(v) \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ , for if it is true in this case and one has an open neighborhood of a point  $x$  in a 2-manifold that is homeomorphic to  $N_r(v)$  by some homeomorphism  $h$ , then one can prove the theorem for  $x$  and  $M$  by taking the images of the neighborhoods of  $v$  under the map  $h$ .

Let  $U_n$  be the disk of radius  $1/n$  centered at  $v$  for all  $n$  such that  $n > 1/r$ . Then  $\pi_1(U_n - \{v\})$  is infinite cyclic, and the neighborhoods  $U_n$  form a neighborhood base at  $v$ .

It remains to prove the second property. Suppose that  $m > n$ , and let  $C_m$  denote the circle of radius  $1/m$  centered at  $v$ . Then  $C_m$  is a strong deformation retract of both  $U_n - \{v\}$  and  $U_m - \{v\}$

(this is just material out of 205B), and thus we know that the inclusions  $a : C_m \rightarrow U_m - \{v\}$  and  $b : C_m \rightarrow U_n - \{v\}$  determine isomorphisms of fundamental groups. If  $c : U_m - \{v\} \rightarrow U_n - \{v\}$  is the remaining inclusion map, then  $b = c \circ a$ , which implies that  $b_* = c_* \circ a_*$  on fundamental groups, which in turn implies that  $c_*$  is the isomorphism  $b_* \circ (a_*)^{-1}$ . ■

4. Suppose that  $M$  is a topological  $n$ -manifold for some  $n \geq 3$ .

(i) Prove that for each point  $x \in M$  there is a neighborhood base  $\{U_\alpha\}$  such that  $U_\alpha$  is simply connected.

SOLUTION.

As before we reduce to the case of an open disk  $N_r(v)$  about a point  $v$ . Let  $U_n$  be as before, and let  $S_m$  be the sphere of points whose distance from  $v$  is equal to  $1/m$ . As in the previous exercise  $S_m$  is a strong deformation retract of  $U_n - \{v\}$  and  $U_m - \{v\}$ . However, in this case  $S_m$  is simply connected and therefore the sets  $U_n - \{v\}$  and  $U_m - \{v\}$  are also simply connected. ■

(ii) Explain why the conditions

$M$  is a topological 1-manifold,

$M$  is a topological 2-manifold,

$M$  is a topological 3-manifold,

are mutually exclusive without using Brouwer's Invariance of Domain or Dimension theorems.

SOLUTION.

In a 1-manifold, for every sufficiently small neighborhood  $U$  of a point  $v$  the set  $U - \{v\}$  is disconnected, while in a manifold of higher dimension there is always a neighborhood base  $U_m$  such that the sets  $U_m - \{v\}$  are always connected. Therefore a space cannot be a 1 manifold and simultaneously a  $k$ -manifold for any  $k \geq 2$ .

We only need to show that a space cannot be both a 2-manifold and a 3-manifold. The idea is to take the preceding part of this exercise along with the preceding exercise to obtain a contradiction. Assume that a space is a 2-manifold, and let  $U_\alpha$  be the neighborhood base given by Exercise 3. Now assume that it is also a 3-manifold. Given  $\alpha$  we can then find an open subset  $W \subset U_\alpha$  such that  $W - \{v\}$  is simply connected. Choose  $U_\beta \subset U_\alpha$  such that the inclusion of  $U_\beta$  in  $U_\alpha$  defines an isomorphism of nontrivial fundamental groups. Since the inclusion maps factor as composites

$$U_\beta - \{v\} \subset W - \{v\} \subset U_\alpha - \{v\}$$

there is a corresponding factorization of the fundamental group homomorphisms. Since the middle space is simply connected, it follows that this homomorphism must be the trivial homomorphism. However, by construction this homomorphism is an isomorphism from one nontrivial group to another, and thus we have a contradiction. The problem arose from our assumption that the space was a topological 3-manifold, which implied the existence of the simply connected deleted neighborhood  $W - \{v\}$ . Therefore the 2-manifold we started with cannot also be a 3-manifold. ■

*Sketch of shortcut.* The previous exercise has the following consequence<sup>3</sup> for 2-manifolds. *For each  $x \in M$  there is an open neighborhood  $V$  such that for all connected neighborhoods  $V_0$  of  $x$  such that  $V \subset V_0$  the deleted neighborhood  $V_0 - \{x\}$  is not simply connected.* — This is inconsistent with the existence of a neighborhood base at  $x$  such that the corresponding deleted neighborhoods are all simply connected. ■