

page 32, lines 18-20

The map $r(x, t)$ defined in earlier lines on this page sends $D_x^k[0, 1]$ into $(D_x^k \{0\}) \cup (S^{k-1}_x[0, 1])$ and is the identity on this subspace.

Verification: There are two cases because the formula defining $r(x, t)$ depends on whether $2|x| + t$ is \leq or ≥ 2 .

Case 1. $2|x| + t \geq 2$, so $r(x, t) = \frac{1}{|x|} (x, 2|x| + t - 2)$

In this case we claim that $r(x, t) \in S^{n-1}_x[0, 1]$ and the restriction of r to the latter is the identity. Let's start with the second part:

If $|x| = 1$ then $r(x, t)$ reduces to $\frac{1}{1} (x, 2 + t - 2 = 1) = (x, t)$. OK

On to the more important first part.

The first coordinate of $r(x, t)$ is $\frac{1}{|x|} \cdot x$,

and hence it lies in S^{n-1} . The second coordinate

p. 32, lines 18-19 continued

is nonnegative because $2|x| + t \geq 2$ in this case, and $|x|, t \leq 1$ imply $2|x| + t - 2 \leq 2 + 1 - 1 \leq 1$. ■

Case 2 Now $2|x| + t \leq 2$ and

$r(x, t) = \frac{2}{2-t}(x, 0)$. Note that $t \leq 1$ implies the denominator $2-t \geq 1 > 0$. If $t=0$ this reduces to $(x, 0)$, so the restriction to D^n is the identity. Since the first coordinate of second $r(x, t)$ is zero, it is only necessary to prove that $\frac{2}{2-t}x \in D^n$. We can rewrite the Case 2 \leq as $2|x| \leq 2-t$ and from this we get

$\frac{2}{2-t}|x| \leq 1$, which is what we want. ■

page 34, line-8

Suppose we have chain maps $f, g: A \rightarrow B$ and $h, k: B \rightarrow C$ such that $D: f \simeq g$ and $E: h \simeq k$ are chain homotopies. Then $h \circ D + E \circ g$ is a chain homotopy $h \circ f \simeq k \circ g$.

Details $d(hD + Eg) + (hD + Eg)d =$

$$dhD + dEg + hDd + Edg \xrightarrow{\text{chain maps}}$$

$$hdD + dEg + hDd + Edg =$$

$$(hdD + hDd) + (dE + Edg) =$$

$$h(g - f) + (k - h)g = hg - hf + kg - hg =$$

$$hf - kg. \blacksquare$$

page 40, line - 3

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ continuous \Rightarrow the maps

$f_{\#}: S_n(X) \rightarrow S_n(Y)$, sending singular

n -simplices $T: \Delta_n \rightarrow X$ to the composites

$f \circ T: \Delta_n \rightarrow Y$, are chain maps.

Details Need only check $d f_{\#} = f_{\#} d$ on a singular simplex since these generate. But

$$d f_{\#} T = \sum_i (-1)^i (f \circ T) | \partial_i \Delta_n \quad \frac{\text{associativity}}{\text{of composition}}$$

$$\sum_i (-1)^i f \circ (T | \partial_i \Delta_n) = f_{\#} \left(\sum_i (-1)^i (T | \partial_i \Delta_n) \right) = f_{\#} d T \quad \blacksquare$$

page 48, line 18

If $\beta: S_*(X) \rightarrow S_*(X)$ is barycentric subdivision and $L: \beta \simeq 1$ is a chain homotopy, then $(1 + \dots + \beta^{r-1}) \circ L$ is a chain homotopy $\beta^r \simeq 1$.

Details

$$\begin{aligned} d(1 + \dots + \beta^{r-1})L + (1 + \dots + \beta^{r-1})Ld & \quad \underline{\underline{\text{each } \beta^i \text{ is a chain map}}} \\ \left((1 + \dots + \beta^{r-1}) \circ dL \right) + \left((1 + \dots + \beta^{r-1}) \circ Ld \right) & = \\ (1 + \dots + \beta^{r-1}) \circ (\beta - 1) & = \beta^r - 1. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

page 61, line -11

If $K \subseteq G$ has finite index in G , let
 $\bar{G} = G/[G, G]$ and let $\bar{K} = \text{image } K \text{ in } \bar{G}$.
Then \bar{K} has finite index in \bar{G} .

Details By construction, \bar{K} is
 $K \cdot [G, G]/[G, G]$, so if G is the union of
cosets $a_1 K, \dots, a_m K$ then \bar{G} is the
union of cosets $a_j K \cdot [G, G]/[G, G]$. ■