

PROOF OF THE LONG EXACT SEQUENCE THM.

Six parts (paired)

Exactness at $H_q(B)$

$$\text{Im } i_* \subseteq \text{Ker } j_*$$

$$\text{Ker } j_* \subseteq \text{Im } i_*$$

Exactness at $H_q(C)$

$$\text{Im } j_* \subseteq \text{Ker } \partial$$

$$\text{Ker } \partial \subseteq \text{Im } j_*$$

Exactness at $H_q(A)$

$$\text{Im } \partial \subseteq \text{Ker } i_*$$

$$\text{Ker } i_* \subseteq \text{Im } \partial.$$

$$\text{Im } i_* \subseteq \text{Ker } j_*$$

$$j \circ i = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$0 = (j \circ i)_* = j_* i_*, \text{ so } i_* x \in \text{Ker } j_*.$$

$$\text{Ker } j_* \subseteq \text{Im } i_* \text{ Suppose } j_*(u) = 0,$$

and write $u = [x]$ for $x \in B_q$. Then

$j(x) = dy$ for some $y \in C_q$. Choose Y so

$j(Y) = y$. Consider $x - dY \in B_q$; note

that $[x - dY] = [x]$. Then $j(x - dY) =$

$$j(x) - j d(Y) = j(x) - d j(Y) = dy - dy = 0.$$

(2)

Hence $x - j(dY) = i(z)$, some $z \in A_q$.

CLAIM $dz = 0$; if so, then $[x] = i_*[z]$.

But $dz = 0 \Leftrightarrow idz = 0$ and $idz =$
 ~~$i d[x - j(dY)]$~~ $d i(z) = d(x - j dY) =$
 $dx - dj dY = 0 - j d dY = 0 - 0 = 0.$

Image $j_* \subseteq \text{Kernel } \partial$ Let $u \in H_q(B)$,

$u = [x]$, and consider $\partial j_*(u) = \partial [jx]$.

Since $x \rightarrow j(x) \in C_q$, we know that this class is given by $[z]$, where $i(z) = dx$,

But $u = [x]$ means $dx = 0$, so that $z = 0$ and hence $\partial j_*(u) = 0$ and $j_*u \in \text{Ker } \partial$.

Kernel $\partial \subseteq \text{Image } j_*$ Suppose $\partial [x] = 0$ for suitable $x \in C_q$. This means that $z = dw$ for some $w \in A_q$ and hence $dy = i(dw)$, where $y \in B_q \rightarrow x$.

(3)

Modify y to $y' = y - iw$. Then
 $dy' = 0$ and $j(y') = x \Rightarrow u = [x]$
 $\exists j_*[y']$.

Image $\partial \subseteq \text{Ker } i_*$ Let $v \in H_{q+1}(\mathbb{C})$,
 $v = [x]$, and consider $i_*\partial[v] = i_*[z]$
 $= [i(z)] = [dy] = 0$.

Kernel $i_* \subseteq \text{Image } \partial$ Suppose $i_*[x]$
 $= 0$ for suitable $x \in A_q$. Then $0 = [i(x)]$
 $\Rightarrow i(x) = dy$, some $y \in B_{q+1}$. Let
 $w = j(y)$. Then $dw = \cancel{jdy} = djy =$
 $jdy = j i(x) = 0$, and it follows that
 $\partial[w] = [x]$ by the definition of ∂ .