

## Working with Homology

We can compute  $H_q(P, K)$ , but we also want to understand what sorts of information it carries.

Examples 1. Does it only depend on  $P$  and not on  $K$ ?

2. What sorts of useful geometric or topological information does it contain?

3. Are there conceptual tools that simplify the computations in important special cases?

Each question has a positive answer (but maybe not provable in this course).

At least we can answer the last two questions now — reverse order.

$$H_q(\{\text{pt.}\}, \text{obvious "decomposition"}) =$$

$$C_q(\text{DITTO}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & q = 0 \\ 0 & q \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

$$H_q(\Delta_n, \text{standard decomposition}).$$

We want contractible objects to have the same homology as a point. This can be done by defining an algebraic analog of a contracting homotopy ("contracting chain homotopy")

$$C_q^\#(\Delta_n) \supseteq C_q(\Delta_n), \text{ with extra free generators } e_0, e_{i_0}, \dots, e_{i_{q-1}}, 0 = i_0 < \dots < i_{q+1}$$

Straight forward verifications:

①  $C_*(\Delta_n) \stackrel{i\#}{\subseteq} C_*^\#(\Delta_n)$  is an inclusion of chain complex (define  $d$  on  $C_*^\#$  in the usual fashion)

② There is a 1-sided inverse  $C_*^\#(\Delta_n) \xrightarrow{\text{RHO}} C_*(\Delta_n)$  sending all generators  $e_0 e_0 e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_{q-1}}$  to  $0$ , AND it is a chain complex map.

The contracting <sup>chain</sup> homotopy will be a sequence of maps  $D_q: C_q \rightarrow C_{q+1}$  such that

$$d_{q+1} \circ D_q + D_{q-1} \circ d_q = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1} & q \neq 0 \\ 1 - \alpha & q = 0 \end{cases}$$

$\alpha(v) = v - e_0.$

Implication:

$$H_* (\{e_0\}, \dots) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_* (\Delta_m, \dots).$$

Derivation

$$* = 0 \quad H_0 (\{e_0\}, \dots) \rightarrow H_0 (\Delta_m, \dots)$$

$e_i - e_0 = d(e_0 e_i) \Rightarrow$  RHS gen by class of  $e_0$ . No multiple of  $e_0$  is a boundary except 0, for if

~~$$k e_0 = \sum m_j e_j$$~~

$$k e_0 = d \left( \sum_{i < j} m_{ij} e_i e_j \right) \text{ then}$$

RHS =  $\sum m_{ij} e_j - m_{ij} e_i$ , and if we rewrite this as  $\sum n_k e_k$ , we see that  $\sum n_k = 0$ .

Higher degrees or dimensions

Suppose that  $a \in C_q$ ,  
 $da = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a &= dD + Dd(a) = dDa + \cancel{Dda} \\ &= dDa, \end{aligned}$$

$\begin{matrix} = 0 \\ (da=0) \end{matrix}$

Hence  $H_q = 0$ .

Construction of  $D$ .

$$D_q(e_{j_0} \cdots e_{j_q}) = \rho(e_0 e_{j_0} \cdots e_{j_q}).$$

Verify that  $dD + Dd(e_{j_0} \cdots e_{j_q})$

$$= e_{j_0} \cdots e_{j_q} \quad \text{if } q > 0$$

$$= e_{j_0} - e_0 \quad \text{if } q = 0.$$

NEXT STEP  $\partial \Delta_n \subseteq \Delta_n$

= everything except top  
 simplex.

CHECK THIS  
YOURSELF!

good exercise  
 to test one's  
 understanding  
 of "d".

Note that  $\partial \Delta_n \cong S^{n-1}$ .

$$n=1 \quad H_q = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \ (q=0), \ 0 \ (q>0)$$

$$n=2 \quad H_q = \mathbb{Z} \ (q=0, 1), \ 0 \ (q \neq 0, 1)$$

$$n=3, \dots$$

Introduce relative groups.

$(Q, L) \subseteq (P, K)$  subcomplex.

$$C_q(K, L) = C_q(P, K; Q, L) =$$

$$C_q(P, K) / C_q(Q, L).$$

quotient chain complex.

$$H_q(K, L) = \text{homology of } C_*(K, L).$$

$$\text{Now } C_q(\Delta_n, \partial \Delta_n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & q=n-1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

All boundary maps are trivial, so

$$C_q(\Delta_n, \partial \Delta_n) \cong H_q(\Delta_n, \partial \Delta_n).$$

Obvious next issue: Translate  
this into information about  
 $H_*(\partial\Delta_n)$ .

This will require an algebraic  
digression. The methods and  
conclusions have far-reaching  
importance.