

1.C Subspaces

1.32 Definition: ~~Let V be~~

Let V be a vector space (with addition & scalar multiplication).

Let U be a subset of V . Then U is a subspace of V if U is also a vector space with the same addition and scalar multiplication.

1.34 Conditions of subspace:

U is a subspace of V if and only if it satisfies:

ADDITIVE Identity: $0 \in U$

Closed Under Addition: $u, w \in U$ implies $u+w \in U$

Closed Under Scalar Multiplication: $a \in IF$ and $u \in U$ implies $au \in U$.
($IF = \mathbb{R}$ or $IF = \mathbb{F}$)

1.35 Examples of subspaces:

(a) If $b \in IF$, then $U_1 = \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in IF^4 \mid x_3 = 5x_4 + b \}$ is a subspace of IF^4 if and only if $b=0$.

Proof: Additive Identity: $\rightarrow 0 \in U \rightarrow \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\} \in U_1 ?$

$$x_3 = 5x_4 + b$$

$$0 = 5(0) + b$$

$$\textcircled{0=b}$$

So additive identity is satisfied if and only if $b=0$. (Otherwise, if $b \neq 0$, then the statement $0=b$ will be false.)

$$0 \neq b \rightarrow \text{contradiction}$$

Closed Under addition :

Let $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \in U_1$

Then $x_3 = 5x_4 + b$ and $y_3 = 5y_4 + b$

So we have $x_3 + y_3 = (5x_4 + b) + (5y_4 + b)$
 $= 5(x_4 + y_4) + 2b$

If $b=0$, we have $x_3 = 5x_4$ and $y_3 = 5y_4$, and $x_3 + y_3 = 5(x_4 + y_4)$

So if $b=0$, then $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) + (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3, x_4 + y_4)$

So if $b=0$, then U_1 is closed under addition. $\subseteq U_1$

Scalar multiplication :

Let $a \in \mathbb{F}$ and $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in U_1$.

Then $a(x_3 = 5x_4 + b)a$

So we have $ax_3 = 5ax_4 + ab$

if $b=0$, then $x = 5x_4$ and $ax_3 = 5(ax_4)$

So if $b=0$, then $a(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (ax_1, ax_2, ax_3, ax_4) \subseteq U_1$

So U_1 is closed under scalar multiplication.

∴ Therefore, U_1 is a subspace of V .

(b) Let U_2 be the set of continuous real-valued functions on the interval $[0, 1]$. Then U_2 is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]} = \{f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$

Additive Identity:

The zero function is continuous. So $0 \in U_2$.

Closed under Addition:

Let $f, g \in U_2$ (Let $f, g: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous)

Sum of two continuous functions is continuous.

So $f+g \in U_2$

Closed Under scalar multiplication

Let $a \in \mathbb{F}$, $f \in U_2$. (Let $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous.)

Scalar ~~multiplication~~ multiple of continuous functions is continuous.

So $af \in U_2$.

So U_2 is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$.

Sums of Subspace

1.3.6 Definition: sum of subsets

Let U_1, \dots, U_m be subsets of V . Their sum of U_1, \dots, U_m is denoted $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ and is the set of all possible sums of elements of U_1, \dots, U_m .

In other words, $U_1 + \dots + U_m = \{u_1 + \dots + u_m : u_1 \in U_1, \dots, u_m \in U_m\}$

1.37 Example. Let \mathbb{F}^3 be a vector space.

Let $U = \{(x, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x \in \mathbb{F}\}$

and $W = \{(0, y, 0) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : y \in \mathbb{F}\}$

Then $U + W = \{(x, y, 0) : x, y \in \mathbb{F}\}$

$(x, 0, 0) \in U$
 $(0, y, 0) \in W$
 $\overset{GU}{(x, 0, 0)} + \overset{EW}{(0, y, 0)} \in U + W$
 $= (x+0, 0+y, 0+0) \in U + W$
 $= (x, y, 0) \in U + W$

1.39 Sum of Subspaces is the smallest containing subspace.



Suppose U_1, \dots, U_m are subspaces of V . Prove that $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is the SMALLEST subspace of V containing U_1, \dots, U_m .

Proof: First, we ~~will~~ claim that $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a subspace of V . Since U_1, \dots, U_m are subspaces of V , we have:

Additive Identity: $0 \in U_1, \dots, 0 \in U_m$

Closed Under Addition: $u_1, w_1 \in U_1, \dots, u_m, w_m \in U_m$ imply
 $u_i + w_i \in U_1, \dots, u_m + w_m \in U_m$.

Closed Under Scalar Multiplication: $a \in \mathbb{F}, u_1 \in U_1, \dots, u_m \in U_m$ imply
 $au_1 \in U_1, \dots, au_m \in U_m$.

we have $0 = \underbrace{0 + \dots + 0}_m \in U_1 + \dots + U_m$

So $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ contains the additive identity.

We also have: If $u, w \in U_1 + \dots + U_m$ then we can write

$$u = u_1 + \dots + u_m \text{ and } w = w_1 + \dots + w_m.$$

$$\begin{aligned} So \quad u + w &= (u_1 + \dots + u_m) + (w_1 + \dots + w_m) \\ &= (u_1 + w_1) + \dots + (u_m + w_m) \in U_1 + \dots + U_m. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is closed under addition.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } a \in F. \text{ We also have } au &= a(u_1 + \dots + u_m) \\ &= au_1 + \dots + a u_m \in U_1 + \dots + U_m \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is closed under scalar multiplication.

So $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ a subspace of V .

Next, we claim that $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is the **SMALLEST** subspace of V containing U_1, \dots, U_m .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } i = 1, \dots, m. \text{ Let } x_i \in U_i. \text{ Then } x_i &= (0 + \dots + 0) + \underset{i^{\text{th}} \text{ term}}{x_i} + (0 + \dots + 0) \\ &\quad \underset{i-1 \text{ terms}}{\dots} \underset{m-i \text{ terms}}{\dots} \\ &\in U_1 + \dots + U_{i-1} + U_i + U_{i+1} + \dots + U_m \\ &= U_1 + \dots + U_m \end{aligned}$$

Observe that every subspace of V that contains U_1, \dots, U_m must also contain finite sums of elements of U_1, \dots, U_m .

(This is due to the property of closed under addition for subspaces.) This means in particular that every subspace that contains U_1, \dots, U_m must contain the subspace $U_1 + \dots + U_m$.

Since $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is contained in every subspace that contains U_1, \dots, U_m .

So $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is the **SMALLEST** subspace that contains U_1, \dots, U_m .

Direct Sums

1.40 Definition: direct sum

Let U_1, \dots, U_m be subspaces of V . Then $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum if each element of $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is written in only one way as the sum $u_1 + \dots + u_m$, where $u_i \in U_1, \dots, u_m \in U_m$.

$U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_m$ is the NOTATION to denote the direct sum.

1.44 Condition of a direct sum

Let U_1, \dots, U_m be subspaces of V . Then $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum if and only if the only way to write 0 as a sum $u_1 + \dots + u_m$, where $u_i \in U_1, \dots, u_m \in U_m$, is by taking $u_1 = 0, \dots, u_m = 0$.

Proof:

Forward direction: If $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum, then the only way to write 0 is taking $u_1 = 0, \dots, u_m = 0$.

Suppose $U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_m$ is a direct sum.

Then, by definition of the direct sum, we can only write 0 in one way = by taking $u_1 = 0, \dots, u_m = 0$

Backward direction: If the only way to write 0 as a sum $u_1 + \dots + u_m$ is by taking $u_1 = 0, \dots, u_m = 0$, then $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum.

Suppose the only way to write $0 = u_1 + \dots + u_m$ is to take $u_1 = 0, \dots, u_m = 0$. We claim that $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum. Let $v \in U_1 + \dots + U_m$.

Then by 1.40, we can write $\star v = u_1 + \dots + u_m$ where $u_i \in U_1, \dots, U_m \subset V$.

We need to show that this representation is unique. To show this,

consider ANOTHER representation $\dagger v = v_1 + \dots + v_m$ where $v_i \in U_1, \dots, U_m \subset V$. Subtract \star and \dagger , we get $0 = (v_1 - u_1) + \dots + (v_m - u_m)$.

Since we assumed in the backward direction that the only ~~to~~ way to write 0 as a sum $u_1 + \dots + u_m$ is to take $u_1 = 0, \dots, u_m = 0$, we have from ~~Φ~~ - ~~\star~~ that we need to take

$$u_1 - v_1 = 0, \dots, u_m - v_m = 0$$

$$\text{So } u_1 = v_1, \dots, u_m = v_m$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } v &= v_1 + \dots + v_m \\ &= u_1 + \dots + u_m \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, our representation of v is unique, that is, written in only ONE way.

So $u_1 + \dots + u_m$ is a direct sum.

1.45 Direct sum of two spaces.

Suppose U and W are subspaces of V . Then $U+W$ is a direct sum if and only if $U \cap W = \{0\}$.

Forward direction: If $U+W$ is a direct sum, then $U \cap W = \{0\}$.

Suppose $U+W$ is a direct sum. Suppose $v \in U \cap W$

$$\text{Then } 0 = v + (-v),$$

where $v \in U$ and $-v \in W$. Since $0 \in U+W$, by the definition of direct sum, we can write 0 in ONLY ONE way.

Namely, we conclude $v=0$. Therefore, $U \cap W \subset \{0\}$.

At the same time, we know that $U \cap W$ is a subspace of V , which means in particular $0 \in U \cap W$, or $\{0\} \subset U \cap W$.

Since $U \cap W \subset \{0\}$ and $\{0\} \subset U \cap W$, we conclude $U \cap W = \{0\}$.

Backward direction. If $U \cap W = \{0\}$, then $U + W$ is a direct sum.

Suppose $U \cap W = \{0\}$. Let $u \in U, w \in W$ satisfy $0 = u + w$.

But $0 = u + w$ implies $u = -w \in W$.

So $u \in U$ and $u \in W$; namely, $u \in U \cap W$.

So $u \in \{0\}$, and so $u = 0$.

And $0 = u + w$ with $u = 0$ implies $w = 0$.

So the only way to write 0 as a sum $u + v$ is to take $u = 0, v = 0$.

By 1.44, $U + W$ is a direct sum.